

CrossRef DOI of original article:

1 Scan to know paper details and author's profile

2

3 Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970

4

5 **Abstract**

6

7 *Index terms—*

8 **1 INTRODUCTION**

9 Chemical communication, realized by odors, is of great importance for mammals, affects various vital functions.
10 One of the main tasks of studying the communication functions is the ascertainment of its value in regulating the
11 individual distribution relative to each other in spatial coordinates. The placement of scent marks in space is of
12 great importance for animals, in particular, predatory, whose strategy of feeding is consistent with maintaining
13 a certain home range (??3][22][13] [17] [18][2] [38] [29]; etc.). The study of the functions of the scent marking
14 in ungulates ([28] [7][8] [9][10] [6][36] [12][1] [5]; etc.) it is difficult often due to the specifics of ecological niches,
15 a dynamic spatial and social organization of populations. A special complexity is the study of the results of the
16 response of recipients to the marks, which actually determines the regulatory functions of the scent marking.
17 However, the value of the marking can be understood by taking into account the totality of information about
18 the movements of individuals, the marks frequency, behavioral and spatial aspects of marking and response of
19 recipients to marks.

20 **2 The musk deer has a distinction from other ungulates animals
21 of the temperate climatic zone**

22 London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal due of year-round individual territoriality, it marking
23 the home ranges with specific scent marks all year [41] [27]. The many musk deer have been using compact forest
24 areas all their lives (in the sense of "Lifetime Range" [14]), nevertheless, shifting the home ranges and changing
25 their size in different of times periods [41] [46].

26 We began the study of the scent marking in musk deer since 1975 [41]. The main task is to ascertainment
27 the functions of marks in the regulation of social bonds and spatial distribution of individuals. In this article,
28 we concentrate one's attention on the relationship between the frequency of marking by musk deer males with
29 caudal glands and the markers density in different parts of their home ranges with the movements of males, the
30 distribution of other individuals. For this, the results of the study are used in one of key plots in the Sikhote-
31 Alin reserve (Far East, Russia) in ??976-1977 and 2003-2004. The results characterize the structure of the
32 musk deer associations and the scent marks distribution in the period (January-March) after the rut (November-
33 December), when the locomotor activity of male decreases, the marks distribution becomes more stable. In
34 winter it is supported a communication system, which musk deer forms during the rutting period [41]. The tasks
35 consist in determining the relationship between: 1) the structure of the grouping; 2) the marking frequency of
36 the different parts of the home regions; 3) density and other indices of the marks distribution, it including after
37 the time interval of 30 years.

38 **3 II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

39 **4 Presentation of the study area and researched species**

40 The Sikhote-Alin State Biosphere zapovednik (reserve) (SABZ, 401,600 ha; IUCN category I; 136°20'; 45°02')
41 and adjacent areas of the Central Sikhote-Alin have significant indicators of biological diversity [37]. Forests
42 cover more than 95% of the reserve area. All means of hunting and chasing animals, a using vegetation have
43 been banned for more than 50 years.

7 TRACKING TECHNIQUE

44 In the central part of the reserve in the river basin Serebryanka is our main key plot for the study of musk deer
45 -"Zimoveyniy". The snow period lasts from the second half of November to the end of March-beginning of April.
46 In January-February, temperatures down to -5°-15° (up to -20°?) prevailed with the usual monsoon wind from
47 the mainland to the Sea of Japan. The vegetation cover is dominated by cedar pine forests (*Pinus koraiensis*) of
48 complex composition, multi-layer vertical and mosaic horizontal structure, with close crowns of forest stands of
49 the upper tiers.

50 Cedar pine forests are replaced by fir-spruce forests from absolute heights of 800-1100 m. The heights of the
51 main ridge Podnebesny-Dalny reach 1222-1247 m a.s.l. On the slopes of southern exposures, cedar forests with
52 oak (*Quercus mongolica*) are common, on the northern slopes cedar forests with Khingam fir (*Abies nephrolepis*),
53 spruce (*Picea jezoensis*), cedar-larch (*Larix cajanderi*) associations, etc., on the bottom of the river valley there
54 are cedar forests, poplar forests (*Populus maximowiczii*), willow forest (*Chosenia arbutifolia*). Fir, which is of
55 great importance for ensuring the conditions for the musk deer existence [41], fills in many areas the lower and
56 middle tree canopies of the forest, the lower and middle parts of the southern slopes, glens and forms the second
57 tree layer.

58 The main habitats of musk deer are located in dark coniferous mountain forests, especially ripe and close to
59 the stage of natural climax, which provide all the conditions for the existence of the species. These forests contain
60 abundant arboreal fruticose lichens genera *Usnea*, *Evernia*, *Alectoria*, soft fir needles, leaves of rhododendrons
61 (*Rhododendron sichotense*) and others, this are the main components of its nutrition, especially in winter. Thanks
62 to the protection in the reserve, an increased animal number is maintained. The number of musk deer exceeded
63 3000 individuals [41] in the first period of research ??1974) ??1975) ??1976) ??1977) ??1978) ??1979) ??1980)
64 ??1981) ??1982) ??1983) in the reserve, its population density was more than 30 individuals per 10 km 2 in the
65 most favorable fir-spruce forests and cedar pine forests with fir, larch, in the basin Serebryanka river -usually
66 11-24 musk deer. However, the musk deer population gradually London Journal of Research in Science: Natural
67 and Formal decline since 1975-1980, mainly due to natural causes. In the second period (since 2003-2004), we
68 counted in Zimoveyniy from 12-13 in 2004 to 3 musk deer per 10 km 2 in 2012. Outside the reserve, its population
69 density is less. Density is affected by habitat transformation by tree felling, roads, hunting and poaching [43]
70 [31].

71 5 Data collection

72 6 Key Plots, the "Zimoveyniy"

73 The methodology was based on snow tracking and visual observations of musk deer accustomed to the presence
74 of an observer and their neighbors with photo and video recording, from 2011-2015 which supplemented by radio
75 tracking [41][19] ??20][46]). The studies were carried out in key plots at least 10-25 km 2 in the river basins of
76 the eastern macroslope of the Sikhote-Alin ridge. We have created a network of intersecting pathways 0.5-0.6 km
77 apart, with a total length of 35 km in Zimoveyniy. Of these, 9.5 km are marked every 20 m with scarlet paint.
78 The main pathways run along the mountain foothills, streams, along the crests of the watersheds. Pathways and
79 many landmarks are marked on the plans with the basis of aerial photographs in ??1976 ??1979 ?? From 2003
80 ??2004 we used satellite images, GPS and GPS-Glonas recorders. From the pathways, we approached the places
81 of the previous musk deer snow tracking and continued to trail further, determining the location azimuths of the
82 during radio tracking.

