

CrossRef DOI of original article:

In Vitro Multiplication of Hemp (Cannabis sp.) in Cotopaxi-Ecuador

3

4 Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970

5

6 Abstract

7

8 Index terms—

9 1 I. INTRODUCTION

10 In the industry of Cannabis spp. There are many hundreds of psychoactive and non-psychoactive varieties whose
11 uses are mainly destined to the medicinal, cultural, and recreational field; the cannabis industry has high
12 potential of growing due to the increase in number of countries focused in its medicinal use. The production
13 of Cannabis in the world has increased since 2011 to 2017 to 406.1 tons (t), and it is expected that licit global
14 market change from 7% in 2018 to 44% in 2025, moving 214 billion of dollars (166 licit and 48 illicit) (Ramírez,
15 Naranjo and Torres 2018).

16 Among the advantages found to make cultivation of these species viable in countries close to the equator,
17 it is mentioned an adequate legal framework, lower production costs (lighting, labor, inputs), good productive
18 infrastructure, availability, and a favorable productive fabric (pharmacy and floriculture). It is important to
19 promote Cannabis industry due to its potential for creation of job, and export (Ramírez, Naranjo and Torres
20 2018).

21 The Cannabis plant has existed for about 10000 years since the discovery of agriculture in the Old World;
22 it is one of the oldest crops of man, it is a source of hemp fiber, oil, achenes (food seeds), narcotic properties
23 used in medicine and pharmacology in the treatment of diseases, and accepted in London Journal of Research
24 in Science: Natural and Formal many world religions (Schultes et al. 2000). Botanically, the Cannabis is part
25 of the Cannabaceae family, which contains two genres, Cannabis and Humulus; and three species for the current
26 crop, C. indica, C. sativa, and C. ruderalis, different by their form of growth, achenes, and mainly by their
27 fiber (Schultes et al. 2000;Thomas 2012). In recent years, the active principle cannabidiol (CBD), an indirect
28 antagonist of tetrahydrocannabinol (THC), has received more importance due to its pharmacological and non-
29 addictive effects.

30 In Ecuador, given the event of legalization of Cannabis on September 17 in 2019, approved by the national
31 assembly, there is a legal regulation for medicinal or therapeutic and industrial purposes. The content must be less
32 than 1% THC and between 10-15% CBD. In addition, there are seven licenses: 1) Import and commercialization
33 of seeds; 2) Sowing and production of seeds;). Therefore, it is described an in vitro shoot multiplication method
34 using achenes as initial explant.

35 2 II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

36 The). In all stages, cultures remained in growth chamber at temperature of 24 ± 2 °C, and photoperiod of 16
37 hours of light and 8 hours of darkness.

38 3 Introduction and germination (Stage 1)

39 A disinfection treatment was applied prior to in vitro introduction by soaking the achenes in running water for 20
40 minutes (Chaohua et al. 2016), and superficially sterilizing with alcohol 75% (v/v) for 2 minutes and 30 seconds,
41 followed by immersion in sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) 3% (v/v) with a surfactant agent for 25 minutes (Galán-
42 Ávila et al. 2020), and several washes with sterile distilled water after each disinfectant agent. The disinfection
43 treatment combined investigations with achenes as initial explant. The variables were germination percentage,
44 and contamination. London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal

45 **4 Germinated achenes (Stage 2)**

46 Germinated achenes CRNTIO (in vitro), M.B. (in vitro), and P.V. (peat), were subcultured in previously
47 autoclaved peat, containing 2.0 mg?L⁻¹ of 6-BAP (Galán-Ávila et al. 2020; Villezcas 2020), macro and
48 micronutrients in sealed plastic vessels to avoid explant dehydration. The variables were number of leaves,
49 explant length (cm), and number of nodes.

