

CrossRef DOI of original article:

1 The Impact of Taxation on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) 2 Inflows in Tanzania

3

4 *Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970*

5

6 **Abstract**

7 Scan to know paper details and author's profile

8

9 **Index terms—**

10 **1 I. INTRODUCTION**

11 Over the past two decades there has been a renewed interest in the globalization process and one of recently
12 identified important forces of globalization has been private Foreign Direct Investment (FDI). Global FDI inflows
13 over the past two decades have increased significantly worldwide, reaching USD 1.58 trillion in 2021 from USD 159
14 billion in 1991 (UNCTAD, 2022). From a policy standpoint, Governments seek to attract FDI as it is commonly
15 regarded as advantageous for the host nation through: generating new growth prospects; greater earnings and
16 employment; higher tax revenues; and a better welfare level (Mkonyi, Kirori, & Macheru, 2022; Becker, Fuest, &
17 Rieder, 2012). To attract more FDI, Governments have designed various policy incentives, including fiscal and
18 financial incentives (Mkonyi, Kirori, & Macheru, 2022; Boly, Coulibaly, & Kere, 2019).

19 The Government of Tanzania, like other developing countries, has been striving to design and implement
20 equitable and efficient taxation system so as to attract FDI, which is seen as a catalyst for fast tracking growth
21 and development (Bigsten & Danielsson, 1999). The Investment Code of 1990 initiated the reform-process in
22 investments but failed due to weak response from the private sector. The New Investment Policy was legislated
23 in 1996 and its implementation led to the enactment of the Investment Act of 1997, which has caused a rapid
24 increase in the amount of foreign capital inflows (URT, 2013). The stock of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI),
25 which is the foremost component of foreign private capital, increased from USD 0.01 million in 1990 to USD
26 921.83 million in 2021¹. Nonetheless, despite of the rapid increase in the amount of FDI inflows, it is argued
27 that the Government policies and actions have not effectively keep and attract investment² to the point of the
28 country being ranked 141 out of 190 countries on the World Bank's 'Doing Business' ranking (Mdee, Aikael, &
29 Luvanda, 2022).

30 One of the biggest challenges to investment identified is the unfriendly and opaque tax policies, evidenced
31 by the results of investment-climate surveys that found out that more than 50 percent of the firms perceive
32 taxation, as well as access to finance as severe constraints to investment (Levin, 2005). ??evin (2004) argue that
33 the issuance of tax incentives to priority sectors have led to increased FDI inflows, as well as, caused a relatively
34 high-tax rate to other sectors and thus discourage investment in those sectors. Therefore, this paper adds to the
35 academic knowledge by econometrically analyzing the impact of taxation on FDI inflows in Tanzania.

36 The impact of taxes on FDI inflows is analyzed in two ways: First, the paper focuses on FDI inflows in
37 Tanzania for increase policy relevance as FDI is crucial fast tracking the country's growth and development. This
38 is due to the fact that, in spite of this increase in amount of FDI in the country, there are still scant evidence
39 on much has the country's taxation policy contributed to this increase in capital formation. Second, for most
40 African countries, like Tanzania, FDI flows are predominantly one-way, from developed to African countries,
41 unlike previous studies that have used gravity models by assuming bilateral exchanges of FDI between countries
42 (Boly, Coulibaly, & Kere, 2019). Hence, this paper is crucial to the policy dialogues on growth, especially now
43 at the time when the Government is striving to attain industrialization through increased capital formation in
44 the country.

5 THEORETICAL REVIEW

45 The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section Two reviews the literature on taxation and FDI
46 inflows. Section Three presents the methodology. Section Four presents and discusses the estimated results and
47 Section Five provides the conclusion.

48 2 II. LITERATURE REVIEW

49 3 History of FDI Inflows in Tanzania

50 The history of FDI promotion in the country has gone through a number of phases. The Government passed the
51 Foreign Investment Act in 1963 to attract FDI but the efforts were unsuccessful because in 1967 the government
52 opted for Socialist path of economic development. During the 1970s and the first half of the 1980s, the country
53 received very little FDI from investors because the majority of the investments were made by the Government
54 either directly or indirectly. For instance, there were about 400 enterprises which were 100 percent owned by the
55 Tanzanian Government by 1980 (UNCTAD, 2002).