83 7 Tracking technique

84 The method of musk deer inurement, visual observations, snow tracking is described in detail in publications
85 [41] [46], popular on the website [44]. Musk deer, accustomed to us, allowed themselves to be observed from a
86 distance of 1.5-10 m, it did not change their behavior and activity rhythm, retained this property of behavior all
87 their lives, after long breaks. Each accustomed musk deer had special features of build, the location of spots on
88 the fell and behavioral characteristics in relation to the observer. The intensive growth of canines in males up
89 to the age of three years ensured the identification of several age classes: under yearlings, (0-1) year; the second
90 -(1-2) years, the third year of life -(2-3); three years old and older (?3). According to the shape of the head and
91 spotting of the color, under yearlings of both sexes, females of the age of (1-2) years and older (?2) were reliably
92 identified. The age was determined exactly for the musk deer accustomed to an observer at the age of less than
93 a year of life. Sex and age classes have special trace characters [45].

94 The tracking we carried out directly on the musk deer trails. The distances were measured with checked steps,
95 by compasses, GPS recorder, with an orientation to known landmarks (landscape features, vegetation changes,
96 fallen trees, etc.). A more accurate survey of the movement trajectories carried out with a surveying compass with
97 the registration of changes in directions by natural components -the vectors Va (according to the average from 1.3
98 m to 4.0 m at different transitions) ([41] [42] etc.). We noted encountered: scent marks, latrines (accumulations
99 of several dunghill), beds, etc., as well as noticeable eats trail of lichen, needles, rhododendron, etc., and features
100 of the trajectory. Part of this tracks were marked with bright numbers (total 1749 in 1976-2008). Thus, we
101 determined the period between repeated visits to these points by musk deer, since neither the compass nor the
102 GPS recorder provided such an opportunity. The length of the daily movement (for ?24 hours) we determined
103 by the trajectory of movement between successive meetings of an individual every day.

104 8 Organization of musk deer monitoring

105 Some neighbors of the accustomed musk deer also gradually got used to our usual presence in the key plot. We
106 followed each musk deer continuously from 4-5 to 17 days, then took a break and often resumed tracking. From
107 2-3 to 5 neighboring individuals were usually tracked per day. In the interval between a tracking some musk
108 deer, its emergence in the places of tracking a neighbor was established at the meeting of traces. After that we
109 tracked it up to the meeting. This made it determine more possible to accurately the overlap size and the
110 number of visits to the home London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal range of musk deer
111 by neighbors. We regularly kept records of lichen (Usnea, Evernia, Alectoria) and other food of musk deer on
112 permanent (11) and episodic plots of 400 m 2 and 900 m 2 . The results show a sufficient abundance of musk deer
113 food in the Zimoveiniy key plot [41] On the schemes (Fig. 1, ??) it is possible to determine a similar course of
114 trajectory movement after a long tracking break within no more than 70-100 m along the horizontal of the relief
115 and 15-20 m along the vertical. In each season, we used our footprints of previous snow tracking for orientation.

116 9 The size of home ranges and the allocation of their zonal 117 structures

118 The author adheres to the classical definition of the "home range" term [4], adjusted in relation to the time
119 of study and ideas about the home range as a statistical dynamic system of movements. The latter has been
120 shown when using snow tracking [41] [46], and radio and GPS satellite tracking (??25][16][26] [23]; etc.). The
121 outer contours of home ranges, of overlapping areas of neighboring musk deer on each other, are drawn along
122 the trajectories of individuals bordering the areas, with smoothing at intervals of at least 50-100 m of their small
123 changes in the path [46]. Such a method was used and when identifying a common center of activity, local
124 activity cores or kernel ??[4][15] [39]; etc.), and zones with different the density of scent marks, beds. The cores
125 are identified along dense groups of closed trajectories, usually at the locations of many beds. A common center
126 of activity brings together local cores with tracks in between. This makes it possible to determine the contours
127 taking into account the trajectories, which does not allow the selection of polygons by the radio and satellite
128 methods of tracking. Episodic outings of musk deer, more often females, outside the home range were not taken
129 into account. Marking frequency indices were used: the number of MGC marks, etc. per 0.1, 0.2 km or 1 km of
130 tracking.

131 10 Marking patterns

132 Musk deer has several specific skin glands. Sokolov and Chernova (2001) generalized the results of their structure.
133 All of these glands are involved in marking the home range. However, the complex of caudal glands: circumcaudal
134 and supracaudal in males, circummanal in both sexes are of particular importance. Marking by glandular
135 excretion of this complex has been studied both in wild and in captivity ([40][41], [33][27], etc.). Adult male
136 leave two types of marks with caudal glands. 1) Smell spots (MGC), no more than of 2-4 cm in diameter, on
137 objects that rise 30-70 cm above the ground or snow (stumps, branches, roots, stems of grass).

138 2) Dunghills -pellet group (PG), which during patrols can reach a mass of only 1.1-5 g and intensely smell of
139 glandular excreta.

140 All musk deer also leave large heaps of excrement (more than 20-25 g) often in latrine (some more than 20-30
141 piles). The number of latrines and individual pellet hills in the habitat in any period reaches hundreds of pieces.
142 Females and under yearlings usually scrape the substrate with their forelegs after defecation. Adult males leave
143 separate small piles, usually in a "new" place, on path, rarely on the feces of other species. Often (76%) males
144 do not scrape the substrate, especially in small piles, or make one or two scrapers. The pattern of marking
145 objects in nature consists of: 1) approach to the scent mark; 2) its study, sniffing; 3) turning, raising or lowering
146 on the London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal hind legs, applying the excreta of glands by
147 rubbing from side to side or less often from top to bottom; 4) scrapers with one or alternately two front legs of
148 the substrate towards the object of marking; 5) going away. Sometimes the musk deer licks off the old excreta
149 of the conspecific with its tongue, also scrapes the bark of firs on the excretory spot with incisors. A variety of
150 objects allows the musk deer to leave marks in the coniferous forest almost everywhere. MGC marks are clearly
151 visible when tracking.

152 11 Patterns of behavior when musk deer male movements 153 around the home range

154 Males combine usually several behavioral activities along the way, among which one usually dominates [41].
155 Foraging A] predominates in the fragments of way with active feeding and rest (according to the frequency of
156 eating and resting places) with many turns, short steps (up to 25-35 cm) it is usually in the center of the home
157 range. The pattern B] of active patrolling distinguished by a more straightened trajectory, a long stride (up to
158 40-45 cm), sometimes trotting, a large number of scent marks, and rare food collection. Complex patrolling C]
159 combines active foraging with scent marking. There are movement fragments in places rarely visited by musk
160 deer D] with a low marking frequency. At the same time, males collect food (lichen thallome scraps, etc.) from
161 the underlying surface, branches with different activity almost all the way.

162 **12 Statistical Methods**

163 Statistical calculations were carried out using Statistica 8, Statgraphics Plus. Nonparametric methods were used,
 164 since many samples are small. They were verified by Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) and Shapiro-Wilk (SW-W)
 165 tests. The difference in individual marking parameters of musk deer at different times and in different parts of
 166 the habitat was assessed using the Wilcoxon Matched Pairs Test (W-test), ANOVA Friedman. The text also
 167 uses the following designations: Me -median; CV, % -coefficient of variation; r s is the Spearman correlation
 168 coefficient.