50 **5 In vitro shoot multiplication (Stage 3)**

51 Nodal segments of germinated achenes were subcultured in GENNBIO medium with different concentrations of
52 plant growth regulators (Table 2). The data were arranged in 2 x 2 factorial design, two varieties, two hormonal
53 treatments, and n replicates; and through analysis of variance (ANOVA) means were compared using Tukey's
54 test. Additionally, exploratory cultures were carried out with explants from a mother plant. The variables were
55 number of shoots or leaves, and explant length (mm). Statistical packages InfoStat 2016, and Minitab 16 were
56 used.

57 **6 III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

58 The material consisted of achenes product of mass selection process, adapted to the equatorial line. The method
59 was developed for cultivars of Cannabis sativa L.; landraces are heterozygous populations due to cross-pollination;
60 and represent an important gene pool in plant breeding programs.

61 **7 Introduction and germination (Stage 1)**

62 In this research, an achene is considered germinated (Figure 1), when the radicle exceeds 1 mm in length outside
63 its cover (Prohens, Soler and Nuez 1999). The use of antibiotics is recommended after disinfection with alcohol
64 or chlorine (Smith 2000), to avoid contamination once the achenes have germinated.

65 **8 Percentage of germination**

66 The highest percentage of in vitro germination (n = 50) was obtained with M.B. = 32%, followed by CNRTIO =
67 16%, and in peat with P.V. = 8%. Previous studies with Cannabis mention an in vitro germination percentage
68 (5%) similar to that obtained with P.V., however, the concentration of agar-agar and landraces are key factors to
69 increase these percentages and reduce germination time and emergence of cotyledons (< 7 days), due to the fact
70 that by traditional methods germination and emergence of cotyledons occurs after the fourth day with imported
71 varieties of hemp (Simbaña 2005). Previously, higher germination percentage was obtained in peat (n = 16) with
72 M.B. = 93.75% (Figure 2). By using these methods, in vitro and in peat, controlled nutritive microenvironments
73 are generated (Ioannidis, Tomprou, and Mitsis 2022), space is reduced, and times are optimized. London Journal
74 of Research in Science: Natural and Formal

75 **9 Germinated achenes (Stage 2)**

76 As the explants developed, those called off type were discarded.

77 **10 Number of leaves**

78 The number of leaves (mean) was higher in P.V. = 14 (n = 2) compared to M.B. = 10.67 (n = 3); assuming that
79 the variances are the same, and applying T test for independent samples, these means are significantly different,
80 with p-value = 0.0099.

81 **11 Length of explant**

82 While, the explant length (mean) did not present significant differences between P.V. = 11.7 cm and M.B. = 9.0
83 cm, with p-value = 0.3067.

84 **12 Number of nodes**

85 On the other hand, the number of nodes was statistically similar between P.V. = 6.5 and M.B. = 5.0, with
86 p-value = 0.2048 (Figure 3).

87 **13 In vitro multiplication of shoots (Stage 3)**

88 In vitro shoot multiplication in Cannabis sp. was executed by adding antibiotic solutions to the protocol, mainly
89 fungicides and bactericides in standardized concentrations during the process.

90 **14 Number of shoots or leaves**

91 In a completely randomized design (DCA), the landrace*regulator interaction did not present significant
92 differences, since p-value = 0.2213; therefore, the analysis was continued with the main effects landrace and
93 regulator, sending the landrace*regulator effect to the error; thus, the number of shoots or leaves (mean ± S.E.)

94 was statistically different between P.V. = 1.70 ± 0.51 and M.B. = 0.00 ± 0.51 , with p-value = 0.0304 (Table ??; 95 Figure ??). In previous studies with Cannabis variety Changtu, it is mentioned the obtention of 1.83, 2.00, and 96 1.74 auxiliary shoots using KT in proliferation stage, fourteen days after subculture (Wang 2009), similar to the 97 number of shoots or leaves obtained in this research with P.V. using young explants, since they response better 98 to in vitro conditions compared to differentiated tissues, due to the high rate of mitosis (Zwenger 2014).