56 After the failure of Socialism and self-reliance policy, Tanzania had to undertake a number of proactive
57 measures in the 1990s to facilitate the business that foreign investors undertake in the country. The Government
58 enacted a number of investment related laws and policies in recognition of the important role towards creating an
59 enabling environment for the private sector development. Some of the laws enacted were such as ??Mnali, 2012)
60 The institutional and legal framework carried out by the Government has resulted in a mixed growth pattern
61 of FDI inflows in the country. During the pre-reform period, Tanzania attracted very little FDI inflows which
62 was on average, about USD 4.4 million (Ngowi, 2012). As the reforms initiated in 1985 appear to have begun to
63 firmly take hold, there was an increase in inflow of FDI into the country from USD 0.01 million in 1990 to USD
64 496.60 million in 1999. Then it fluctuated up to 2005 where it reached USD 935.52 million.

65 The inflows of FDI then declined significantly from USD 935. The inflows then fell thereafter up to USD 921.83
66 million in 2021, as depicted in Figure 1. According to the Tanzania Investment Report (2018) 3 , FDI inflows
67 to the country in 2017 were concentrated in three main activities namely: accommodation and food (USD 247.2
68 million); mining and quarrying (USD 202.5 million); and finance and insurance (USD 127.1 million). Together
69 these activities had an aggregated average of 61.5 percent of total inflows in 2017. Additionally, the report 4
70 identifies the top source countries of FDI Inflows in Tanzania, for the period 2013-2017, to be South Africa (13.9
71 percent), Canada (12.3 percent), Nigeria (11.0 percent), Netherlands (10.9 percent) and United Kingdom (10.8
72 percent). The report has recommended that in order to increase FDI Inflows in the country, there is a need for
73 the Government to ensure full implementation of Investment, Customs Union and Common Market protocols in
74 regional economic communities need to be expedited in order to facilitate trade and cross border investments to
75 maximize the benefits associated with ongoing regional integration arrangements.

76 4 Source: UNCTAD

77 5 Theoretical Review

78 Theoretically, this paper adopted on various economic theories that determine channels of influence for FDI
79 inflows to a country. The prominent of these theories are the Ownership, Location and Internalization (OLI)
80 framework and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) policy framework for
81 investment.

82 The OLI Framework postulates that horizontal FDI involving production abroad can be expected in place of
83 exports or licensing where OLI conditions are met (Cruz, Florian, & Amal, 2020). The conditions are that Multi -
84 National Enterprise (MNE) must: poses ownership advantage; offer location advantage that make local production
85 more profitable than exporting; and internalization advantages that make undertaking a business activity directly
86 through FDI more profitable than licensing to other firms in foreign markets the right to use assets conferring
87 ownership advantage (Oxelheim, Randoy, & Stonehill, 2001). Taxation enters the OLI Framework through the
88 ownership advantage where it is postulated that a firm is more likely to engage in FDI when the firm is able to
89 negotiate reduced taxation (Cruz, Florian, & Amal, 2020; Oxelheim, Randoy, & Stonehill, 2001). The Framework
90 postulates that in an effort to minimize taxes, an MNE might undertake FDI in a tax haven country, or at least
91 in a country with a relatively low tax rate (Jones & Temouri, 2016).

92 On the other hand, taxation enters the Policy Framework for Investment through policy makers who always
93 provide guidance to potential investors (Brandstetter & Jacob, 2013). It is postulated that ??Wilson, 1999;
94 ??odrow & Mieszkowski, 1986) policy makers always have to make a tough decision of whether to cut taxes to
95 FDI inflows while considering the its impact on tax receipts due to relocation of tax base in the home country.
96 Since developing countries consider mostly of the tax-base relocation issues, they have a stronger incentive of
97 reducing the tax rates to FDI inflows than the developed countries ??Wilson, 1991;Hines & Rice, 1996;Swenson,
98 2001;Gresik, 2001). It is further postulated that a tax increase may not have an impact on FDI inflows to
99 developing countries once equilibrium effects are accounted for (Scholes & Wolfson, 1990; ??aufler & Wooton,
100 1999).

101 This paper has borrowed much on the OLI Framework and the Policy Framework for Investment. This is
102 based on their most desirable characteristics of determining factors for FDI inflows which are location advantage

103 and relocation factors. For a developing world like Tanzania, these factors are crucial in determining the factors
104 for increased FDI inflows in the country.

