

CrossRef DOI of original article:

1 Scan to know paper details and author's profile

2

3 *Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970*

4

---

5 **Abstract**

---

6

---

7 *Index terms—*

8 **1 I. INTRODUCTION**

9 Energy topics, among which energy's economic roles, energy security and environmental issues, have been  
10 regularly voiced in scholarly dialogues and discussions. In this regard, energy security and environmental issues  
11 are often seen mutually exclusive unless a future breakthrough in technology realizes the fourth energy transition.  
12 Obtaining a compromise between the two goals is often challenging, as the prerequisites for a green transition  
13 are yet to be readily available, while the mounting concerns over energy insecurity are constantly rising over the  
14 past few years. Recently, research attention seems to be directed at curtailing environmental deterioration (see  
15 ??Cui et al., Wang et al., 2021]), illustrating a bias towards green issues. This has led us to question whether  
16 energy security is by far neglected, which contributes to swell the probability of energy shortage in industrial  
17 manufacturing and eventually distort the normal functioning of the economy.

18 Both historical and modern evidence is available to interpret the roles of energy in maintaining economic  
19 health. Specifically, in the time series analysis model in Stern D.'s study ??1993, ??000), energy is included as an  
20 imperative factor explaining the growth of GDP, in addition to capital and labor. As of the past, many industrial  
21 booms, which have breathed life into the human standard of living, were the implication of an "energy-fed"  
22 innovation, for example "coal-fired steam power", "oil-fired internal-combustion engines" or "electricity" ??The  
23 Economist, 2008]. Many researchers and scholars have placed energy innovation as the center of the arguments  
24 interpreting the driving force behind the historic Industrial Revolution in Great Britain [Allen, 2009;Pomeranz,  
25 2012;Stern & Kander, 2010;Wrigley, 1988;Wrigley, 2010]. In the present climate, the production of almost all  
26 the necessities for maintaining a fulfilling life, ranging from petroleum, cars, food, buildings, machinery and  
27 equipment involves the use of energy. By this token, energy activates a mechanism to affect consumers' welfare  
28 by the costs and quality of goods and services, the power and status of the national economy, as well as the  
29 availability of job opportunities [The National ??cademies, 2022].

30 As a contrast to energy's strategic roles, the world is shouldering the escalating burden of energy insecurity due  
31 to a persistent energy crisis since 2021, which have constantly shown no signs of alleviating its severity in some  
32 years to come. Statistical records illustrate unprecedented variations in gas, oil and coal prices, with an increase  
33 of +290%, +50% and +47%, respectively [Matos & Gili, 2022]. The robust recovery of the global economy  
34 following the years of COVID's recession has constantly stimulated demand for energy [Berahab, 2022;Gilbert &  
35 Bazilian, 2022;Matos & Gili, 2022]. Soaring demand in parallel with supply disruptions due to the catastrophic  
36 impact of the pandemic has amplified the state of imbalance between supply and demand [Berahab, 2022;Gilbert  
37 & Bazilian, 2022]. Besides, socio-economic aspects, including geopolitics, hostile competition on the same LNG  
38 supply market between Europe and Asia also participated in the sudden upwards of energy prices [Berahab,  
39 2022;Gilbert & Bazilian, 2022;Matos & Gili, 2022].

40 In this context, the fourth energy transition seems to be a promising solution to the opportunity cost of  
41 energy security and environmental issues. However, historical evidence suggests that the transition from one  
42 energy source to another often takes a long period of time ??Ritchie, Roser & Rosado, n.d.]. Meanwhile,  
43 prolonged energy crisis has built up an intensifying pressure on the speed of the transition path. To observe a clear  
44 progress in energy transition from fossil fuels to renewable resources will require addressing some major challenges,  
45 including geopolitical concerns, financial constraints, and especially technological innovation [Nevshehir, 2021].  
46 Due to the challenges related to the immutable laws of physics and chemistry, technological innovation in energy  
47 transition calls for the pursuit of novelty rather than improvements to existing technology [Nevshehir, 2021].

## 4 THE DETERMINANTS OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF FIRMS

---

48 In other words, the green transition should be accompanied by the construction of new power plants based on  
49 environmentally friendly technology, and this involves being nominated as a national policy objective [Sachs et  
50 al., 2019]. Research by Kordana S. et al. (2019) defines the "intermittent" and "uncontrollable" nature as the  
51 major technical obstacles to the integration of RES into power systems. As an additional point, Nevshehir N.  
52 (2021) states that low energy conversion rates and the RES's reliance on fossil fuels can drive the green energy  
53 industry against sustainability; and emphasizes the importance of weighing the challenges and opportunities until  
54 the introduction of a disruptive technology.

55 What has exacerbated the already vulnerable problem of energy security is the fact that fossil-fuel-based  
56 companies, which dominate the global energy supply, are incurring grave financial risks. Presence of instability  
57 in the fossil-based energy sector has been showing signs since before 2020, as a result of the rise of the renewable  
58 energy sector and regulatory burden [NWC, n.d.]. Furthermore, investment pressure is cited as one of the  
59 main hurdles targeting fossil fuel companies [NWC, n.d.]. For example, programs like the Climate Action 100+  
60 Initiative, which has so far aroused the interest of more than 700 investors, representing \$68 trillion of assets  
61 under management, are joining forces to place financial constraints on countries generating most of the global  
62 greenhouse gas emissions [Climate Action 100+, 2022; NWC, n.d.]. Additionally, Oliver Wyman argues that  
63 a heavy carbon emission tax can expose many oil and gas companies to a higher risk of default by more than  
64 2-3 times [Nauman & Temple-West, 2020]. Besides, many banks have initiated their first steps to safeguard  
65 themselves from the risks accompanying loan provisions to oil and gas companies. A number London Journal of  
66 Research in Management and Business of central banks are inclined to include climate change risks to a stringent  
67 test. For instance, the UK central bank plans to devise a strategy of modeling companies' exposure to the  
68 Paris Agreement goals [Nauman & Temple-West, 2020]. In another case, a British multinational universal bank  
69 Barclays has been bearing the pressure of terminating fundings to some fossil fuel companies. In the favor of  
70 climate regulations, energy companies are constantly voicing their concerns over the threat of being cut off from  
71 loans and bond markets [Nauman & Temple-West, 2020].