169 **13 III. RESULTS**170 **14 The structure of the musk deer observable grouping in the**
 171 **Zimoveyniy key plot in the seasons of 1977 and 2004 and**
 172 **Parameters of home ranges**

173 In the Zimoveyniy key plot, the musk deer uses most usually the upper halves of the northern and northeastern
 174 slopes of the watersheds along their ridges, overgrown with cedar pine forests with abundant the Kringam fir,
 175 larch and other trees, as well as areas with a similar dense forest of the bottom of the river and streams valley.
 176 The activity of home ranges cores of males were located in a forest with abundant undergrowth, a "polewood"
 177 of the Kringam fir. Females and underyearlings settled in the forest with a windfall and coniferous regrowth.

178 **15 In 1977**

179 We determined the presence in January-March of 11 musk deer per 4.8 km² of tracking area: four males, five
 180 females of reproductive age (older than a year) and two more individuals (female and under yearlings) on the
 181 outskirts, which monitored only once. In February, in a cedar forest near the Zimoveiniy stream (between 4 and
 182 6; hereinafter, the numbers in the rectangles in Fig. 1,2) settled on a part of the M4 home range, a previously
 183 unknown female ("Martian") of age (1)(2). By the beginning of March, it had gone to the upper reaches along
 184 the slope. Conflicts with it other musk deer were not noticed. M4 ("Starik" -"Old-timer"), M8juv ("Myshka"
 185 -"Mouse"), F1 ("Neulovimaya" -"Elusive"), F2 ("Mila") were accustomed to the observer presence, M5 (1-2), M6
 186 (1-2) made it possible to follow from a distance of 20-30 m. External parameters of the main structural elements
 187 (cores, common center of activity) of the area used by musk deer during the tracking period shown in Table 1.
 188 For M6, the parameters are given until February 27, F3 until February 21, 1977. The lynx (Lynx lynx) caught
 189 these animals on these dates. The areas of females significantly (from 26-27% to ?100%) overlapped with areas
 190 of adult males. Three of females, then two and one lived within the home range M4 and at least two lived within
 191 the area (S > 1 km²) M7 London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal (> 2 year). The sizes of
 192 the M7, F4, and F5 home ranges, which were observed fragmentarily, were not precisely determined. However,
 193 it was established that the center of activity of the M7 was located in a cedar pine forest with fir along the crest
 194 of the WSH 1 watershed (Fig. 1). Overlap of home ranges females in phyllopatry association at point 6 (Fig.
 195 1,2) had lower values: for F1 -15%, F2-21%, F3 -18-19%. The females occasionally ventured outside their normal
 196 ranges. F1 in February made two exits from the valley to the slopes, also walked all night through the M8juv
 197 habitat, lay down twice, and then returned to its usual site. The movement of F1 expanded after the death of
 198 F3 and the departure of F2 in the first ten days of March up the WSH 2 watershed and along the Zimoveiniy
 199 stream. F1 has used many parts of the former sites of these females.

200 **16 In 2004 ?**

201 In February, the snow depth reached 43-50 cm in the forest. In January-February, we determined the presence of
 202 10 musk deer on 5.1 km² of the area surveyed by tracking. Among them, M9 ("Iskatel" -"Fortune hunter") and
 203 M10 ("Hero"), F4 ("Beauty") are accustomed to our presence. Other traced individuals (Table 2) occasionally
 204 allowed themselves to be observed from distances of 20-35 m. The musk deer population density in this time
 205 was 3-1.4 times less than the average population density of this association in 1975-1982. At the beginning of
 206 the season in the dense forest of the river valley and Zimoveyniy stream, three females lived near the outskirts
 207 of home ranges M9 and M11 (Fig. 2). The overlap of their sites reached 23% for F5, 29% for F6 and 50% for
 208 F4. However, the females stayed mainly in the places (6-15 ha) not overlapping by the neighbors visits. This
 209 phyllopatric females aggregation began to disintegrate in the first half of February, probably due to competition
 210 between them. However, the author noticed traces of only one pursuit by F4 jumps of a younger F6, while
 211 tracking. F4 did not fully use the vacated areas of the forest. At first, the female moved closer to the Sukhoy
 212 stream along the valley (area (0.04 km² ; F1 lived here in 1977), but a day later it went into the forest, where
 213 F2 lived in 1977 (0.14 km²).

214 Nearby was the underyearling home range, which was almost 50% overlapped by tracks of movements of
 215 M10. A significant overlap of home ranges was determined for males (Table 2): M9 -49%, M10 -43-44%, M11
 216 -at least 16% from the M9 site. These percentages are even higher, since for the neighbor Mad on WSH 1 (Fig.
 217 2) part of the area on the watersheds remained untracked. However, in these places, footprints of musk deer of
 218 different freshness were registered during tracking.

219 London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal The home range size, areas of common centers of
220 activity in males was 22-23% for M9, 37.3% for M10, and approximately 20-25% for M11. The general centers
221 and, especially, the local cores of activity were located almost in the same places where centers and cores of males
222 were located in 1977 (Fig. 1,2). The difference from the situation in 1977 was small distances between the centers
223 and the main local cores of neighboring males: only 150-350 m or less between M9 and M10; 200-250 m between
224 M9 and M11. M9 formed core ? (Fig. 2B) almost synchronously with the movement of F4 to neighboring areas.
225 In March, M10 delimiting the main place of presence of F4 with MGC scent marks. At this time, we did not
226 notice the movement of M10 along the center of the M9 home range (cores 1, 2 in the rectangles and between
227 them; Fig. 2). However, from the side of Zimoveiniy and WSH 2 traced two M11 trajectories near the main core
228 1 of M9.

229 **17 Frequency of MGC marking in activity centers and periph- 230 ery of home ranges**

231 The marking frequency (Tables 3,4) differs significantly in the centers of activity and on the periphery of the
232 home ranges of adult (>3-4 years old) males M4 (1977), and M10 (2004), as well as M6 (1-2 year old in 1977)
233 (Table ??). A male of the age class (1-2) M5 (1977) had a similar frequency of marking the center of activity and
234 the periphery under conditions of close location of the overlap zones of neighboring males to the center of M5.

235 The difference slightly does not reach the p-value ($p < 0.05$) for M11 (Table ??), the center of activity of which
236 almost adjoined the home range of M9 (Fig. 2A, ??). The frequency of marking the periphery and the center
237 of activity for M9 (>3) had an insignificant difference. M10 visited the center of activity of this male and its
238 surroundings in January-February 2004 (Fig. 2A). London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal

239 Table ??: Results of the assessment of differences (W-test) in the marking frequency in the center of activity
240 and the periphery of male home ranges (according to Tables 3 and4).