105 **6 Empirical Review**

106 Studies have established a relationship between FDI and taxation for a group of countries. Both (Dollery, &
107 Clark, 2004; Nistor & Gragos, 2013) found that investors from foreign countries responds negatively to the
108 Corporate Income Tax (CIT) rate. Studies that estimated whether taxation affects the choice of location of
109 outward FDI (Devereux & Freeman, 1995; A de Mooji & Ederveen, 2001) concludes that in-order to encourage
110 the increase in inward FDI, then offering a tax credits to foreign shareholders are of paramount importance.
111 Nonetheless, Young (1988) revealed that whereas FDI through retained earnings may be elastic with respect to
112 tax rates and rates of return, FDI through new funds is inelastic with respect to tax rates and rates of return.
113 However, all most of these studies are cross-country in nature, whereas studies on an FDI-importing country
114 like Tanzania which applies the techniques of the Cross Sectional Autoregressive Distributed Lag (CS-ARDL)
115 are scarce. This paper contributes to body of knowledge on the subject matter of role of taxation on FDI in
116 two ways, first by focusing on one of the developing countries (Tanzania) for increased policy-relevance. Second,
117 previous studies have typically used gravity models that assume bilateral exchanges of FDI between countries,
118 whereas, in this paper employs the Cross Sectional Autoregressive Distributed Lag (CS-ARDL) thus reducing
119 the gap in knowledge.

120 **7 Studies on impact that taxes have on FDI inflows have 121 provided inconclusive**

122 **8 III. METHODOLOGY**

123 **9 Theoretical Framework**

124 FDI are mainly affected by the effective tax rates, whose assessment are always complicated given the alternative
125 source of financing and the differed characteristics of the involved national tax systems (Leiblitz, Thornton, &
126 Bibbee, 1997). The interaction between FDI of different countries and effects of cross-border caused by tax policy,
127 could hypothetically be captured using a Spatial Durbin Model (SDM). The SDM allows identification of both
128 the endogenous effects that is spatially lagged endogenous variables and the circumstantial effects. This produces
129 unbiased estimates even if the underlying data generator process is a Spatial Autoregressive Model (SAR) or a
130 Spatial Error Model (SEM) as defined by Elhorst (2010).

131 The model includes spatially lagged independent variables, spatially lagged explained variables. Also, the
132 paper expects the existence of spatial autocorrelation given the fact that the decisions for foreigners to invest
133 can be affected not only by different tax rates but also by inflation as a proxy of the macroeconomic condition
134 of Tanzania, bilateral exchange rates and economic growth rate.

135 **10 Empirical Model Specification**

136 In testing for the overall impact of taxes on attracting FDI inflows in the country, the paper adopted the
137 theoretical model developed by Yoo (2003) and estimate the following model:

$$138 (1) \text{FDI}_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{EXR}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{EATR}_{it} + \beta_3 \text{EMTR}_{it} + \beta_4 \text{INF}_{it} + \beta_5 \text{GDP}_{it} + \beta_6 \text{FDI}_{it-1} + \beta_7 \text{FDI}_{it-2} + \beta_8 \text{FDI}_{it-3} + \beta_9 \text{FDI}_{it-4} + \beta_{10} \text{FDI}_{it-5} + \beta_{11} \text{FDI}_{it-6} + \beta_{12} \text{FDI}_{it-7} + \beta_{13} \text{FDI}_{it-8} + \beta_{14} \text{FDI}_{it-9} + \beta_{15} \text{FDI}_{it-10} + \beta_{16} \text{FDI}_{it-11} + \beta_{17} \text{FDI}_{it-12} + \beta_{18} \text{FDI}_{it-13} + \beta_{19} \text{FDI}_{it-14} + \beta_{20} \text{FDI}_{it-15} + \beta_{21} \text{FDI}_{it-16} + \beta_{22} \text{FDI}_{it-17} + \beta_{23} \text{FDI}_{it-18} + \beta_{24} \text{FDI}_{it-19} + \beta_{25} \text{FDI}_{it-20} + \beta_{26} \text{FDI}_{it-21} + \beta_{27} \text{FDI}_{it-22} + \beta_{28} \text{FDI}_{it-23} + \beta_{29} \text{FDI}_{it-24} + \beta_{30} \text{FDI}_{it-25} + \beta_{31} \text{FDI}_{it-26} + \beta_{32} \text{FDI}_{it-27} + \beta_{33} \text{FDI}_{it-28} + \beta_{34} \text{FDI}_{it-29} + \beta_{35} \text{FDI}_{it-30} + \beta_{36} \text{FDI}_{it-31} + \beta_{37} \text{FDI}_{it-32} + \beta_{38} \text{FDI}_{it-33} + \beta_{39} \text{FDI}_{it-34} + \beta_{40} \text{FDI}_{it-35} + \beta_{41} \text{FDI}_{it-36} + \beta_{42} \text{FDI}_{it-37} + \beta_{43} \text{FDI}_{it-38} + \beta_{44} \text{FDI}_{it-39} + \beta_{45} \text{FDI}_{it-40} + \beta_{46} \text{FDI}_{it-41} + \beta_{47} \text{FDI}_{it-42} + \beta_{48} \text{FDI}_{it-43} + \beta_{49} \text{FDI}_{it-44} + \beta_{50} \text{FDI}_{it-45} + \beta_{51} \text{FDI}_{it-46} + \beta_{52} \text{FDI}_{it-47} + \beta_{53} \text{FDI}_{it-48} + \beta_{54} \text{FDI}_{it-49} + \beta_{55} \text{FDI}_{it-50} + \beta_{56} \text{FDI}_{it-51} + \beta_{57} \text{FDI}_{it-52} + \beta_{58} \text{FDI}_{it-53} + \beta_{59} \text{FDI}_{it-54} + \beta_{60} \text{FDI}_{it-55} + \beta_{61} \text{FDI}_{it-56} + \beta_{62} \text{FDI}_{it-57} + \beta_{63} \text{FDI}_{it-58} + \beta_{64} \text{FDI}_{it-59} + \beta_{65} \text{FDI}_{it-60} + \beta_{66} \text{FDI}_{it-61} + \beta_{67} \text{FDI}_{it-62} + \beta_{68} \text{FDI}_{it-63} + \beta_{69} \text{FDI}_{it-64} + \beta_{70} \text{FDI}_{it-65} + \beta_{71} \text{FDI}_{it-66} + \beta_{72} \text{FDI}_{it-67} + \beta_{73} \text{FDI}_{it-68} + \beta_{74} \text{FDI}_{it-69} + \beta_{75} \text{FDI}_{it-70} + \beta_{76} \text{FDI}_{it-71} + \beta_{77} \text{FDI}_{it-72} + \beta_{78} \text{FDI}_{it-73} + \beta_{79} \text{FDI}_{it-74} + \beta_{80} \text{FDI}_{it-75} + \beta_{81} \text{FDI}_{it-76} + \beta_{82} \text{FDI}_{it-77} + \beta_{83} \text{FDI}_{it-78} + \beta_{84} \text{FDI}_{it-79} + \beta_{85} \text{FDI}_{it-80} + \beta_{86} \text{FDI}_{it-81} + \beta_{87} \text{FDI}_{it-82} + \beta_{88} \text{FDI}_{it-83} + \beta_{89} \text{FDI}_{it-84} + \beta_{90} \text{FDI}_{it-85} + \beta_{91} \text{FDI}_{it-86} + \beta_{92} \text{FDI}_{it-87} + \beta_{93} \text{FDI}_{it-88} + \beta_{94} \text{FDI}_{it-89} + \beta_{95} \text