72 On this account, this study seeks to gauge the effect of environmental policies on the financial health of fossil  
73 energy companies and suggest the features of environmental policies in a modern oil and gas sector. It, however, by  
74 no means argues against the sustainable goals but aims to find a compromise to satisfy the conflicting interests  
75 between ensuring energy security and maintaining environmental health. As the problem of energy security  
76 becomes more acute, the introduction of stringent environmental policies targeting oil and gas companies only  
77 can add more fuels to the severity of energy imbalance and harm the already vulnerable global economy during  
78 the historic COVID's recession. While the fourth energy transition is inevitable, it must be tailored to the  
79 socio-economic, political and security contexts, and this is how the research has a role to play.

## 80 2 II. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 81 3 Measuring Firms' Financial Performance

82 Corporate finance is a dominating research question in the economic field and has awakened the interest of many  
83 scholars in the financial world. Measuring the financial performance of a company, accordingly, has convincing  
84 grounds to be based on. Most studies perceive ROA, or ROE as a well-reasoned tool to study the financial  
85 status of a firm. The study of ??attisti E. et al. (2020), which aims to interpret the impact of knowledge  
86 management practices on the financial results of global startups, employs a DEA model, with revenue and ROA  
87 being included as output variables [Battisti, 2022]. Likewise, some papers examining energy firms describe  
88 ROA and ROE as a decent approach to report the financial functioning of the firms. ??chabek ??001)) is far  
89 too specific when including only one regulatory instrument and excluding the implementation of other policies.  
90 Another problem that should be addressed is the effect of imbalanced distribution of cross-country efficiency.  
91 High public expenditure on environmental issues does not necessarily interpret a country's stringency in terms of  
92 environmental policies [Sauter, 2014]. Thirdly, policy-specific approach (see [Nakada, 2006;Smarzynska & Wei,  
93 2001]), likewise, is rather too particular to describe the characteristics of national environmental policy as a whole  
94 [Sauter, 2014]

### 95 4 The Determinants of Financial Performance of Firms

96 In addition to the independent and dependent variables, the research model also includes some control variables,  
97 including corporate financial indicators and macroeconomic factors. The choice regarding control variables is  
98 based on the results of former studies on relevant topics. Existing literature on the determinants of financial  
99 performance of firms is the major source of information to construct the research model. Description of the  
100 research model is presented in Table 1. Nonetheless, current literature also shows contradictions in some respects.  
101 Firstly, according to Schabek T. (2020), firm size is expected to positively affect financial performance of a  
102 company owing to economy of scale. However, evidence from some other studies argues against his suggestion,  
103 addressing major drawbacks of large-scale firms, such as challenges in internal management [Sun et al., 2020].  
104 Capital structure is another factor subjected to heated debate. On the one hand, Schabek T.  
105 (2020) supports the idea that taking risks improves expected returns, which will correspondingly result in  
106 higher ROA. On the other hand, he contends that taking more debts will equivalently expose the company to

107 higher risks, which will eventually lead to a probable bankruptcy. By comparing current assets with current  
108 liabilities, capital structure allows to learn a company's capacity of covering its liabilities.

109 So far, little efforts have been made to assess the impact of environmental policy on the financial functioning  
110 of oil and gas companies, as data unavailability challenges the feasibility of research [Sauter, 2014], which leaves  
111 a gap in existing literature. As environmental policy "increases the costs of environmentally harmful behavior"  
112 [Botta & Ko?luk, 2014], it is supposed to affect the financial performance of oil and gas firms in a negative way.  
113 Hence, following hypothesis is proposed:

114 H 1 : Increased stringency of environmental policy produces a negative impact on the financial performance  
115 of oil and gas companies.

116 The remaining parts of this study aim to test the hypothesis, as well as resolve the contradicting suggestions  
117 in previous literature.

## 118 5 III. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

### 119 6 Data Source & Data Processing

120 To begin with, the methodology, data sampling and the choice of research period of this study are subjected to  
121 the effect of data availability. Correspondingly, the study inevitably has borne some certain shortcomings, which  
122 will further be addressed in the conclusion. In this analysis, "data processing" will be performed to treat the  
123 drawbacks and limit the potential defects. In terms of methodology, the study employs a quantitative approach  
124 for a panel dataset of 21 countries over a three-year period (2018-2020).

125 The selected countries for analysis are mainly OECD members; non-member countries include Brazil, China,  
126 India, Indonesia, Russia, South Africa. As those are the dominant players in the energy market, local firms take  
127 an active participation in the global energy sector. For a firm-level study on a specific industry, it is apparent  
128 that one of the possibly finest approaches for the choice of firms is using Standard Industrial Classification code  
129 (SIC code) to pick a list of companies in the industry of interest. Unfortunately, the author's accessibility to a  
130 compiled dataset of corporate financial reports (e.g. COMPUSTAT , Bloomberg Professional) is limited. As an  
131 alternative, companies are sorted out from the list of top largest oil and gas companies by market capitalization,  
132 according to a survey of 6,029 companies in the fossil energy sector reported by Global Ranking [Companies  
133 Market Cap, n.d.]. Data for corporate financial reports, including annual income statement and annual balance  
134 sheet, are compiled using the companies/market query of Dow Jones Factiva. The companies with the absence  
135 of any needed financial indicator in the examined period (2018-2020) are removed from the sample to ensure the  
136 transparency and reliability of the modeling method. Eventually, the number of oil and gas companies selected for  
137 sample analysis is 72. Drawing a sample of companies from the list of major players in the oil and gas industry by  
138 market capitalization is also because they are the leaders in ensuring global energy security. Regarding regional  
139 distribution of oil and gas companies, the U.S oil and gas firms constitute about 50% of the total number of  
140 companies selected. Other companies are located in different parts of the world, including Asia-Pacific, Europe  
141 and Africa, but with much less frequency.