99 15 Explant length

100 In a completely randomized design (CRD), the landrace*regulator interaction did not present significant 101 differences, since p-value = 0.2213; however, applying Tukey's test, different groups were observed, being the 102 experimental point P.V.:Cit = $3.20 \text{ mm} \pm 0.77$ the largest explant (Figure 5), which means that the best result 103 was obtained with application of KIN in P.V. London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal The 104 analysis was continued with the main effects landrace and regulator, sending the landrace*regulator effect to the 105 error; thus, explant length (mean \pm S.E.) was statistically different between P.V. = $2.00 \text{ mm} \pm 0.57$ and M.B. = 106 $0.00 \text{ mm} \pm 0.57$, with p-value = 0.0234 (Table 4; Figure ??). Normality of errors was checked using Ryan-Joiner's 107 test (similar to Shapiro-Wilk), with p-value = 0.061.

108 16 IV. CONCLUSIONS

109 In stage 1, the cannabis landrace with the highest in vitro germination and using peat was M.B. = 32% and 110 93.75%, respectively; followed by in vitro germination in CNRTIO = 16%, and P.V = 8%.

111 In stage 2, the number of leaves (mean) was statistically different between P.V. = 14 and M.B. = 10.67. 112 The explant length (cm) and the number of nodes were similar between P.V. and M.B.; however, the latter are 113 essential for shoot multiplication process at industrial scale.

114 In stage 3, it was significantly demonstrated the highest number of shoots or leaves (mean \pm S.E.) in P.V. = 115 1.70 ± 0.51 , also the largest explant length in P.V. = $2.00 \text{ mm} \pm 0.57$ in presence of cytokinin fourteen days 116 after subculture, respectively. Finally, in CRNTIO2 was observed callogenesis response in presence of kinetin in 117 the selected culture medium.

118 The impact of this project is the development of an efficient protocol for shoot multiplication, and regeneration 119 of hemp plantlets to be genetically transformed, and conserved in germplasm banks for industrial uses in Cotopaxi- 120 Ecuador.

121 17 This page is intentionally left blank

London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal 10 ^{1 2}



Figure 1:



Figure 2: Figure 1 :



Figure 3: Figure 2 :



Figure 4: Figure 3 :



5

Figure 6: Figure 5 :

2

Explant	Growth regulators	References
Stage 2 explant (M.B. and P.V.)	0.25 mg?L -1 AIA and 1.0 mg?L -1 BAP	Galán-Ávila et al. 2020; Villezcas 2020)
Stage 2 explant (M.B. and P.V.)	2.0 mg?L -1 KIN	(Wang et al. 2009)
Mother plant (CRNTIO)	0.25 mg?L -1 AIA and 1.0 mg?L -1 BAP	Galán-Ávila et al. 2020; Villezcas 2020; Wang et al. 2009)
	2.0 mg?L -1 KIN	

Figure 7: Table 2 :

Landrace	Mean \pm S.E.	N	Group
P.V.	2.00 \pm 0.57	10	B
M.B.	0.00 \pm 0.57	10	A

Figure 6: Explant length (mm) in P.V. landrace at multiplication stage in presence of kinetin. Source: GENNBIO. London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal 6

Figure 8: Table 4 :

122 .1 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

123 To London Journals Press (LJP).

124 Conflicts of interest: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

125 [London Journal of Research in Science: Natural and Formal] , *London Journal of Research in Science: Natural*
126 *and Formal*

127 [Terranova ()] , Terranova . 1998. Bogotá, Colombia. (Enciclopedia agropecuaria Terranova. Producción agrícola
128 2. Tomo 3)

129 [Wang ()] 'A micropropagation system for cloning of hemp (*Cannabis sativa L.*) by shoot tip culture'. R Wang .
130 *Pak. J. Bot* 2009. 41 p. .

131 [Chaohua ()] 'A rapid shoot regeneration protocol from the cotyledons of hemp (*Cannabis sativa L.*)'. C Chaohua
132 . *Industrial Crops and Products* 2016. 83 p. .