241 **18 Frequency of marking with MGC marks in different zones 242 of male home ranges**

243 The results show that the frequency of MGC marks per 0.2 km of the way in 1977 varied somewhat in different
244 parts of the male home ranges (Table 6). However, a significant variation in these indices (CV up to 194-320%
245 with n up to 23-55) and a large proportion of null results allowed us to identify only a few major differences (Table
246 ??). Comparison of data from 3 different cores of the M4 home range showed insufficient difference between the
247 samples in the overall continuum: ; ? 2 = 3.0 (df = 3; p = 0.39), as in different parts of the periphery: ? 2 =
248 0.444 (df = 4; p = 0.979). However, in the zone of active patrolling 5-7 (1.5 scent marks, n = 23, SD = 1.442)
249 and between (0.62, n = 21, SD = 0.864), the difference is significant: W-t test, z = 2.22; n = 21; p = 0.026. In
250 the common center and activity cores of M4, patterns of food gathering and resting A] prevailed over 82% of the
251 total trajectories length (27.6 km). Only on some fragments of the way, the male left 2-3 marks per 0.2 km. The
252 mark distribution in groups is also noticeable in other places, in particular, on trajectories along the M8juv area,
253 where we observed one fragment of active marking B] in 4 (in Fig. 1). The male marked, with a slightly lower
254 frequency, fragments of the way between cores 1, 2. He marked the periphery noticeably more often, especially
255 the zones of active marking in the places of overlapping home ranges of M4, M6, and M7 (Fig. 1, Table 6), with
256 a total length along way 1, 6-1.7 km and a depth of up to 0.15-0.250 km. However, even before entering this zone
257 from core 2, the male began to actively marking (up to 3-4 scent marks per 0.2 km) on patterns B] (in 5 to 6 in
258 Fig. 1) 700-800 m before overlapping with M6. It also marked actively after leaving the zone 7-6. This zone is
259 the inertial zone of the marking. This inertia is also observed in other places (in 3, 7, etc.; Fig. 1,2).

260 In the snowy winter of 2004, many movements of the observed musk deer located in the valley and on the near
261 slopes more compactly than in 1977. However, many features of the home ranges structure of predecessors were
262 preserved (Figs. ANOVA Friedman 4-7). However, in the overlap zone of the M10 home range, the frequency
263 increased significantly to 1.27 (n = 15; SD = 1.44) compared with the nuclear labeling (0.5; n = 24; = 0.771);
264 W-test: z = 2.24; n = 15; p = 0.025, especially after F4 moved here from Zimoveiniy stream. Each time when
265 walking around this part of the home range, M9 encountered fresh tracks of F4, walked along them (complex
266 patrolling C], turning into pattern A]), several times it lay down near F4 in a new nucleus in C (Fig. 2). This
267 area was visited actively with marking and M10, to the home range which F4 also moved. At the same time, M9
268 marked the center of activity of its home range more intensively than the adultery M10: z = 2.84; n = 42; p =
269 0.005.

270 **19 Density distribution of MGC scent marks in male home 271 ranges**

272 In key plot Zimoveiniy, aggregations of male trajectories are distinguished, uniting the cores of activities (between
273 1 and 2, 1-3, etc., Figs. 1A,2A) and patrol (4)(5)(6)(7)(8)(9) in the peripheral parts of home ranges. Adult males
274 bypassed all the periphery of their territories in no less than 4-7 days (M4 in 5-6 days), each time passing only

275 a part of it. The next patrol usually took place in a few days. Only some males repeated visits to the same
276 overlapping zone more frequently if the female's home range was located there (M9 near F4 home range in 2004).

277 In 1977 and 2004, we identified a similar arrangement of patrol trajectories in the overlapping of the male
278 home ranges relative to relief and other landmarks (Figs. 1,2). Only a slight shift of these zones was observed.
279 The location of the main activity cores, the central system of movements that unite the local cores in a common
280 center, was also preserved (1-2, 1-3).

281 In 1977 and 2004, the trajectories of the central system, and especially patrol ways 5 and 6, lay along the
282 outskirts of the female areas, between their territories. Males often did not enter the central parts of female
283 home ranges. Since February 1977, M4 also bypassed the main part of the M8juv area, which began to be
284 marked with MGC marks since March. Conflicts of these musk deer at short distances from each other were
285 not noticed. Conservatism in the schemata of ways location also determined the peculiarities of the males scent
286 marks disposition.

287 There is no direct relationship between the marking frequency and the marks density (Tables 6,7) for all males
288 in different zones of their habitats: for M4, $r = 0.86$ ($p = 0.13$); M9 - $r = -0.65$ ($p = 0.35$); M10 - $r = 0.78$, $p =$
289 0.24, total for these males $r = 0.35$ ($p = 0.26$). For M4, M6 in 1977 and M10 in 2004, the marks density in the
290 marking zones was higher than in the activity core. At the same time, the ratio of marks densities in these zones
291 is different for M4 and M6 ($? 2 = 5.16$; $df = 1$; $p = 0.02$), for M9 and M10 ($? 2 = 20.09$; $df = 1$; $p < 0.001$), as
292 well as for M4 and M10 of different generations ($? 2 = 51.42$; $df = 1$; $p < 0.001$). However, the difference is not
293 significant for M4 and M9 living in the same place at different times ($? 2 = 2.40$; $p = 0.12$), as well as for males
294 of different age classes M6 and M10 ($? 2 = 2, 02$; $p = 0.12$). The marks distributions in the males home ranges
295 of different ages M6 and M9 also had similarities ($? 2 = 1.18$; $p = 0.28$).

296 20 London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal

297 Scent Marking of Home Ranges in the Different Generations of the Siberian Musk Deer (*Moschusmoschiferus L.*
298 1757);

299 Meaning of Caudal Glands

300 21 SD

301 In 1977, we did not notice visits by neighboring males to the M4 activity center, except for one visit to the M5
302 margin, and the zones of active marking were distant from the main activity core.

303 In the marking zone 5-7 (Fig. 1), the density of fresh marks in different places reached 1.42-2.8 per hectare, in
304 the inertial zone 2/ha. This was different from the marks density in cores: W-test, $z = 2.02$; $p = 0.043$. Density
305 indices differed in different activity cores by almost 1.5 times (from 0-0.69 marks to 1 per ha). M4 left 16 marks
306 in the 5-6 zone in just two patrols (1.65 km of way). In activity core 1, the male left five and four marks on only
307 two the way fragments in places where old marks meet.

308 In 2004, the overlap zone between M9 and M10 reached 0.46 km². Patrol ways of males were located quite
309 compactly almost in the same place where a similar zone stretched near M4 in 1977 (Fig. 1,2). M9 had 0.83
310 MGC marks per ha, and in the inertial marking zone 5 had 1.67 scent marks, in the activity cores 1.69 (0.92-2)
311 per hectare. Differences with mark densities in these parts of the home range are small (W-test, $z = 1.75$; $p =$
312 0.08). The increased marks density in the core of M9 is due to the rare visits of the M10.

313 The concentration of fresh MGC markers in the M9 activity core is associated with visiting the surroundings
314 and marking by the M11 by the end of March 2004. At a distance of up to 60 m from the M11 trajectories, 11
315 marks were encountered, up to 130 m, -18, and up to 300 m, another 7; $r^2 = 0.923$, $p = 0.009$. M9 marked core
316 activity mainly on pattern of complex patrolling C].