142 In the earlier part, we accept that most aspects of the study rest on the matter of data inaccessibility. We have  
143 also discussed that the figures for national environmental policy stringency, quantified by the composite index  
144 methodology developed by OECD are available for the period 1990-2015. However, financial performance data  
145 compiled using the company profile analysis tool of Dow Jones Factiva are available in a different period (2018-  
146 2020), resulting in an inconsistency in terms of possible research period between the dependent and independent  
147 variables. This entails the adjustment of one of the two variables in line with the other regarding research period.  
148 In this regard, the choice for the period 1990-2015 appears to offer much of a comparative advantage. Firstly,  
149 a wider range of years may involve a compiled dataset with considerably larger observations, contributing to  
150 raise the research's reliability. Secondly, the impact of the global economic shock factor, caused by the COVID-  
151 19 pandemic, is not to be included. However, the data on the financial performance of fossil fuel firms in the  
152 corresponding period go beyond the author's accessibility, unless subject to a manual process of aggregating  
153 financial statements of each company for each year. Due to the time limit of the study, the option illustrates  
154 infeasibility. Alternatively, the period 2018-2020 is preferably selected as the research period. To treat the  
155 data for environmental policy stringency, the author employs the FORECAST function in Microsoft Excel to  
156 project the approximate values for this variable in the period 2018-2020. The FORECAST function in Microsoft  
157 Excel predicts future values for an indicator using a linear regression, meaning along a line of best fit based on  
158 historical data [Microsoft Support, n.d.]. In this case, we assume that the set of EPS between 1990 and 2015 for  
159 each country is a single time series, and is a function correlated with time (or variable t) by a linear function.  
160 Given such assumptions, the FORECAST function in Microsoft Excel is reasonably implemented to project the  
161 future values of EPS from 2018-2020. Forecast results of the corresponding EPS in the period 2018-2020 are  
162 presented in Table ??.

163 Table ??

## 164 7 The Empirical Model

165 The obtained data after "data processing" will be analyzed using a variety of econometric models for a panel  
166 dataset: Pooled Ordinary Least Squares (Pooled OLS), Fixed-Effects Model (FEM), Random-Effects Model

## 11 REGRESSION ANALYSIS

---

167 (REM). The rationale for the choice of the most appropriate model is the absence of defects, specifically serial  
168 autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity. The comparison of models is facilitated by employing a set of econometric  
169 tests (White test, Wooldridge test, Hausman test and modified Wald test). If none of the models appears to be  
170 defect-free, the Generalized Least Squares (GLS) model will be implemented to treat the defects in the existing  
171 models and is expected to quantify a more accurate estimate. Factoring in all the points mentioned, the best-  
172 fitted model will be selected to translate the magnitude of the effect of environmental policy stringency on the  
173 financial performance of oil and gas firms. The analytical framework is handled using STATA 17.0, a powerful  
174 and user-friendly instrument in dealing with econometric models.

175 All things considered, the function explaining the impact of the government's environmental policy instruments  
176 on the financial disclosure of oil and gas companies during the period 2018-2020 is expressed as follow: The  
177 inclusion of variables in the model is in accordance with the determinants of financial performance of oil and gas  
178 firms, as discussed in Literature Review.??? ?? = ? 0 + ? ?? ??? ?? + ??=1 ? ? ? ?? ? ?? + ??=1 ? ? ? ?? ?  
179 ?? + ? ?? +

## 180 8 IV. RESULTS

### 181 9 Descriptive Statistics

182 Illustrates a statistical description of all variables in the research model. The figures for statistical indicators  
183 (mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values), derived from STATA.17, are all presented. Hence, a  
184 brief overview on the sample firms' financial characteristics and status of the national macroeconomic development  
185 is revealed. First of all, the companies selected as input data for the sample have a level of ROA ranging from  
186 0.09% to 148%, demonstrating a sound success in terms of financial functioning in general. The mean value of  
187 ROA is 7.7%, which outperforms the corresponding figure for the energy sector as a whole (5.09%, as suggested  
188 by Factiva, Factset Research Systems Inc.).

189 In addition, other firm-specific indicators (size, liquidity), also represent an optimistic result of corporate  
190 financial health, as the mean values are relatively high (9.23 and 1.33, respectively). The figures suggest that  
191 principally, the selected oil and gas companies are relatively large in terms of acquired assets and have a rigid  
192 capital structure, with total assets exceeding total liabilities by about 33%. The results are rather comprehensible,  
193 as the list of 72 oil and gas companies is sorted out from a record of top 200 ranking companies by market  
194 capitalization in the fossil energy sector. The table also demonstrates that those companies are inclined to a  
195 shrinking trend of revenues, which can be learnt through a negative average revenue growth (-0.80%).

196 In terms of the EPS index, the value range [0.41; 4.60] implies a high level of disparity among 21 countries  
197 in terms of the stringency of the government's environmental policy instruments. Generally, the level of  
198 environmental regulation stringency is rather modest with a mean value of only 2.79 points, as compared to  
199 the maximum value of 6 points. Besides, the overall health of national macroeconomics, depicted by the financial  
200 and economic developments, shows promising results in the investigated countries. Specifically, 21 countries in  
201 the research sample have an average percentage of financial system deposits to GDP equal to 88%, indicating  
202 that typically, firms' exposure to financial support from national financial institutions is relatively high.

### 203 10 Correlation Analysis

204 Illustrates the correlations of the independent and control variables. The extent to which those variables correlate  
205 with one another can be interpreted using Pearson-correlation coefficients and their corresponding significance  
206 values. variable is sensible. The remaining pairs of variables show only a modest level of interaction, with the  
207 correlation coefficients all less than 0.4, implying that the independent variables moderately correlate with each  
208 other and thus are acceptable to use in analysis. Considering the above preliminary assessment, the chosen  
209 variables have only a modest level of correlation, indicating that the model is suitable for use and with least  
210 likelihood of multicollinearity.