{FDI}_{it-90} + \beta_{96} \text{FDI}_{it-91} + \beta_{97} \text{FDI}_{it-92} + \beta_{98} \text{FDI}_{it-93} + \beta_{99} \text{FDI}_{it-94} + \beta_{100} \text{FDI}_{it-95} + \beta_{101} \text{FDI}_{it-96} + \beta_{102} \text{FDI}_{it-97} + \beta_{103} \text{FDI}_{it-98} + \beta_{104} \text{FDI}_{it-99} + \beta_{105} \text{FDI}_{it-100} + \beta_{106} \text{FDI}_{it-101} + \beta_{107} \text{FDI}_{it-102} + \beta_{108} \text{FDI}_{it-103} + \beta_{109} \text{FDI}_{it-104} + \beta_{110} \text{FDI}_{it-105} + \beta_{111} \text{FDI}_{it-106} + \beta_{112} \text{FDI}_{it-107} + \beta_{113} \text{FDI}_{it-108} + \beta_{114} \text{FDI}_{it-109} + \beta_{115} \text{FDI}_{it-110} + \beta_{116} \text{FDI}_{it-117} + \beta_{117} \text{FDI}_{it-118} + \beta_{118} \text{FDI}_{it-119} + \beta_{119} \text{FDI}_{it-120} + \beta_{120} \text{FDI}_{it-121} + \beta_{121} \text{FDI}_{it-122} + \beta_{122} \text{FDI}_{it-123} + \beta_{123} \text{FDI}_{it-124} + \beta_{124} \text{FDI}_{it-125} + \beta_{125} \text{FDI}_{it-126} + \beta_{126} \text{FDI}_{it-127} + \beta_{127} \text{FDI}_{it-128} + \beta_{128} \text{FDI}_{it-129} + \beta_{129} \text{FDI}_{it-130} + \beta_{130} \text{FDI}_{it-131} + \beta_{131} \text{FDI}_{it-132} + \beta_{132} \text{FDI}_{it-133} + \beta_{133} \text{FDI}_{it-134} + \beta_{134} \text{FDI}_{it-135} + \beta_{135} \text{FDI}_{it-136} + \beta_{136} \text{FDI}_{it-137} + \beta_{137} \text{FDI}_{it-138} + \beta_{138} \text{FDI}_{it-139} + \beta_{139} \text{FDI}_{it-140} + \beta_{140} \text{FDI}_{it-141} + \beta_{141} \text{FDI}_{it-142} + \beta_{142} \text{FDI}_{it-143} + \beta_{143} \text{FDI}_{it-144} + \beta_{144} \text{FDI}_{it-145} + \beta_{145} \text{FDI}_{it-146} + \beta_{146} \text{FDI}_{it-147} + \beta_{147} \text{FDI}_{it-148} + \beta_{148} \text{FDI}_{it-149} + \beta_{149} \text{FDI}_{it-150} + \beta_{150} \text{FDI}_{it-151} + \beta_{151} \text{FDI}_{it-152} + \beta_{152} \text{FDI}_{it-153} + \beta_{153} \text{FDI}_{it-154} + \beta_{154} \text{FDI}_{it-155} + \beta_{155} \text{FDI}_{it-156} + \beta_{156} \text{FDI}_{it-157} + \beta_{157} \text{FDI}_{it-158} + \beta_{158} \text{FDI}_{it-159} + \beta_{159} \text{FDI}_{it-160} + \beta_{160} \text{FDI}_{it-161} + \beta_{161} \text{FDI}_{it-162} + \beta_{162} \text{FDI}_{it-163} + \beta_{163} \text{FDI}_{it-164} + \beta_{164} \text{FDI}_{it-165} + \beta_{165} \text{FDI}_{it-166} + \beta_{166} \text{FDI}_{it-167} + \beta_{167} \text{FDI}_{it-168} + \beta_{168} \text{FDI}_{it-169} + \beta_{169} \text{FDI}_{it-170} + \beta_{170} \text{FDI}_{it-171} + \beta_{171} \text{FDI}_{it-172} + \beta_{172} \text{FDI}_{it-173} + \beta_{173} \text{FDI}_{it-174} + \beta_{174} \text{FDI}_{it-175} + \beta_{175} \text{FDI}_{it-176} + \beta_{176} \text{FDI}_{it-177} + \beta_{177} \text{FDI}_{it-178} + \beta_{178} \text{FDI}_{it-179} + \beta_{179} \text{FDI}_{it-180} + \beta_{180} \text{FDI}_{it-181} + \beta_{181} \text{FDI}_{it-182} + \beta_{182} \text{FDI}_{it-183} + \beta_{183} \text{FDI}_{it-184} + \beta_{184} \text{FDI}_{it-185} + \beta_{185} \text{FDI}_{it-186} + \beta_{186} \text{FDI}_{it-187} + \beta_{187} \text{FDI}_{it-188} + \beta_{188} \text{FDI}_{it-189} + \beta_{189} \text{FDI}_{it-190} + \beta_{190} \text{FDI}_{it-191} + \beta_{191} \text{FDI}_{it-192} + \beta_{192} \text{FDI}_{it-193} + \beta_{193} \text{FDI}_{it-194} + \beta_{194} \text{FDI}_{it-195} + \beta_{195} \text{FDI}_{it-196} + \beta_{196} \text{FDI}_{it-197} + \beta_{197} \text{FDI}_{it-198} + \beta_{198} \text{FDI}_{it-199} + \beta_{199} \text{FDI}_{it-200} + \beta_{200} \text{FDI}_{it-201} + \beta_{201} \text{FDI}_{it-202} + \beta_{202} \text{FDI}_{it-203} + \beta_{203} \text{FDI}_{it-204} + \beta_{204} \text{FDI}_{it-205} + \beta_{205} \text{FDI}_{it-206} + \beta_{206} \text{FDI}_{it-207} + \beta_{207} \text{FDI}_{it-208} + \beta_{208} \text{FDI}_{it-209} + \beta_{209} \text{FDI}_{it-210} + \beta_{210} \text{FDI}_{it-211} + \beta_{211} \text{FDI}_{it-212} + \beta_{212} \text{FDI}_{it-213} + \beta_{213} \text{FDI}_{it-214} + \beta_{214} \text{FDI}_{it-215} + \beta_{215} \text{FDI}_{it-216} + \beta_{216} \text{FDI}_{it-217} + \beta_{217} \text{FDI}_{it-218} + \beta_{218} \text{FDI}_{it-219} + \beta_{219} \text{FDI}_{it-220} + \beta_{220} \text{FDI}_{it-221} + \beta_{221} \text{FDI}_{it-222} + \beta_{222} \text{FDI}_{it-223} + \beta_{223} \text{FDI}_{it-224} + \beta_{224} \text{FDI}_{it-225} + \beta_{225} \text{FDI}_{it-226} + \beta_{226} \text{FDI}_{it-227} + \beta_{227} \text{FDI}_{it-228} + \beta_{228} \text{FDI}_{it-229} + \beta_{229} \text{FDI}_{it-230} + \beta_{230} \text{FDI}_{it-231} + \beta_{231} \text{FDI}_{it-232} + \beta_{232} \text{FDI}_{it-233} + \beta_{233} \text{FDI}_{it-234} + \beta_{234} \text{FDI}_{it-235} + \beta_{235} \text{FDI}_{it-236} + \beta_{236} \text{FDI}_{it-237} + \beta_{237} \text{FDI}_{it-238} + \beta_{238} \text{FDI}_{it-239} + \beta_{239} \text{FDI}_{it-240} + \beta_{240} \text{FDI}_{it-241} + \beta_{241} \text{FDI}_{it-242} + \beta_{242} \text{FDI}_{it-243} + \beta_{243} \text{FDI}_{it-244} + \beta_{244} \text{FDI}_{it-245} + \beta_{245} \text{FDI}_{it-246} + \beta_{246} \text{FDI}_{it-247} + \beta_{247} \text{FDI}_{it-248} + \beta_{248} \text{FDI}_{it-249} + \beta_{249} \text{FDI}_{it-250} + \beta_{250} \text{FDI}_{it-251} + \beta_{251} \text{FDI}_{it-252} + \beta_{252} \text{FDI}_{it-253} + \beta_{253} \text{FDI}_{it-254} + \beta_{254} \text{FDI}_{it-255} + \beta_{255} \text{FDI}_{it-256} + \beta_{256} \text{FDI}_{it-257} + \beta_{257} \text{FDI}_{it-258} + \beta_{258} \text{FDI}_{it-259} + \beta_{259} \text{FDI}_{it-260} + \beta_{260} \text{FDI}_{it-261} + \beta_{261} \text{FDI}_{it-262} + \beta_{262} \text{FDI}_{it-263} + \beta_{263} \text{FDI}_{it-264} + \beta_{264} \text{FDI}_{it-265} + \beta_{265} \text{FDI}_{it-266} + \beta_{266} \text{FDI}_{it-267} + \beta_{267} \text{FDI}_{it-268} + \beta_{268} \text{FDI}_{it-269} + \beta_{269} \text{FDI}_{it-270} + \beta_{270} \text{FDI}_{it-271} + \beta_{271} \text{FDI}_{it-272} + \beta_{272} \text{FDI}_{it-273} + \beta_{273} \text{FDI}_{it-274} + \beta_{274} \text{FDI}_{it-275} + \beta_{275} \text{FDI}_{it-276} + \beta_{276