317 22 IV. DISCUSSION

318 The tracking methods for many days in key plot, in combination with visual observation of individually
319 recognizable individuals, made it possible to accurately determine whether the marks belong to one or another
320 musk deer male, accustomed to the observer. However, the full application of the method is possible in the snowy
321 periods of the year. The study determined a significant similarity in the home ranges location, especially the
322 main cores of activity (with the exception of their specific contours defined by motion trajectories), movement
323 patterns of musk deer generations, separated by a time interval of almost 30 years. All this time, the grouping
324 existed in stable conditions that are typical for coniferous forests, especially those close to the natural climax
325 stage with sufficient food resources for musk deer [41]. The population density of the musk deer was in 2003-2004
326 on Zimoveiny, only 1.3-1.4 times less than in 1976-1977, the numbers of musk deer in the association are almost
327 equal, and the sizes of home ranges of individuals of the corresponding sex and age groups are similar. The
328 impact of the snowy winter of 2004 had a local effect. The musk deer is adapted to its usual movement in deep
329 snow in coniferous forests [43].

330 The data (Fig. 1, 2; Tables 34 ??67) contain information about the encounter of only fresh marks during snow
331 tracking. However, during the snow period, males leave more MGC marks in their home ranges, since we tracked
332 only part of the musk deer movements. During the mating season (November-December), the intensity of marking
333 in adult males (?2-3 years old) reached 15-18 MGC marks per day, males traveled an average of 3.6 km with the

334 prevalence of active patrolling B] on most of the way [41]. In January-March, the lengths of daily movements
335 (to 1-3.5 km) and the scent marks number (3-8) per day decreased. Young males (1-2) left up to 4-5 marks
336 per day in November-December, and 1-2 marks in January-March. Total, in November-December, adult males
337 left from 750 to more than 1000 marks in their home ranges, in January-February -from 250 to more than 700;
338 young males, respectively, about 200-300 and 90-180. After a period of active marking in November-December,
339 males usually renewed their previous marks, the encounters number with which is much higher (>75-90%) than
340 with the marks of their neighbors [41]. Meanwhile, the smell of MGC persists for a long time. We felt it 1-1.5
341 months after marking. Nevertheless, males renewed some marks 4-6 times in 1.5-2 weeks, and in some places of
342 key importance for the movement organization, 5-7 marks were located in limited areas (100-200 m²). Such
343 activity of marking, which seems to be excessive, is probably London Journal of Research in Science: Natural
344 and Formal associated with possible rapid changes in the musk deer groupings, such as the death of a neighbor,
345 with the maintenance of territorial status and scent background by males.

346 The results indicate a significant relationship between the frequency of MGC marking and the distribution of
347 scent marks in the male musk deer home ranges with the movements of neighboring males, the distribution of
348 their marks, and the social situation in the musk deer grouping in different time. The movements of adult males
349 (?2-3 years) are coordinated, moreover, with the distribution of females, which leads to an indirect connection
350 between the distribution of marking zones and the location of females' territories. However, the distribution of
351 marks (Figs. 1,2) and indices of their density (Tables 4 ??67) in home ranges indicate a relationship between
352 MGC marking, primarily with the movements of males, with their visits to each other's home ranges, with the
353 proximity of the main activity centers and local cores of neighbors.

354 The frequency of MGC marking is usually higher in zones of active marking at the periphery of home range
355 than in the cores of activity, especially in adult males (Tables 34 ??67), but not always. There was no direct
356 relationship between the marking frequency and marks density by the end of the tracking period ($p > 0.05$).
357 According to the ratio of mark density indices in cores and in marking zones, two main variants in the location
358 of markers are distinguished: a) active marking zones are distant from the main activity core, neighboring males
359 do not visit the activity core of the resident, males often visit the marking zones on the periphery; b) some local
360 cores almost adjoins the area of overlap with the home ranges of neighbors, neighboring males visit the main
361 cores with marking.

362 In variant b), the scent mark density in the cores visited by neighbors was even higher than in the infrequently
363 visited peripheral marking zones (Table 7, in M9), the marking frequencies of the common center of activity and
364 the periphery did not differ much (Table ??). Alternative b) includes data of the marks distribution in the home
365 range of the male (2-3 years old) in our other key area [46]: 54.1% of MGC marks were located in the common
366 center and activity cores of this male during frequent visits to his territory by neighbors. The male formed a
367 modular structure near the places where neighbors penetrated into the center of activity, which ensured the vital
368 activity of the individual and the protection of the territory. Elements of the modular structure can also be
369 notice for males on Zimoveiniy, near local cores (for M4, M10) and the female habitats.

370 Scent marks have dispersal over the entire area of males from the age of >1 year, especially during the mating
371 season ??40] [41]). However, the density of their distribution has different values in different parts of home ranges.
372 Males mark after the mating season with greater frequency, mainly certain zones. These include areas visited by
373 other males. Among them, in specific episodes, a vast zone 5-7 stands out (Fig. 1, 2) in the place of overlapping
374 areas of male neighbors of successive generations. The location of this belt has changed only slightly since 1977.
375 By the 2000 two of its sections are detached: the lower one (5)(6) in the place of active B] and complex C]
376 patrolling of M9 and M10, and the upper on the mountain slope (near 7; Fig. 2), which was preserved in the
377 place of the M4 and Mad confrontation in 1977. Other zones are also maintain their approximate localization
378 according to changes in the male movements.

379 Peters and Mech [24] were among the first to propose a graphical grid-and-nodal model, according to which
380 the density of scent marks in the wolf (*Canis lupus*) increases in the border zones between the home ranges of
381 neighboring packs. However, wolves also actively mark the environs of the den, but in a different way [2]. The
382 location of marks in places where competitors are likely to appear is also characteristic of other predatory animals:
383 the coyote (*Canis latrans*) [3], the African lion (*Panthera leo*) ??17][18], and the cheetah (*Acinonyx jubatus*) [13];
384 the tiger (*P. tigris*) [32], leopard (*P. pardus*) [29], etc. The inertial marking phenomena that we observed in
385 the musk deer (the inertial marking belt in 5 and others; Figs. 1,2) violate the strict relationship London
386 Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal between the distributions of scent marks and neighboring
387 individuals. Musk deer males marking actively, starting bypassing belt 5-6, almost a kilometer before visiting
388 the overlapping area with neighboring males. However, all the years there was no regular presence of males from
389 the side of the river, where this zone is located in the forest. The activity (motion, marking) of males increased
390 spontaneously during patrolling due to the corresponding motivation (reconnaissance, individualization of the
391 territory, aggression). The inertial marking zones persisted for as long as well the marking zones in the overlay
392 area. The phenomenon of inertial marking additionally indicates an excessive amount of scent marking means.

393 In general, redundant marking contradicts, on the one hand, the principle of saving and reducing costs
394 (which is suppose by some authors: [8][11] [2]. But on the other hand, it is effective not only in creating
395 soft "barriers", hindrances between plots of neighbors only on a part of the periphery, but it is also optimal for
396 the individualization of the all territory by a resident. Male musk deer are able to distinguish their MGC marks

23 V. CONCLUSION

397 from those of their neighbors. This has been shown both in natural conditions [41] and in captivity [27]. In the
398 natural environment, the influence of encounters with smell marks of neighbors on the change in the movement
399 trajectories of the recipients was also observed. Thus, MGC marking has diverse communicative functions; it is
400 involved in the regulation of the dynamics and stabilization of the musk deer distribution. Such a response is
401 observed in cheetah [13].