### 211 11 Regression analysis

212 The role of this section is twofold. Firstly, it aims to determine the most appropriate model for the selected panel  
213 data sample. Secondly, it seeks to gauge the effect of the government's environmental regulation on the financial  
214 performance of oil and gas companies. Figure 1 below depicts the results of econometric tests in an attempt to  
215 select the best model. As illustrated, neither of these models is an appropriate choice, as heteroskedasticity exists  
216 in both cases. On this point, the GLS model with adjustment for heteroskedasticity will then be implemented to  
217 address the matter of heteroskedasticity in the previously mentioned models. The result of the GLS model reveals  
218 an absence of first-order autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity, showing advantages compared to Pooled OLS,  
219 FEM and REM. In addition, the significance value of F test is equal to  $0.0000 < 0.05$ , indicating that generally,  
220 the model is statistically significant. Table ?? below describes a comparative analysis of Pooled OLS, FEM, REM  
221 and GLS models and reveals the figures for standardized beta value of each model. As heteroskedasticity has  
222 been treated using the GLS method, most variables in the proposed research model are statistically significant,  
223 with the only exception being liquidity. Hence, the number of factors explaining the dynamics of financial  
224 performance of oil and gas companies has increased significantly compared to the previous models. In addition,

225 evidence from all the three previous models suggests that environmental policy stringency does not affect how  
226 well a business is performing financially, which argues against the proposed hypothesis. The GLS model, on the  
227 contrary, demonstrates a clear causal relationship between state regulation on environment-related issues and the  
228 financial performance of oil and gas firms. Details about standardized beta and the corresponding significance  
229 value of each variable by GLS method are presented in Table 6.

## 230 **12 Source: author**

## 231 **13 London Journal of Research in Management and Business**

232 The table provides materials that enable us to draw some major concluding remarks. Starting with the statistical  
233 significance of the variables in the model, noticeably, all the independent and control variables, not counting  
234 liquidity and age, have a significance value of less than 0.05, indicating that they are all statistically significant,  
235 controlling the confidence level at 95%. These are the factors that contribute to shaping the financial performance  
236 of oil and gas companies during the examined period (2018-2020).

237 The factors or contributors identified, however, differ one another in terms of the direction as well as the  
238 magnitude of the vector of impact. In terms of vector's direction, two indicators, namely annual growth in  
239 revenue (GROW) and national economic development (GDPC), are found positively correlated with the financial  
240 performance of oil and gas firms. That is, corporate financial functioning is enhanced when the company itself  
241 witnesses a stable growth in annual revenue, or when national economic strength is actively promoted. At  
242 this point, the study coincides with the research findings within the existing literature (see [Ma, Zhang & Yin,  
243 2021;Sun et al., 2020]). Quite the contrary, negative standardized beta values of the remaining group of factors  
244 show that these indicators adversely affect the financial health of oil and gas companies during the examined  
245 period. On the one hand, the result offers compelling evidence to support our proposed hypothesis, which suggests  
246 that the government's stringent policies on environmental issues will do harm to the financial functioning of oil  
247 and gas companies. On the other hand, the conclusions regarding firm size, capital investment, liquidity, and  
248 financial development, rather seem to argue against the research findings in previous papers (see ??Alkaraan  
249 et ). Nevertheless, the inconsistency does not necessarily interpret an opposition, but presents a comprehensive  
250 view on the related issue. The arguments are advanced as follows. Firstly, in terms of firm size, considering  
251 a group of firms with the same profits, firms with less total assets will accordingly generate more profits in  
252 one unit of asset they own, meaning they are more financially efficient when compared with their competitors.  
253 Secondly, while it is accepted that capital investment aims to unlock production potentials of oil and gas firms  
254 by investment in long-term assets, it also requires additional expenditures, resulting in an increase in overall  
255 costs. Meanwhile, ROA is described as a short-term measurement of corporate financial performance [He et al.,  
256 2021], and the positive effect of capital investment is a long-term process, any expenditure on additional capital  
257 assets will only result in the decline of short-term financial outcome. Thirdly, the root cause of the adverse  
258 impact of the national financial strength on the level of efficiency in performing financial activities lies in the  
259 mounting concerns over environmental issues. For example, banks have become increasingly skeptical about loan  
260 provision for fossil fuel firms and have started to require stringent carbon exposure disclosures from fossil fuel  
261 sectors [Nauman & Temple-West, 2020]. Barriers regarding loan provision have limited growth opportunities of  
262 oil and gas companies, even in the case of sound national financial development.

263 Additionally, the disparity in terms of the extent to which the explanatory factors produce an impact on ROA  
264 of oil and gas firms is also reported. Firstly, the estimated value of standardized beta for revenue growth is  
265 0.2074, the highest absolute value recorded among all explanatory variables, which indicates the primary role  
266 of revenue growth in terms of financial enhancement, as compared with other determinants. Specifically, a 1%  
267 increase in revenue growth of oil and gas firms will improve their corresponding financial results by 0.2074%.  
268 Adding to the point, the development of the national economic base, albeit ranked second in terms of effect  
269 on financial performance of fossil fuel companies, only contributes a part equal to one-third of that by revenue  
270 growth, if compared. Expectedly, a 0.008% enhancement of financial results of fossil-based companies will be  
271 achieved given that the overall economic health is improved by 1%. Furthermore, national financial capacity  
272 and capital investment are presented with evidence of moderate level of impact, illustrated by their coefficients,  
273 which equal -0.028 and -0.025, respectively. Comprehensively, a 1% rise in financial strength of the economy in  
274 which oil and gas companies are operating and firm's investment in long-term assets are projected to contract  
275 financial results of firms by about 0.025-0.028%. Stringency of state environmental regulations is another factor  
276 that poses a financial risk to the fossil energy sector, albeit at a very modest level. By figure, if the government  
277 imposes a 1% increase in the stringency of environmental regulations, fossil fuel companies are supposed to incur  
278 a loss of 0.007% in ROA. Lastly, firm size produces the least level of impact on the financial performance of oil  
279 and gas companies, with a 0.003% decline in ROA being observed as a result of a 1% growth of total assets.

