

CrossRef DOI of original article:

Scan to know paper details and author's profile

Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970

Abstract

This work demonstrates certain standard fixed point theorems on complex-valued fuzzy metric spaces. We show certain fixed point findings in the situation of complex-valued fuzzy metric spaces, inspired by Singh et al. [25]. To begin, we extend some well-known existing conclusions from metric spaces to complex-valued fuzzy metric spaces and then prove them in the complex-valued complete fuzzy metric space context. We provide an example that supports our main result and supports our hypotheses.

Index terms—

1 I. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Zadeh [3] coined the term "fuzzy set." Following that, a slew of authors worked on fuzzy sets, expanding the fuzzy set theory and its applications [4][5][6]. The idea of fuzzy metric spaces was given by Kramosil and Michalik [7]. After then, George and Veeramani [9] updated this idea. Grabiec [8] investigated fuzzy metric space fixed-point theory. The idea of complex-valued metric spaces was introduced by Azam et al. [21].

Verma et al. [23] recently established 'Max' functions and the partial order relation for complex numbers, and used properties (E-A) and CLRg to prove fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space. Singh et al. [25] were the first to present the concept of complex-valued fuzzy metric spaces and to create the complex-valued fuzzy version of some metric space results.

The goal of this study is to expand well-known metric-space results to complex-valued fuzzy metric spaces and then prove them in complex-valued complete fuzzy metric spaces.

2 II. PRELIMINARIES

Def.2.1. [21]. Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and \mathbb{C}^n , where $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} + i\mathbb{C}$. Then a partial order relation ' \leq ' on \mathbb{C}^n is defined as follows: $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ if $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m \leq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Hence $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ if one of the following satisfies;

London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal (PO1) $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ (PO2) $\mathbb{C}^n < \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ (PO3) $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m < \mathbb{C}^n$ (PO4) $\mathbb{C}^n < \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m < \mathbb{C}^n$

In particular, $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ if $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ and one of (PO2), (PO3), and (PO4) is satisfied, and we write $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ if only (PO4) is satisfied.

It can be noted that; $0 \leq \mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$, $|\mathbb{C}^n| < |\mathbb{C}^m|$, $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$, $\mathbb{C}^m \leq \mathbb{C}^n$, $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$. Def.2.2.[21]. Complex-Valued Metric Space (CVMS)

Let \mathbb{C} be a non-empty set. Assume that the mappings $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies: (CV1) $0 \leq \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, for all $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ iff $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}$;

(CV2) $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, for all $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{C}$;

(CV3) $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) + \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, for all $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then \mathbb{C} is called a complex-valued metric on \mathbb{C} , and (\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) is called a CVMS. Def.2.3. [23]. The 'max' function with partial order relation ' \leq ' is defined as (1) $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ if $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ (2) $\mathbb{C}^n < \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ (3) $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m < \mathbb{C}^n$ (4) $\mathbb{C}^n < \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m < \mathbb{C}^n$

And the 'min' functions can be defined as (1) $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ if $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ (2) $\mathbb{C}^n < \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m \leq \mathbb{C}^n$ (3) $\mathbb{C}^n \leq \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m < \mathbb{C}^n$ (4) $\mathbb{C}^n < \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{C}^m < \mathbb{C}^n$

Following Zadeh's [3] contribution to fuzzy set theory, a number of scholars [4][5][6] contributed to the field's basics and core theories.

Buckley [10] was the first to present the concept of fuzzy complex numbers. Other authors were inspired by Buckley's work and continued their research on fuzzy complex numbers. Ramot et al. [1] expanded fuzzy sets to complex fuzzy sets in this chain.

3 Singh et al. [25], inspired by Ramot et al. [1,

] constructed complex-valued fuzzy metric spaces using continuous t -norms, defined a Hausdorff topology on complex -valued fuzzy metric space, and gave the concept of Cauchy sequences in CVFMS.

We establish certain fixed-point conclusions in the situation of complex -valued fuzzy metric spaces, inspired by Singh et al. [25]. We begin by extending several well-known metric-space results to complex-valued fuzzy metric spaces, and then we prove those results in the setting of CVFMS. Def.2.4. [1]. The complex fuzzy set \mathcal{F} is given by $\mathcal{F} = \{(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})) \mid \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Where \mathcal{F} is a universe of discourse, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$ is a membership function and defined as $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$. $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$ The triplet $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}, *)$ is said to be CVFMS if a complex valued fuzzy set $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \times (0, 1)$ $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$ (where $\mathcal{F}, *$ is a complex valued continuous t -norm) fulfil the following criteria: and $\mathcal{F} > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a mapping that satisfies $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \geq \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{F} \in (0, 1)$. Then \mathcal{F} has a fixed point that is unique.