402 This conclusion adds to the hypothesis by Gosling [7] [10]. that residents mark their home ranges with scent
403 to give recipients a means of evaluating when comparing the scent of individuals upon meeting with the mark
404 scent. Resident musk deer neighbors are familiar with each other, but they have a small number of contacts
405 when they meet most of the year [41] [46]. The excreta of the caudal complex glands contains various chemicals
406 [34] [35] and they is able to indicate to the recipient several parameters of the donor. This is consistent with the
407 concept of broad communicative properties of marking, which is also suggested by Macdonald [18] -"connection
408 marking") for predators, by ??atyushkin [21] for the Amur tiger (*Panthera tigris altaica*), this is consistent with
409 the concept of mediated communication [30] and hypothesis by Gosling [7] [11].

410 Scent marking is one of the means, mechanisms for regulating the spatial distribution of individuals, however,
411 effective. Attention is drawn to the rare visit and then avoidance of M4 to the main site of the M8juv (1977)
412 even before the young male began marking his home range with sparse MGC marks from mid-March. Males
413 also did not form local nuclei in their home ranges in the places where other under yearlings and females are
414 usually present. Thus, the very distribution of musk deer individuals is based on a certain behavior stereotype,
415 which determines their adaptive dispersal according to environmental conditions, however, with the preservation
416 of a single association. Marking arrange in this case spatial and social relations between individuals. This is
417 facilitated by the maintenance for a long time, the inheritance of such elements of the structure of the used
418 space as the main marking zones. With this continuity is probably due to the similarity (? 2 ; p > 0.05) of the
419 distribution of mark density indices in different parts of the home ranges of different generations males occupying
420 habitats in the same places: adult males M4 and M9, different age classes M6 and M10.

421 23 V. CONCLUSION

422 The paper provides for the first time detailed information on the marking in musk males by the excretion of
423 the caudal glands (MGC) in different parts of their home ranges in connection with the movements and marking
424 by neighboring males, with location of females and underyearlings home ranges. The tracking methods makes
425 it possible to determine exactly the belonging of the marks to one or another musk deer, accustomed to the
426 observer and individually identifiable. The data indicate a significant similarity in the home ranges location, the
427 system of movements, in the activity cores distribution and the main marking zones for musk London Journal of
428 Research in Science: Natural and Formal

429 Scent Marking of Home Ranges in the Different Generations of the Siberian Musk Deer (*Moschusmoschiferus*
430 L. 1757); Meaning of Caudal Glands deer generations, separated by a time interval of almost 30 years. This
431 conservatism corresponds to rather stable conditions created by dark coniferous forest. Marking with MGC is
432 part of a general stereotype of behavior that determines the adaptive dispersal of individuals in an association
433 according to environmental conditions. This is an effective means of stabilization and dynamics of the group
434 structure, regulation of the distribution of individuals, especially males, relative to each other, including the main
435 patterns of bypassing their home ranges for a long time. The variety of scent marking communicative properties
436 are revealed in the creation of communication zones between the home ranges of neighboring males, which also
437 have the value of barriers, and in the individualization of the residents home ranges. Some redundancy in the
438 means of marking (the number of marks, inertial marking phenomena) is probably associated with possible rapid
changes in groupings, individualization, and the maintenance by males of a certain territorial status. ^{1 2 3 4}



Figure 1: Fig. 1 :

1

Musk deer, (age group)	Period, days*	Area, km ²	Perimeter, km	Length and Width, km	Centre, km ²	the number of neighbors of same sex	Overlap (km ²)
M4 (>3)	24	1,54	5,42	1,80×1,25	0,56	4	0,27
M5 (1-2)	18	?0,78	3,97	1,0×1,4	?0,20	2	?0,14
M6 (1-2)	14	0,66	3,22	1,2×0,93	0,30	2	0,22
M8juv (0-1)	25	0,30	2,26	0,83×0,62	0,23	1	0,26
F1 (>3)	19	0,34	2,51	1,10×0,49	0,20	2	0,053
F2 (2)	11	?0,15	1,45	0,62×0,46	?0,11	2	?0,032
F3 (2)	10	>0,16	1,33	0,48×0,44	?0,10	2	?0,03

Note: * -number of tracking days.

The percentage of overlapping sites of neighboring males on the site M4 in January-February was 18-20%. M4 appeared just a few days later in the former center of activity of M6 after the death of the latter. Until March 11, an overlap zone of sections M4 and M7 was formed, with an overlap area of 28-30% of the home range area M4. In this season, we did not notice visits of neighboring males to the M4 activity center, except for one passage of M5 to the center margin, as well as a similar visit of M4 to the M5 activity center. The area percentage of activity centers reached 36% of the M4 home range, 45-46% of M6, and 76-77% of M8juv. London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal 6

Figure 3: Table 1 :

2

Musk deer, (age group)	Period, day	Area, km ²	Perimeter, km	Length and Width, km.	Centre, km ²	the Number of neighbors of same sex	Overlap (km ²)
M9 (?3)	1,35	5,47	1,75× 1,26	0,30	3	0,66	
M10 (>4)	1,58	5,21	1,85×1,31	0,59	2 (3?)	0,69	
M11 (2-3)	>0,82	4,13	1,50×0,76	0,18	2 (?)	0,13+	
F4 (>3)	0,18	1,8	0,78×0,41	0,13	2	0,09	
F5 (3)	0,21	2,23	1,1×0,35	0,15	1	0,05	
F6 (2)	>0,20	2,33	0,71×0,71	0,13	1	0,058	

Figure 4: Table 2 :

3

		Scent marks GC / 02 km in		
Musk deer	Statistical index	?entre of Activity	Periphery	General
M4	Mean	0,23	0,82	0,48
	SD	0,619	1,214	0,984
	n	138	78	171
	Mean	0,20	0,45	0,30
M5	SD	0,459	0,631	0,547
	n	41	29	70
	Mean	0,22	0,28	0,24
M6	SD	0,422	0,461	0,435
	n	23	23	41

of the marking frequency with MGC scent marks (marks/0.2 km) of males followed by many days of tracking in January-March 1977.