## 280 **14 V. CONCLUSION**

281 In the context of soaring energy prices, it is questioned whether energy security is disregarded in preference  
282 for environmental issues. The research on the impact of environmental policies on the financial performance of  
283 oil and gas companies is, therefore, of relevance, as it addresses the question of the opportunity cost between  
284 energy security and energy transition. The study aims to gauge the effect of state environmental regulation on

## 14 V. CONCLUSION

---

285 the financial functioning of oil and gas firms during 2018-2020, based on which implications for countries are  
286 discussed.

287 In this effort, the study employs a quantitative approach for a panel data model of 72 oil and gas firms in 21  
288 countries in a three-year period (2018-2020). Four models, including Pooled OLS, FEM, REM and GLS are run  
289 and one of them is sensibly selected to interpret the results.

290 Research results show that increased stringency of environmental policy will exert a reverse impact on the  
291 financial health of oil and gas firms, although at a moderate level. Considering the global move towards more  
292 stringent environmental policy, a moderate negative impact may also lead to a significant level of losses. In this  
293 regard, implications for countries may vary considering country-specific economic characteristics. In emerging,  
294 energy-import dependent countries (e.g. China), demand for energy is expected to soar in some years to come  
295 due to economic expansion. Attempting to phase out oil and gas will only do harm to the economic growth.  
296 Therefore, step-by-step energy transition (e.g. from coal to gas) could be an optimal choice while investing on  
297 technology to realize the fourth transition. In developed, energy-dependent markets (e.g. Japan, Korea, EU),  
298 stringent environmental policy may hurt the industrial sector, especially amid the persistent energy crisis since  
299 winter 2021. While it is important to promote R&D investment in clean technology, diversifying energy trading  
300 partners to ensure energy security is worth being considered. In the developed, energy-independent countries  
301 (e.g. the United States, Canada), environmental issues should be prioritizing over economic benefits, as energy  
302 is available to support the industrial sector of the countries, while demand for it is not much of a matter as  
303 compared to emerging markets due to the convergence of economic growth rate and improved energy efficiency.

304 The author, however, admits that the study bears some major limitations, which are mostly connected with  
305 data availability: i, the number of observations is relatively small in a research involving a country-level indicator  
306 as a subject of focus; ii, bias in the distribution of oil and gas firms chosen for analysis, with the number of  
307 U.S firms accounting for roughly 50%, which is again not appropriate for a research involving a country-level  
308 variable; iii, deviations resulting from the forecast model, which may increase the error of the estimate; iv, failure  
309 to address the 2020 economic crisis as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic.

310 Given what has been discussed, further research aims to address the issues mentioned. For example,  
311 future study will select a different period to eliminate the possible effect of the global economic shock of  
312 2020. Furthermore, data on corporate financial performance will be extracted from a different source, such  
313 as COMPUSTAT<sup>1</sup>, which is currently inaccessible to the author. London Journal of Research in Management  
and Business



Figure 1:

314

---

<sup>1</sup> Environmental Policy: Effect on Oil and Gas Sector

---

1

Figure 2: Figure 1 :

Figure 3:

use of ROA as a variable that illustrates a company's financial image. ROA of oil and gas companies in the research sample is calculated by the following formula:

$$\begin{array}{r} \text{???} \\ \text{?????} \\ \text{????} \\ \text{?????} \end{array}$$

## 2.2. Measuring Environmental Policy Stringency

Considerable effort has been invested to construct a measurement of environmental policy stringency in a number of studies (see [Cole & Elliott, 2003; Damania, 2001; Dasgupta, 2010; Eliste & Fredriksson, 2002; Grether & Mathys, 2012; Harris, Konya & Matyas, 2002; Hilton & Levinson, 1998; Sauter, 2014; Xing & Kolstad, 2002]); however, there is yet a broadly accepted indicator [Sauter, 2014]. The principal drawback of previous attempts is that they are rarely constructed on a strong theoretical basis but are mainly driven by data availability [Knill, Schulze & Tosun, 2012]. Inevitably, these indicators show lack

T.  
(2020)  
se-  
lects  
both  
in-  
di-  
ca-  
tors  
ROE  
and  
ROA

to demonstrate the financial health of sustainable power producers in emerging markets and believes that those are "the most natural and popular measures" when reporting financial strength of a firm. Quite similarly, Cui Y. et al. (2021) argue that ROE is an ideal indicator to learn the financial disclosure of a firm, while Wang X. et al. (2021) uses ROA, current ratio (CR) and total asset turnover (TAT) to depict a company's solvency, operating capacity and profitability.

By comparison, ROA and ROE both aim to assess companies' efficiency in allocating financial resources. Factoring in the two variables' pros and cons, some studies argue that ROA performs better compared to ROE in reporting profit potentials, as it rules out the inclusion of any purposeful and unstable attempt in profit enhancement [Hage et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014]. Adding to the point, the difference between ROE and ROA regarding the effect of leverage and debt explicitly supports the use of ROA. Accordingly, a company's high ROE may indicate an attribution of profits to its capital structure rather than to its financial management capacity. In line with the formula, ROE poorly represents how efficiently a company employs its assets by borrowing and issuing bonds [McClure, 2021]. While debt can allow a firm to fulfill its short-term goals, an excessive amount of debt may lead the company to more exposure to instability in the long term. A company with poor management of debt means having a risky capital structure, threatening its future viability [Hage et al., 2013]. In this study, the companies of research interest are all in one specific industry -oil and gas sector, which causes no inconvenience regarding imbalanced ROA distribution across different industries as suggested by Birken E. (2021) and Gallo A. (2016). For all the illustrated points, this study opts for the

self-reporting approach (see [Dasgupta, 2010; Eliste & Fredriksson, 2002]) falls short of objectivity and thus is often biased [Sauter, 2014]. Secondly, the monetary approach (as suggested by Magnani E. (2000), Pearce D. & Palmer C. (