Fisher [24] established the following theorem in complete metric space for three mappings.

Theorem A [24]. Let S and T be continuous mappings of a complete metric space (X, d) into themselves. Then S and T have a common fixed point in X iff a continuous mapping A of X into $S(X) \cap T(X)$ exists, which commutes with S and T and satisfies;

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \geq \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ for all $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $0 < \mathcal{F} < 1$. Indeed \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} have a unique common fixed point.

We can now extend the preceding theorem/result to complex-valued complete fuzzy metric space as follows:

Theorem -3.1. Let $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}, *)$ be a complex-valued complete fuzzy metric space (CVCFMS). \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} are continuous mappings from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{F} . If \mathcal{F} is a continuous mapping from \mathcal{F} to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$, it commutes with \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} , and if detailed maps satisfy the following contractive condition.

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \geq \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ for all $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F} \in (0, 1)$ and $0 < \mathcal{F} < 1$ (3.11)

4 III. MAIN RESULTS

Additionally, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$, for all $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F} \in [0, 1]$. Then \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} , and \mathcal{F} have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: \mathcal{F} is a Cauchy sequence?

Since \mathcal{F} is a continuous mapping from \mathcal{F} to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$ so for $\mathcal{F} \in [0, 1]$, there exists any $\mathcal{F} \in [0, 1]$ such that $\mathcal{F} \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$

On keep repeating this process for different $\mathcal{F} \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathcal{F} \in [0, 1]$, we get a sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ such that In general, we get $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}_{n+2}, \mathcal{F}_{n+3}) \geq \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{F}_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}_{n+2})$, $\mathcal{F} > 0$ (???)

Hence by lemma (4.2), $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{F} .

Since the space \mathcal{F} is complete, so there exists some $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}$. The mappings \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F} are continuous. \mathcal{F} is continuous from \mathcal{F} to $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$

This implies that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F})$.¹



Figure 1: (

¹ Volume 23 | Issue 2 | Compilation 1.0 © 2023 Great Britain Journal Press



Figure 2:

2123323331321

Figure 3: $2 \mid 1 \ 2 \ 2 \mid$. $3 \ ? \ 3 + 2$; $3 < 3 \ 2? \ 3 + 1$; $3 < ? \ ? \ 21$ And

Def.2.5. [25]. Complex Valued Continuous t-norm

A binary operation $* : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, is

called complex valued continuous t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) $*$ is associative and commutative,

(2) $*$ is continuous,

(3) $x * 1 = x$

(iii) $x * y = \min\{x, y\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{x, y\} \quad \text{for a fix } x, y \in [0, 1]. \\ & , \quad \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1] \\ & \max\{x, y\} \\ & = x * y ; 0, \\ & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

$x, y \in [0, 1]$. Ex.2.5. [25]. The following binary operations defined in (i), (ii) and (iii) are complex valued continuous t-norm (i) $x * y = \min\{x, y\}$. (ii) $x * y = \max\{x, y\}$. (iii) $x * y = \min\{x, y\}$, for a fix $x, y \in [0, 1]$, Def.2.6. [25]. Complex Valued Fuzzy Metric Spaces (CVFMS)

Figure 4:

Lemma 2.7 [25]. Let $(X, d, *)$ be a CVFMS such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, y) = 1$, for all $x, y \in X$, if $d(x, y) = \min\{x, y\}$, for all $x, y \in X$, $0 < x, y < 1$, $x, y \in (0, 1)$ then $x = y$.

Lemma 2.8 [25]. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a CVFMS $(X, d, *)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 1$, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

for all $x, y \in X$. If there exists a number ϵ which lies on $(0, 1)$ such that $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \epsilon) = \min\{x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}\}$, $\epsilon > 0$, $\epsilon = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

The following theorem was established by Singh et al. [25], which is the resetting of the Banach contraction principle in CVFMS. Theorem 2.7 [25]. Let $(X, d, *)$ be a CVFMS such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, y) = 1$, $x, y \in X$,

Figure 5:

[Ramot et al. ()] , D Ramot , R Milo , M Friedman , A Kandel . *IEEE Transactions of Fuzzy Systems* 2002. 10 (2) p. .

[Singh et al. ()] ‘A novel framework of complex-valued fuzzy metric spaces and fixed-point theorems’. D Singh , V Joshi , M Imdad , P Kumam . *Journal of Intelligent and fuzzy system* 2016. 30 p. .

[Azam et al. ()] ‘Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces’. A Azam , B Fisher , M Khan . *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization* 2011. Results on Complex Valued Complete Fuzzy Metric Spaces. 32 (3) p. .

[Verma and Pathak ()] ‘Common fixed point theorems using property (E.A) in complex-valued metric spaces’. R K Verma , H K Pathak . *Thai Journal of Mathematics* 2013. 11 (2) p. .

[Jungck ()] ‘Commuting maps and fixed points’. G Jungck . *Amer Math Monthly* 1976. 83 p. .

[Grabiec ()] ‘Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces’. M Grabiec . *Fuzzy Sets and System* 1988. 27 p. .

[Buckley ()] *Fuzzy complex analysis I: Differentiation, Fuzzy Sets System*, J J Buckley . 1991. 41 p. .

[Buckley ()] ‘Fuzzy complex analysis II: Integration’. J J Buckley . *Fuzzy Sets System* 1992. 49 p. .

[Buckley ()] ‘Fuzzy complex numbers’. J J Buckley . *Proc ISFK*, (ISFKGuangzhou, China) 1987. 597.

[Buckley ()] ‘Fuzzy complex numbers’. J J Buckley . *Fuzzy Sets System* 1989. 33 p. .

[Klir et al. ()] ‘Fuzzy logic systems for engineering: A tutorial’. G J Klir , B Yuan , J M Mendel . *Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic: Theory and Applications*, (NJ) 1995. 1995. Prentice-Hall. 83 p. .

[Kandel ()] *Fuzzy Mathematical Techniques and Applications*, A Kandel . 1986. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

[Kramosil and Michalek ()] ‘Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces’. I Kramosil , J Michalek . *Kybernetika* 1975. 11 p. .

[Zadeh ()] ‘Fuzzy sets’. L A Zadeh . *Inform Control* 1965. 8 p. .

[Issue 2 | Compilation 1.0 Results on Complex Valued Complete Fuzzy Metric Spaces London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal 15 p. 63.]

[Fisher ()] ‘Mapping with a common fixed point’. B Fisher . *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ* 1979. 7 p. .

[Qiu and Shu ()] ‘Notes on a?AIJon the restudy of fuzzy ?complex analysis: Part I and part IIa?A? ?I’. D Qiu , L Shu . *Fuzzy Sets System* 2008. 159 p. .

[Qiu et al. ()] ‘Notes on fuzzy complex analysis’. D Qiu , L Shu , Z Wen Mo . *Fuzzy Sets System* 2009. 160 p. .

[George and Veeramani] ‘On some results in fuzzy metric spaces’. A George , P Veeramani . *Fuzzy Sets System* 64 p. .

[Qiu et al. ()] ‘On the restudy of fuzzy complex analysis: Part I. The sequence and series of fuzzy complex numbers and their convergences’. J Qiu , C Wu , F Li . *Fuzzy Sets System* 2000. 115 p. .

[Qiu et al. ()] ‘On the restudy of fuzzy complex analysis: Part II. The continuity and differentiation of fuzzy complex functions’. J Qiu , C Wu , F Li . *Fuzzy Sets System* 2001. 120 p. .

[Sethi et al. ()] ‘Probabilistic interpretation of complex fuzzy set’. N Sethi , S K Das , D C Panda . *IJCSEIT* 2012. 2 (2) p. .

[F ()] ‘Rouz ar an M. Im a , Some common ix e point t eorems on comp ex-va ue metric spaces’. F . *Computer and Mathematics with Applications* 1012. 64 p. .

[Ousmane and Wu ()] ‘Semi-continuity of complex fuzzy function’. M Ousmane , C Wu . *Tsinghua Science and Technology* 2003. 8 p. .

[Wu and Qiu ()] ‘Some remarks on fuzzy complex analysis’. C Wu , J Qiu . *Fuzzy Sets System* 1999. 106 p. .