133 [Acuerdo Ministerial No. 109. 1, 48. Available online ()] [https://www.agricultura.gob.ec/](https://www.agricultura.gob.ec/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/109-2020-1.pdf)
134 *wp-content/uploads/2020/10/109-2020-1.pdf* Acuerdo Ministerial No. 109. 1, 48. Available
135 online, 2020. Ministerio de Agricultura y Ganadería.

136 [Ioannidis et al. ()] 'An alternative in vitro propagation protocol of *Cannabis sativa L.* (Cannabaceae) presenting
137 efficient rooting, for commercial production'. K Ioannidis , I Tomprou , V Mitsis . 10.3390/plants11101333.
138 <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11101333> *Plants* 2022. 11 p. .

139 [Changoluisa and Peñafiel ()] *Analisis del potencial productivo del cannabis no psicoactivo (Cannabis sativa) con*
140 *fines investigativos para la industrialización en la provincia de Cotopaxi*, V Changoluisa , D Peñafiel . 2021.
141 Ecuador. Universidad Técnica de Cotopaxi (Graduate thesis)

142 [Wahby ()] *Aproximaciones biotecnológicas tendentes a la mejora del cáñamo (Cannabis sativa L.): Obtención*
143 *y cultivo de raíces transformadas, transformación genética y regeneración in vitro*, I Wahby . 2007. España:
144 Universidad de Granada. (Doctoral thesis)

145 [Morales and Andrade ()] 'Biotechnological plant breeding applied to purple blackberries'. J Morales , P Andrade
146 . 10.21931/RB/2023.08.01.7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21931/RB/2023.08.01.7> *Revis Bionatura* 2023.
147 8 (1) p. 7.

148 [Thomas ()] 'Cannabis cultivation'. M Thomas . *A complete grower's guide. 3rd edition*, (San Francisco, CA,
149 USA) 2012. Green Candy Press.

150 [Doemer ()] 'Cell division regulation'. P Doemer . *Biochemistry and molecular biology of plants*, B En , W
151 Buchanan , R Gruissem , Jones (eds.) (USA) 2000. American Society of Plant Physiologists. p. .

152 [Galán-Ávila ()] 'Development of a direct in vitro plant regeneration protocol from *Cannabis sativa L.* seedling
153 explants: developmental morphology of shoot regeneration and ploidy level of regenerated plants'. A Galán-
154 Ávila . *Frontiers in Plant Science* 2020. 11 p. .

155 [Pilalumbo and Unauch ()] *Efecto de dos medios de cultivo y tres niveles de ácido giberélico en el crecimiento*
156 *de cannabis (Cannabis sativa) obtenidas por germinación in vitro en el laboratorio de la facultad CAREN*, H
157 Pilalumbo , M Unauch . 2022. Ecuador. Universidad Técnica de Cotopaxi (Graduate thesis)

158 [Wain ()] 'El control químico del crecimiento de las plantas'. R L Wain . *Los reguladores de las plantas y los*
159 *insectos*, N R En , Ondarza (eds.) (México) 1980. CONACYT. p. .

160 [Alvarado and Benalcázar ()] *El negocio del cannabis florece en Pedro Moncayo*, P Alvarado , W Benalcázar
161 . [https://www.elcomercio.com/actualidad/negocio-cannabis-pedro-moncayo-cannandes](https://www.elcomercio.com/actualidad/negocio-cannabis-pedro-moncayo-cannandes.html?utm_source=facebook&utm_medium=social&utm_campaign=photopost&fbclid=IwAR30TtM4zHzDNwnDenn8eivroGTSqRXNisTKgBWWFooJDOkHaeu1Cg5FhD0)
162 (html?utm_source=facebook&utm_medium=social&utm_campaign=photopost
163 ost&fbclid=IwAR30TtM4zHzDNwnDenn8eivroGTSqRXNisTKgBWWFooJDOkHaeu1Cg5FhD0)

164 [Océano ()] *Enciclopedia práctica de la agricultura y ganadería*, Océano . 1999. Barcelona, España.