Figure 4:

---

1

Source: research results

Figure 5: Table 1 :

Statistical data for the six-point assessment of environmental policy stringency of 27 OECD members and 6 non-member countries in the period 1990-2015 are available and can be extracted from OECD iLibrary [OECD Statistics, n.d.]. The data for country-level control variables, including economic development and financial

Figure 6:

Country	2018	2019	2020	Source: sample analysis 3.34	London Journal of Re- search in Man- agement and Busi- ness
Finland	4.18	4.30	4.43		
France	4.31	4.46	4.60		
Greece	2.66	2.72	2.78		
Hungary	3.83	3.96	4.10		
India	1.43	1.48	1.52		
Indonesia	1.07	1.11	1.14		
Italy	3.37	3.46	3.55		
Japan	3.07	3.15	3.23		
Korea, Republic Of	3.95	4.09	4.23		
Norway	3.51	3.61	3.72		
Poland	3.33	3.44	3.55		
Portugal	3.15	3.24	3.33		
Russian Federation	0.87	0.89	0.91		
South Africa	1.04	1.07	1.09		
Spain	3.71	3.81	3.91		
Sweden	4.15	4.28	4.40		
United States	3.15	3.24	3.34		

Country	2018	2019	2020
Australia	3.70	3.84	3.97
Austria	3.79	3.88	3.97
Brazil	0.41	0.41	0.41
China	1.87	1.93	2.00

Environmental Policy: Effect on Oil and Gas Sector

---

?? , ? ??

??

Where:

: Returns on assets of company i in year t; ?? ???

: Environmental policy stringency of country j in year t; ?? ???

: Column vector of firm-level variables for firm i in year t; ?? ?

? : Column vector of country-level variables for country j in year t;

??: regression coefficients for EPS of country j in year t, firm-level variables of firm i in year t, ? ?? , ? ?? , ? ?? country-level variables of country j in year t, respectively;: intercept; ? 0

Figure 8:

3

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
ROA	216	.0717589	.1215171	.000904	1.481774
EPS	216	2.789413	1.060305	.410083	4.600321
SIZE	216	9.233017	2.869745	1.164829	13.00132
GROW	216	-.8030386	.4360811	-.9992752	4.088889
CAIN	216	-1.877375	1.042194	-5.281641	2.075864
LIQU	216	1.326832	.7883415	.1436719	4.375285
AGE	216	3.718515	.8325743	1.791759	5.209486
GDPC	216	10.20054	1.11618	7.564087	11.31774
FIND	216	.8825157	.4150424	.3449367	2.545475

Source: results analysis

Figure 9: Table 3 :

3

Figure 10: Table 3 :

4

	EPS	SIZE	GROW	CAIN	LIQU	AGE	GDPCFI
EPS	1.0000						
SIZE	-0.0336	1.0000					
	0.6229						
GROW	0.0276	-0.0878	1.0000				
	0.6864	0.1988					
CAIN	0.0480	0.0652	0.3078	1.0000			
	0.4827	0.3405	0.0000				
LIQU	-0.0937	-0.1265	0.2861	-0.1364	1.0000		
	0.1699	0.0635	0.0000	0.0452			
AGE	0.1302	0.2667	-0.1575	-0.1690	0.0454	1.0000	
	0.0561	0.0001	0.0205	0.0129	0.5073		
GDPC	0.7773	0.1869	0.0839	0.2239	-	0.1577	1.0000
					0.0325		
	0.0000	0.0059	0.2192	0.0009	0.6346	0.0204	
FIND	0.4011	-0.4183	-0.0176	-0.1682	-	0.0220	0.35231.
					0.0705		
	0.0000	0.0000	0.7969	0.0133	0.3025	0.7478	0.0000

62 | Volume 23 Issue

7 | Compilation  
1.0

Source: results analysis  
 © 2023 Great Britain Journals Press

Environmental Policy: Effect on Oil and Gas Sector

Figure 11: Table 4 :

4

Figure 12: Table 4 :

6

Source: results analysis

Figure 13: Table 6 :

### 315 .1 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

316 This study is a part of my third-year term paper on the topic "The Effect of Environmental Policies on the  
317 Financial Performances of Oil and Gas Companies." I would like to thank Professor Valery Anatolievich (HSE  
318 University) (<https://www.hse.ru/en/staff/kryukov>), my third-year academic advisor, for providing me with  
319 guidance, support and advice, without which I could neither have successfully defended my paper, nor have had  
320 the chance of publication. In spite of his solid and wholehearted support, I suppose that the study may yet bear  
321 some other shortcomings. In this regard, I am responsible for any mistake, if should have, and willing to hear  
322 feedback from other scientists and scholars via the email provided above.

323 [ R-Sq ] , R-Sq . p. 216.

324 [Gallo and Refresher ()] , A A Gallo , Refresher . <https://hbr.org/2016/04/a-refresher-on-return-on-assets-and-return-on-equity> Harvard Business Review 2016.  
325 2022. p. 2.

326 [ Climate Action ()] , <https://www.climateaction100.org/> Climate Action 2022. 2022. 100 p. 2. (Climate  
327 Action)

328 [ London Journal of Research in Management and Business ()] , Compilation 1.0. London Journal of Research  
329 in Management and Business 2023. Britain Journals Press. 68.

330 [Stern ()] *A multivariate cointegration analysis of the role of energy in the US macroeconomy*// Energy  
331 Economics, D Stern . 2000. 22 p. .

332 [Erdogan and Yamaltdinova ()] *A Panel Study of the Impact of R&D on Financial Performance: Evidence from  
333 an Emerging Market* // Procedia Computer Science, M Erdogan , A Yamaltdinova . 2019. 158 p. .