} \text{FDI}_{it-277} + \beta_{277} \text{FDI}_{it-278} + \beta_{278} \text{FDI}_{it-279} + \beta_{279} \text{FDI}_{it-280} + \beta_{280} \text{FDI}_{it-281} + \beta_{281} \text{FDI}_{it-282} + \beta_{282} \text{FDI}_{it-283} + \beta_{283} \text{FDI}_{it-284} + \beta_{284} \text{FDI}_{it-285} + \beta_{285} \text{FDI}_{it-286} + \beta_{286} \text{FDI}_{it-287} + \beta_{287} \text{FDI}_{it-288} + \beta_{288} \text{FDI}_{it-289} + \beta_{289} \text{FDI}_{it-290} + \beta_{290} \text{FDI}_{it-291} + \beta_{291} \text{FDI}_{it-292} + \beta_{292} \text{FDI}_{it-293} + \beta_{293} \text{FDI}_{it-294} + \beta_{294} \text{FDI}_{it-295} + \beta_{295} \text{FDI}_{it-296} + \beta_{296} \text{FDI}_{it-297} + \beta_{297} \text{FDI}_{it-298} + \beta_{298} \text{FDI}_{it-299} + \beta_{299} \text{FDI}_{it-300} + \beta_{300} \text{FDI}_{it-301} + \beta_{301} \text{FDI}_{it-302} + \beta_{302} \text{FDI}_{it-303} + \beta_{303} \text{FDI}_{it-304} + \beta_{304} \text{FDI}_{it-305} + \beta_{305} \text{FDI}_{it-306} + \beta_{306} \text{FDI}_{it-307} + \beta_{307} \text{FDI}_{it-308} + \beta_{308} \text{FDI}_{it-309} + \beta_{309} \text{FDI}_{it-310} + \beta_{310} \text{FDI}_{it-311} + \beta_{311} \text{FDI}_{it-312} + \beta_{312} \text{FDI}_{it-313} + \beta_{313} \text{FDI}_{it-314} + \beta_{314} \text{FDI}_{it-315} + \beta_{315} \text{FDI}_{it-316} + \beta_{316} \text{FDI}_{it-317} + \beta_{317} \text{FDI}_{it-318} + \beta_{318} \text{FDI}_{it-319} + \beta_{319} \text{FDI}_{it-320} + \beta_{320} \text{FDI}_{it-321} + \beta_{321} \text{FDI}_{it-322} + \beta_{322} \text{FDI}_{it-323} + \beta_{323} \text{FDI}_{it-324} + \beta_{324} \text{FDI}_{it-325} + \beta_{325} \text{FDI}_{it-326} + \beta_{326} \text{FDI}_{it-327} + \beta_{327} \text{FDI}_{it-328} + \beta_{328} \text{FDI}_{it-329} + \beta_{329} \text{FDI}_{it-330} + \beta_{330} \text{FDI}_{it-331} + \beta_{331} \text{FDI}_{it-332} + \beta_{332} \text{FDI}_{it-333} + \beta_{333} \text{FDI}_{it-334} + \beta_{334} \text{FDI}_{it-335} + \beta_{335} \text{FDI}_{it-336} + \beta_{336} \text{FDI}_{it-337} + \beta_{337} \text{FDI}_{it-338} + \beta_{338} \text{FDI}_{it-339} + \beta_{339} \text{FDI}_{it-340} + \beta_{340} \text{FDI}_{it-341} + \beta_{341} \text{FDI}_{it-342} + \beta_{342} \text{FDI}_{it-343} + \beta_{343} \text{FDI}_{it-344} + \beta_{344} \text{FDI}_{it-345} + \beta_{345} \text{FDI}_{it-346} + \beta_{346} \text{FDI}_{it-347} + \beta_{347} \text{FDI}_{it-348} + \beta_{348} \text{FDI}_{it-349} + \beta_{349} \text{FDI}_{it-350} + \beta_{350} \text{FDI}_{it-351} + \beta_{351} \text{FDI}_{it-352} + \beta_{352} \text{FDI}_{it-353} + \beta_{353} \text{FDI}_{it-354} + \beta_{354} \text{FDI}_{it-355} + \beta_{355} \text{FDI}_{it-356} + \beta_{356} \text{FDI}_{it-357} + \beta_{357} \text{FDI}_{it-358} + \beta_{358} \text{FDI}_{it-359} + \beta_{359} \text{FDI}_{it-360} + \beta_{360} \text{FDI}_{it-361} + \beta_{361} \text{FDI}_{it-362} + \beta_{362} \text{FDI}_{it-363} + \beta_{363} \text{FDI}_{it-364} + \beta_{364} \text{FDI}_{it-365} + \beta_{365} \text{FDI}_{it-366} + \beta_{366} \text