Figure 5: Table 3 :

4

		Scent marks GC / 02 km in		
Musk deer	Statistical index	?entre of Activity	Periphery	General
M9	Mean	0,51	0,79	0,62
	SD	0,775	1,125	0,929
	n	70	43	113
	Mean	0,12	0,59	0,28
M10	SD	0,379	0,931	0,658
	n	52	27	79
	Mean	0,39	0,89	0,56
M11	SD	0,941	1,079	0,969
	n	23	18	59

Figure 6: Table 4 :

6

Values of the frequency and density of marks in different zones of the home range

Indices	Core	Periphery			Periphery		
		Common		Marking	Common		Marking
		Center	Whole		Core	Center	Whole
		area	area	zones	area	area	zones
Number of MGC/0,2 km	0,23 (0,62)	0,33 (0,62)	0,82 (1,21)	1,52 (1,44)	0,20 (0,46)	0,45 (0,63)	0,23 (0,62)
Density of MGC/ha	0,68		0,58	0,55	1,8	0,50	0,43
						0,39	0,64

Figure 7: Table 6 :

7

Values of the frequency and density of marks in different zones of the home range

Indices	Core	Periphery			Periphery		
		Common		Marking	Common		Marking
		Center	Whole		Core	Center	Whole
		area	area	zones	area	area	zones
Number of MGCs/0,2 km	0,50 (0,77)	0,51 (0,78)	0,79 (1,12)	1,27 (1,44)	0,12 (0,38)	0,10 (0,36)	0,59 (0,93)
Density of MGC/ha	1,90		1,79	0,11	0,83	0,18	0,58
						0,15	1,25

Figure 8: Table 7 :

¹ ©

² London Journals Press Volume 23 | Issue 1 | Compilation 1.0

³ © 2023 London Journals Press Volume 23 | Issue 1 | Compilation 1.0 Scent Marking of Home Ranges in the Different Generations of the Siberian Musk Deer (*Moschusmoschiferus* L. 1757); Meaning of Caudal Glands

⁴ Scent Marking of Home Ranges in the Different Generations of the Siberian Musk Deer (*Moschusmoschiferus* L. 1757); Meaning of Caudal Glands

⁵ © 2023 London Journals Press Volume 23 | Issue 1 | Compilation 1.0 Scent Marking of Home Ranges in the Different Generations of the Siberian Musk Deer (*Moschusmoschiferus* L. 1757); Meaning of Caudal Glands

⁶ London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal

⁷ © 2023 London Journals Press Volume 23 | Issue 1 | Compilation 1.0 Scent Marking of Home Ranges in the Different Generations of the Siberian Musk Deer (*Moschusmoschiferus* L. 1757); Meaning of Caudal Glands

⁸ © 2023 London Journals Press Volume 23 | Issue 1 | Compilation 1.0

⁹ © 2023 London Journals Press Volume 23 | Issue 1 | Compilation 1.0 Scent Marking of Home Ranges in the Different Generations of the Siberian Musk Deer (*Moschusmoschiferus* L. 1757); Meaning of Caudal Glands

¹⁰ © 2023 London Journals Press

440 .1 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

441 The personnel of the Sikhote-Alin Biosphere Reserve have supported research in every possible way throughout
442 the years. A J&C MacArthur Foundation Grant (2004–2005) and IFAW Small Grants (2007-2008) also
443 provided funding for the musk deer research and conservation project. The author thanks are grateful to the
444 administration of the Sikhote-Alin Reserve, to laboratory technicians and security inspectors V.K. Khrapskaya,
445 A.A. Dombrovsky, A.A. Astafiev, E.N. Smirnov, E.A. Pimenova, V.A. Voronin, V.F. Redkov, E.V. Medvedev for
446 assistance in and support of the field studies.

447 In the study, not a single musk deer died due to our fault. We did not influence the distribution and normal
448 movements of the musk deer in home ranges.

449 .2 No conflicts of interest

450 .3 Conflict of Interests

451 London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal

452 [Ethic] , Ethic .

453 [Powell ()] ‘Animal home ranges and territories and home range estimators’. R A Powell . *Research Techniques*
454 in *Animal Ecology* M C Pearl , Ed) N , -Y (eds.) 2000. Columbia Univer. Press. p. .

455 [Slaght et al. ()] ‘Anthropogenic influences on the distribution of a Vulnerable coniferous forest specialist: habitat
456 selection by the Siberian musk deer *Moschus moschiferus*’. J C Slaght , D Milakovsky , D A Maksimova , I V
457 Seryodkin , V F Zaitsev , A M Panichev , D G Miquelle . 10.1016/0003-3472(89)90001-8. *Oryx* 2017. 5 (1) p.
458 .

459 [Danilkin ()] *Behavioral ecology of Siberian and European roe deer*, A Danilkin . 1996. London: Chapman and
460 Hall.

461 [Gosling ()] ‘Defence guild of savannah ungulates as a context for scent communication’. L M Gosling . *Symposium*
462 *Zool* 1980. London. 45 p. .

463 [Gosling ()] ‘Demarkation in a gerenuk territory: an economic approach’. L M Gosling . *Zeitschrift für*
464 *Tierpsychologie* 1981. 56 p. .

465 [Barja et al. ()] ‘Faecal marking behaviour of Iberian wolf in different zones of their territory’. I Barja , F J De
466 Miguel , F Bárcena . *Folia Zool* 2005. 54 (1-2) p. .

467 [Carranza and Mateos-Quesada ()] ‘Habitat modification when scent marking: shrub clearance by roe deer
468 bucks’. J Carranza , P Mateos-Quesada . 10.1007/s004420000511. *Oecologia* 2001. 126 p. .

469 [Maksimova et al. ()] ‘Habitat of a young male musk deer in the Sikhote-Alin Reserve’. D A Maksimova , I V
470 Seredkin , V A Zaitsev , D G Mikell . *Proceedings of the XV meeting of geographers of Siberia and the*
471 *Far East*, (the XV meeting of geographers of Siberia and the Far East) 2015. Institute of Geography. V.B.
472 Sochava. SB RAS. p. . (Irkutsk: publishing house of the. In Russian)

473 [Maksimova et al. ()] *Home range and daily movements of musk deer*, D A Maksimova , I V Seredkin , V A
474 Zaitsev , D G Mikell . 2014. Sikhote-Alin.

475 [Zaitsev et al. ()] ‘Home Range Use by a Male Siberian Musk Deer (*Moschus moschiferus* L.) in Central Sikhote-
476 Alin’. V Zaitsev , D Maksimova , Y Smirnov , N Belotelov . 10.1134/S1062359021090247. *Biology Bulletin*
477 2021. 48 (9) p. .

478 [Worton ()] ‘Kernel Methods for Estimating the Utilization Distribution in Home-Range Studies’. B J Worton .
479 10.2307/1938423. *Ecology* 1989. 70 (1) p. .

480 [Sokolov et al. ()] *Main components of the musk deer caudate gland secretion. Chemical communication of*
481 *animals*, V E Sokolov , M Z Kagan , V S Vasilyeva , V I Prikhodko , E P Zinkevich . 1986. Moscow. p.
482 . (In Russian)

483 [Ralls ()] ‘Mammalian scent marking’. K Ralls . 10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.12.016. *Science* 1971. 171 p. .

484 [Moorcroft and Barnett ()] ‘Mechanistic home range models and resource selection analysis: a reconciliation and
485 unification’. P R Moorcroft , A Barnett . 10.1890/06-1985.1. *Ecology* 2008. 89 (4) p. .