334 [Nauman and Temple-West ()] *Banks risk being caught off-guard by climate change [online]* // Ft.com, B Nau-  
335 man , P Temple-West . <https://www.ft.com/content/> 2020. 7bfdb172-5364-11ea-90ad-25e377c0ee1f.  
336 (accessed: 07. 01. 2022)

337 [Berahab ()] R Berahab . <https://www.policycenter.ma/publications/energy-crisis-2021-and-its-implications-africa> The Energy Crisis of 2021 and its Implications  
338 for Africa, 2022.

339 [Siddique ()] 'Carbon disclosure, carbon performance and financial performance: International evidence'. M  
340 Siddique . International Review of Financial Analysis 2021. 75 p. 101734.

341 [Lim et al. ()] 'China's "Mercantilist" Government Subsidies, the Cost of Debt and Firm Performance'. C Lim ,  
342 J Wang , C Zeng . Journal of Banking & Finance 2018. 86 p. .

343 [Cole and Elliott ()] M Cole , R Elliott . *Do Environmental Regulations Influence Trade Patterns? Testing Old  
344 and New Trade Theories* // The World Economy, 2003. 26 p. .

345 [Alkaraan] *Corporate transformation toward Industry 4.0 and financial performance: The influence of environ-  
346 mental, social, and governance (ESG)* // Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2022, F Alkaraan .  
347 175 p. 121423.

348 [He ()] *Do enterprise ownership structures affect financial performance in China's power and gas industries?* //  
349 Utilities Policy, X He . 2021. 73 p. 101303.

350 [Wang ()] *Do government subsidies promote financial performance? Fresh evidence from China's new energy  
351 vehicle industry* // Sustainable Production and Consumption, X Wang . 2021. 28 p. .

352 [Luo ()] *Do governmental subsidies improve the financial performance of China's new energy power generation  
353 enterprises?* // Energy, G Luo . 2021. 227 p. 120432.

354 [Qi ()] *Do subsidies and investment boost energy transition performance? Evidence from Chinese renewable  
355 energy firms* // Energy Policy, X Qi . 2022. 164 p. 112909.

356 [Wang et al. ()] *Does carbon efficiency improve financial performance? Evidence from Chinese firms* // Energy  
357 Economics, J Wang , J Li , Q Zhang . 2021. 104 p. 105658.

358 [Stern ()] *Energy and economic growth in the USA* // Energy Economics, D Stern . 1993. 15 p. .

359 [Wrigley ()] *Energy and the English Industrial Revolution*, E Wrigley . 2010. Cambridge: Cambridge University  
360 Press.

361 [Liu et al. ()] *Energy policy and corporate financial performance: Evidence from China's 11th five-year plan* //  
362 Energy Economics, C Liu , J Fang , R Xie . 2021. 93 p. 105030.

363 [Cui ()] *Environmental effect, price subsidy and financial performance: Evidence from Chinese new energy  
364 enterprises* // Energy Policy, Y Cui . 2021. 149 p. 112050.

365 [Environmental Policy: Effect on Oil and Gas Sector] *Environmental Policy: Effect on Oil and Gas Sector*,  
366 [Environmental Policy: Effect on Oil and Gas Sector] *Environmental Policy: Effect on Oil and Gas Sector*, 38.

367 [Dasgupta ()] *Environmental Regulation and Development: A Cross-country Empirical Analysis*, S Dasgupta .  
368 10.1080/13600810125568. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600810125568> 2010.

369 370

371 [Eliste and Fredriksson ()] 'Environmental Regulations, Transfers, and Trade: Theory and Evidence'. P Eliste ,  
 372 P Fredriksson . *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 2002. 43 p. .

373 [Excel for Windows] <https://support.microsoft.com/en-us/office/create-a-forecast-in-excel-for-windows>  
 374 *Excel for Windows*, (45e5-bfdc-60a7062329fd (accessed: 01. 02. 2022)

375 [Hilton and Levinson ()] 'Factoring the Environmental Kuznets Curve: Evidence from Automotive Lead Emissions'. F Hilton , A Levinson . *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 1998. 35 p. .

376 [Battisti ()] 'Financial performance and global start-ups: the impact of knowledge management practices'. E  
 377 Battisti . *Journal of International Management* 2022. 28 p. 100938.

378 [Financial system deposits, percent of GDP by country, around the world | TheGlobalEconomy.com] online] //  
 379 TheGlobalEconomy.com. 2022. [https://www.theglobaleconomy.com/rankings/financial\\_system\\_deposits\\_GDP/](https://www.theglobaleconomy.com/rankings/financial_system_deposits_GDP/) *Financial system deposits, percent of GDP by country, around the world | TheGlobalEconomy.com*, (Financial Challenges Facing Oil and Gas Companies -NWC. accessed: 12. 01. 2022)

380 [McClure ()] 'How ROA and ROE Give a Clear Picture of Corporate Health'. B McClure . <https://www.investopedia.com/investing/roa-and-roe-give-clear-picture-corporate-health/The%20Bottom%20Line,generating%20returns%20from%20shareholders%20investments>, 2022. p. 2. (#:  
 381 ~:text=financing%20to%20use)

382 [Sauter ()] *How should we measure environmental policy stringency? A new approach*, C Sauter . 2014. 14.  
 383 (IRENE Working Paper)

384 [Sachs ()] *Importance of Green Finance for Achieving Sustainable Development Goals and Energy Security //*  
 385 *Handbook of Green Finance*, J Sachs . 2019.

386 [Largest oil and gas companies by market cap] <https://companiesmarketcap.com/oil-gas/largest-oil-and-gas-companies-by-market-cap/> *Largest oil and gas companies by market cap*, (accessed: 01. 03. 2022)

387 [Botta and Ko?luk ()] 'Measuring Environmental Policy Stringency in OECD Countries: A Composite Index  
 388 Approach'. E Botta , T Ko?luk . OECD Economics Department Working Papers 2014. 1177.

389 [Harris et al. ()] *Modelling the Impact of Environmental Regulations on Bilateral Trade Flows*, M Harris , L  
 390 Konya , L Matyas . 1990-1996. 2002. OECD. 25 p. .