165 [Hernández ()] *Ensayo de variedades de cáñamo en La Vega Baja del Segura*, U M Hernández . 2016. España.
166 Universidad Miguel Hernández (Graduate thesis)

167 [Simbaña ()] *Evaluación de tres variedades de cáñamo (Cannabis sativa var. sativa) bajo tres densidades*
168 *de siembra para la obtención de fibra (Graduate thesis)*, A Simbaña . 2005. Ibarra, Ecuador: Pontificia
169 Universidad Católica.

170 [Ramírez et al. (2018)] 'La industria del cannabis medicinal en Colombia. Una ventana de oportunidad para la
171 transformación productiva de Colombia'. J Ramírez , J Naranjo , A Torres . *FEDEDESERROLLO* 2018. 18
172 sep.

173 [La legalización de la siembra, cultivo y cosecha de cannabis en Ecuador entró en vigor ()] *La*
174 *legalización de la siembra, cultivo y cosecha de cannabis en Ecuador entró en*
175 *vigor*, [https://www.eluniverso.com/noticias/2020/06/26/nota/7886121/](https://www.eluniverso.com/noticias/2020/06/26/nota/7886121/legalizacion-siembra-cultivo-cosecha-cannabis-ecuador-entro)
176 *legalizacion-siembra-cultivo-cosecha-cannabis-ecuador-entro* 2020. El Universo

177 [Malpica ()] K Malpica . *Marihuana. Available online: https://Marihuana -El cáñamo de las Indias*, 2004. (mind-
178 surf.net)

- 179 [Morales and Chiluisa-Utreras ()] J Morales , V Chiluisa-Utreras . *Mejoramiento biotecnológico de plantas y*
180 *modificación genética. Editorial Grupo Compás*, (Guayaquil, Ecuador) 2022.
- 181 [Inec ()] *Módulo ambiental de la encuesta de superficie y producción agropecuaria continua*, Inec .
182 https://www.ecuadorencifras.gob.ec/documentos/web-inec/Encuestas_Ambientales/
183 *Informacion_am-biental_en_la_agricultura/2016/informe_ejecutivo_ESPAC_2016.pdf*
184 2016. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Censos.
- 185 [Villezcas ()] *Obtención de células de Cannabis sativa L. in vitro, por citocinina no convencional, metatopolina*,
186 G Villezcas . 2020. México. Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua (Postgraduate thesis)
- 187 [Saad and Elshahed ()] 'Plant tissue culture media'. A I Saad , A M Elshahed . *Recent advances in plant in vitro*
188 *culture*, En A Leva , L Rinaldi (eds.) 2012. InTech. p. .
- 189 [Smith ()] *Plant tissue culture: Techniques and experiments*, R H Smith . 2000. College Station, Texas; USA.
190 Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, Texas A&M University
- 191 [Bhojwani and Razdan] *Plant tissue culture: Theory and practice*, S S Bhojwani , M K Razdan . Delhi, India:
192 ELSEVIER.
- 193 [Schultes ()] *Plantas de los dioses. Las fuerzas mágicas de las plantas alucinógenas*, R Schultes . 2000. México:
194 Fondo de Cultura Económica.
- 195 [Salgado ()] *Proyecto Startup: Green In Vitro*, A Salgado . 2020. Ecuador. Universidad San Francisco de Quito
196 (Graduate thesis)
- 197 [Ratikanta ()] M Ratikanta . *Fibras vegetales en el mundo: aspectos botánicos: calidad y utilidad*. Trillas, (México
198 D. F., México) 1995.
- 199 [Zwenger ()] *The biotechnology of Cannabis sativa. 2nd Edition*, S Zwenger . 2014. New York, USA: Extreme
200 Publications, Inc.
- 201 [Prohens et al. ()] 'The effects of thermotherapy and sodium hypochlorite treatments on pepino seed germination,
202 a crucial step in breeding programmes'. J Prohens , S Soler , F Nuez . *Annals of Applied Biology* 1999. 134 p.
203 .