486 [Rozhnov (ed.) ()] *Mediated chemo communication in the social behavior of mammals*, V V Rozhnov . Zakharov
487 A.A. (ed.) 2011. Moscow: Association of Scientific Publications KMK. (In Russian)

488 [Areals] *migrations and other movements of wild animals*, Areals . Vladivostok: Reya. p. . (In Russian)

489 [Prikhodko (ed.) ()] *Musk deer. Origin, systematics, ecology, behavior and communication*, V I Prikhodko . Panov
490 E.N. (ed.) 2003. Moscow: GEOS. (In Russian)

491 [Zaitsev ()] *Musk Deer: Ecology, Population Dynamics, Perspective for Conservation*, V A Zaitsev . 2006.
492 Moscow, Russia: Biodiversity Conservation Center Press. (In Russian)

493 [Kaufmann ()] ‘On the definition and functions of dominance and territoriality’. J H Kaufmann . *Biol. Rev.*
494 *Cambridge Phil. Soc* 1983. 58 (1) p. .

495 [Macdonald ()] 'Patterns of scent marking with urine and faeces amongst carnivore communities'. D W
496 Macdonald . *Symposium Zool. Soc. London* 1980. 45 p. .

497 [Peters and Mech ()] R P Peters , L D Mech . *Scent-marking in wolves*, 1975. 63 p. .

498 [Sokolov et al. ()] 'Poses and expressive movements in the behavior of the musk deer (*Moschus moschiferus* L.).
499 Message 1, 2'. V E Sokolov , V I Prikhodko , V M Smirin . *Zoological journal* 1980, 1981. 1980. 1981. 69 (12)
500 p. . (In Russian)

501 [Zaitsev ()] 'Scent Marking behavior of the Sikhote-Alin musk deer'. V A Zaitsev . *Bulletin of the Moscow Society
502 of Natural Scientists* 1985. 90 (6) p. . (Biology Branch. In Russian)

503 [Sun et al. ()] 'Scent marking behaviour in the male Chinese water deer'. L Sun , B Xiao , N Dai . *Acta
504 Theriologica* 1994. 39 (2) p. .

505 [Adams et al. ()] *Scent marking by male caribou: an experimental test of rubbing behavior*, C A Adams , R T
506 Bowyer , J E Rowell , W E Hauer , J A Jenks . 2001. 21 p. .

507 [Gosling ()] 'Scent marking by resource holders: alternative mechanisms for advertising the costs of competition'.
508 L M Gosling . *Chemical signals in vertebrates* 5, D W Macdonald , D Muller-Schwarze , S E Natynczuk (eds.)
509 (Oxford) 1990. Oxford University Press. p. .

510 [Gosling ()] 'Scent marking in an antelope lek territory'. L M Gosling . 10.1016/S0003-3472(87)80298-1. *Anim.
511 Behav* 1987. 35 p. .

512 [Barrette and Messier ()] 'Scent marking in free-ranging coyotes, *Canis latrans*'. C Barrette , F Messier .
513 10.1016/S0003-3472. *Anim. Behav* 1980. 28 p. .

514 [Smith et al. ()] 'Scent marking in free-ranging tigers, *Panthera tigris*'. J L D Smith , C Mcdougal , D Miquelle
515 . 1016/ 0003-3472. *Anim Behav* 1989. 37 p. .

516 [Green ()] 'Scent marking in the Hymalayan musk deer (*Moschus chrysogaster*)'. M J D Green . *J. Zool* 1987. 1
517 (4) p. .

518 [Vogt et al. ()] 'Scent-marking behaviour and social dynamics in a wild population of Eurasian lynx *Lynx lynx*'.
519 K Vogt , Fr Zimmermann , M Kölliker , U Breitenmoser . 10.1016/j.beproc.2014.04.017. *Behavioural Processes*
520 2014. 106 p. .

521 [Rafiq et al. ()] 'Scent-marking strategies of a solitary carnivore: boundary and road scent marking in the
522 leopard'. K Rafiq , N R Jordan , C Meloro , A M Wilson , M W Hayward , S A Wich , J W Mcnutt .
523 Doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.12.016. *Animal Behaviour* 2020. 161 (3) p. .

524 [Sokolov and Chernova (ed.) ()] *Skin glands of mammals*, V E Sokolov , O F Chernova . Bolshakov V.M. (ed.)
525 2001. Moscow: GEOS. (In Russian)

526 [Burt ()] 'Territoriality and home range concepts as applied to mammals'. W H Burt . *Journal of Mammalogy*
527 1943. 24 (3) p. .

528 [Macdonald ()] 'The Carnivore: Order Carnivora'. D W Macdonald . *Social odours in Mammals*, R E Brown ,
529 D W Macdonald (eds.) (Oxford) 1985. Clarendon Press. p. .

530 [Jewell ()] 'The concept of home range in mammals. Exploration and territory in mammals'. P A Jewell .
531 *Symposium of Zool. Soc* 1966. London. (18) p. .

532 [Gosling ()] 'The even toed ungulates: order Artiodactyla. Sources, behavioral context, and function of chemical
533 signals'. L M Gosling . *Social odors in mammals*, R E Brown , D W Macdonald (eds.) (Oxford, U.K.) 1985.
534 Oxford Univ. Press. p. .

535 [Kie et al. ()] 'The home-range concept: are traditional estimators still relevant with modern telemetry technology?'. J G Kie , J Matthiopoulos , J Fieberg , R A Powell , F Cagnacci , M S Mitchell , J M Gaillard , P R
536 Moorcroft . 10.1098/rstb.2010.0093. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 2010. 365 p. .

538 [Zaitsev ()] 'The Musk deer of the Sikhote-Alin. Ecology and behavior'. V A Zaitsev . *Science* L M Baskin , K
539 P Filonov (eds.) 1991. Moscow. (In Russian)

540 [Zaitsev ()] *The Musk deer. Training a saber-toothed animal*, V A Zaitsev . <https://kedr.media/stories/kabarga-priuchenie-sablezubogo-zverya-2455> 2022.

542 [Astafyev ()] 'The plant and animal world of the Sikhote-Alinsky zapovednik'. *Vladivostok: Publishing House of
543 Primpoligraphcomplex*, A A Astafyev (ed.) 2006. (In Russian)

544 [Mills et al. ()] 'The scent marking behaviour of the brown hyaena, *Hyaena brunnea*, in the Southern Kalahar'.
545 M G L Mills , M L Gorman , M E J Mills . *South African J. Zool* 1980. 15 p. .

546 [Eaton ()] *The World's Cats*, R L Eaton . 1973. Winston, Ore: World Wildlife Safari.

547 [Matyushkin ()] 'Traces and the method of snow tracking in the study of large predatory mammals'. E N
548 Matyushkin . *Zoologichesky Journal* 2000. 79 (4) p. . (In Russian)

549 [Zaitsev and Zaitseva ()] *Traces of musk deer. Hunting and hunting husbandry*, V A Zaitsev , V K Zaitseva .
550 1982. 5 p. . (In Russian)

551 [Zaitsev ()] ‘Vector systems and rhythms in movement and orientation of moose (Alces alces L.) and other
552 mammals (Mammalia)’. V A Zaitsev . *Journal of General Biology* 2002. 63 (4) p. . (In Russian)

553 [Powell and Mitchell ()] ‘What is a home range?’. R A Powell , M S Mitchell . 10.1644/11-MAMM-S-177.1. *J. of
554 Mammalogy* 2012. 93 p. .