391 [Nakada ()] M Nakada . *Distributional Conflicts and the Timing of Environmental Policy // International  
 392 Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 2006. 6 p. .

393 [Kordana ()] *Opportunities and Threats of Implementing Drain Water Heat Recovery Units in Poland //*  
 394 *Resources*, S Kordana . 2019. 8 p. 88.

395 [Zhang ()] 'Political connections, government subsidies and firm financial performance: Evidence from renewable  
 396 energy manufacturing in China'. H Zhang . *London Journal of Research in Management and Business* 2014.  
 397 63 p. .

398 [Pearce and Palmer ()] *Public and private spending for environmental protection: a cross-country policy analysis  
 399 // Fiscal Studies*, D Pearce , C Palmer . 2005. 22 p. .

400 [Knill et al. ()] *Regulatory policy outputs and impacts: Exploring a complex relationship // Regulation &  
 401 Governance*, C Knill , K Schulze , J Tosun . 2012. 6 p. .

402 [Wang et al. ()] 'Related party transactions, business relatedness, and firm performance'. H Wang , C Cho , C  
 403 Lin . *Journal of Business Research* 2019. 101 p. .

404 [Ritchie et al. ()] H Ritchie , M Roser , P Rosado , Energy . <https://ourworldindata.org/energy-mix>  
 405 *Our World in Data*, 2022. p. 2.

406 [Robert ()] D Robert . *The Political Economy of Environment-Development Relationships: A Preliminary  
 407 Framework // Economics Working Paper Series*, Department of Economics, 1999.

408 [Smarzynska and Wei ()] B Smarzynska , S Wei . *Pollution Havens and Foreign Direct Investment: Dirty Secret  
 409 or Popular Myth? // The BE Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy*, 2001. 3.

410 [Wang and Zou ()] 'Study on the effect of wind power industry policy types on the innovation performance of  
 411 different ownership enterprises'. X Wang , H Zou . *Evidence from China // Energy Policy*, 2018. 122 p. .

412 [Hage] *Success or struggle: ROA as a true measure of business performance*, J Hage . online] //  
 413 [https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/insights/us/articles/success-or-struggle-roa-as-a-true-measure-of-business-performance/DUP505\\_ROA\\_vFINAL2.pdf](https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/insights/us/articles/success-or-struggle-roa-as-a-true-measure-of-business-performance/DUP505_ROA_vFINAL2.pdf) (Report 3 of the 2013 Shift Index series)

414 [REM and GLS] *Table 5: Comparison of Pooled OLS, FEM, REM and GLS* .

415 [Allen ()] *The British industrial revolution in global perspective*, R Allen . 2009. Cambridge University Press.

416 [The Economist ()] <https://www.economist.com/special-report/2008/06/21/the-power-and-the-glory> *The Economist*, 2008. (accessed: 12. 12. 2021)

427 [Gilbert et al. ()] *The emerging global natural gas market and the energy crisis of 2021-2022*, A  
428 Gilbert , M Bazilian , S Gross . accessed: 10. 02. <https://www.brookings.edu/research/the-emerging-global-natural-gas-market-and-the-energy-crisis-of-2021-2022/> 2022.

430 [Matos and Gili ()] *The energy crisis in Europe*, L Matos , R Gili . 02. 03. <https://www.caixabankresearch.com/en/economics-markets/commodities/energy-crisis-europe> 2022.  
431 2022.

433 [Magnani ()] *The Environmental Kuznets Curve, environmental protection policy and income distribution // Ecological Economics*, E Magnani . 2000. 32 p. .

435 [Schabek ()] *The financial performance of sustainable power producers in emerging markets// Renewable Energy*,  
436 T Schabek . 2020. 160 p. .

437 [Pomeranz ()] *The great divergence*, K Pomeranz . 2012. Princeton (N.J.: Princeton University Press.

438 [Sun ()] *The impacts of climate change risks on financial performance of mining industry: Evidence from listed  
439 companies in China // Resources Policy*, Y Sun . 2020. 69 p. 101828.

440 [The National Academies presents: What You Need to Know About Energy ()] 13. 03. <http://needtoknow.nas.edu/energy/energy-use/industry/> The National Academies presents: What You Need to Know About Energy, 2022.

443 [Ang ()] *The relationship between CSR and financial performance and the moderating effect of ownership  
444 structure: Evidence from Chinese heavily polluting listed enterprises // Sustainable Production and  
445 Consumption*, R Ang . 2022. 30 p. .

446 [Stern and Kander ()] 'The Role of Energy in the Industrial Revolution and Modern Economic Growth'. D Stern  
447 , A Kander . *SSRN Electronic Journal* 2010.

448 [Nevshehir ()] *These are the biggest hurdles on the path to clean energy*, N Nevshehir . 12. 02. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/02/heres-why-geopolitics-could-hamper-the-energy-transition/> 2021. 2022.

451 [Ma et al. ()] 'Top management team faultlines, green technology innovation and firm financial performance'. Y  
452 Ma , Q Zhang , Q Yin . *Journal of Environmental Management* 2021. 285 p. 112095.

453 [Birken (2022)] *Understanding Return On Assets (ROA) [online]* // *Forbes Advisor*, E Birken . <https://www.forbes.com/advisor/investing/roa-return-on-assets/> 2022. 10. 02. 2022.

455 [Grether et al. ()] 'Unravelling the worldwide pollution haven effect'. J Grether , N Mathys , J De Melo . *The  
456 Journal of International Trade &* 2012. Economic Development. 21 (1) p. .

457 [Damania ()] 'When the Weak Win: The Role of Investment in Environmental Lobbying'. R Damania . *Journal  
458 of Environmental Economics and Management* 2001. 42 p. .

459 [Wrigley ()] E Wrigley . *Continuity, Chance and Change*, (Cambridge) 1988. Cambridge University Press.

460 [Xing et al. ()] Y Xing , C Kolstad , Do . *Lax Environmental Regulations Attract Foreign Investment?//  
461 Environmental & Resource Economics*, 2002. 21 p. .