{FDI}_{it-367} + \beta_{367} \text{FDI}_{it-368} + \beta_{368} \text{FDI}_{it-369} + \beta_{369} \text{FDI}_{it-370} + \beta_{370} \text{FDI}_{it-371} + \beta_{371} \text{FDI}_{it-372} + \beta_{372} \text{FDI}_{it-373} + \beta_{373} \text{FDI}_{it-374} + \beta_{374} \text{FDI}_{it-375} + \beta_{375} \text{FDI}_{it-376} + \beta_{376} \text{FDI}_{it-377} + \beta_{377} \text{FDI}_{it-378} + \beta_{378} \text{FDI}_{it-379} + \beta_{379} \text{FDI}_{it-380} + \beta_{380} \text{FDI}_{it-381} + \beta_{381} \text{FDI}_{it-382} + \beta_{382} \text{FDI}_{it-383} + \beta_{383} \text{FDI}_{it-384} + \beta_{384} \text{FDI}_{it-385} + \beta_{385} \text{FDI}_{it-386} + \beta_{386} \text{FDI}_{it-387} + \beta_{387} \text{FDI}_{it-388} + \beta_{388} \text{FDI}_{it-389} + \beta_{389} \text{FDI}_{it-390} + \beta_{390} \text{FDI}_{it-391} + \beta_{391} \text{FDI}_{it-392} + \beta_{392} \text{FDI}_{it-393} + \beta_{393} \text{FDI}_{it-394} + \beta_{394} \text{FDI}_{it-395} + \beta_{395} \text{FDI}_{it-396} + \beta_{396} \text{FDI}_{it-397} + \beta_{397} \text{FDI}_{it-398} + \beta_{398} \text{FDI}_{it-399} + \beta_{399} \text{FDI}_{it-400} + \beta_{400} \text{FDI}_{it-401} + \beta_{401} \text{FDI}_{it-402} + \beta_{402} \text{FDI}_{it-403} + \beta_{403} \text{FDI}_{it-404} + \beta_{404} \text{FDI}_{it-405} + \beta_{405} \text{FDI}_{it-406} + \beta_{406} \text{FDI}_{it-407} + \beta_{407} \text{FDI}_{it-408} + \beta_{408} \text{FDI}_{it-409} + \beta_{409} \text{FDI}_{it-410} + \beta_{410} \text{FDI}_{it-411} + \beta_{411} \text{FDI}_{it-412} + \beta_{412} \text{FDI}_{it-413} + \beta_{413} \text{FDI}_{it-414} + \beta_{414} \text{FDI}_{it-415} + \beta_{415} \text{FDI}_{it-416} + \beta_{416} \text{FDI}_{it-417} + \beta_{417} \text{FDI}_{it-418} + \beta_{418} \text{FDI}_{it-419} + \beta_{419} \text{FDI}_{it-420} + \beta_{420} \text{FDI}_{it-421} + \beta_{421} \text{FDI}_{it-422} + \beta_{422} \text{FDI}_{it-423} + \beta_{423} \text{FDI}_{it-424} + \beta_{424} \text{FDI}_{it-425} + \beta_{425} \text{FDI}_{it-426} + \beta_{426} \text{FDI}_{it-427} + \beta_{427} \text{FDI}_{it-428} + \beta_{428} \text{FDI}_{it-429} + \beta_{429} \text{FDI}_{it-430} + \beta_{430} \text{FDI}_{it-431} + \beta_{431} \text{FDI}_{it-432} + \beta_{432} \text{FDI}_{it-433} + \beta_{433} \text{FDI}_{it-434} + \beta_{434} \text{FDI}_{it-435} + \beta_{435} \text{FDI}_{it-436} + \beta_{436} \text{FDI}_{it-437} + \beta_{437} \text{FDI}_{it-438} + \beta_{438} \text{FDI}_{it-439} + \beta_{439} \text{FDI}_{it-440} + \beta_{440} \text{FDI}_{it-441} + \beta_{441} \text{FDI}_{it-442} + \beta_{442} \text{FDI}_{it-443} + \beta_{443} \text{FDI}_{it-444} + \beta_{444} \text{FDI}_{it-445} + \beta_{445} \text{FDI}_{it-446} + \beta_{446} \text{FDI}_{it-447} + \beta_{447} \text{FDI}_{it-448} + \beta_{448} \text{FDI}_{it-449} + \beta_{449} \text{FDI}_{it-450} + \beta_{450} \text{FDI}_{it-451} + \beta_{451} \text{FDI}_{it-452} + \beta_{452} \text{FDI}_{it-453} + \beta_{453} \text{FDI}_{it-454} + \beta_{454} \text{FDI}_{it-455} + \beta_{455} \text{FDI}_{it-456} + \beta_{456} \text{FDI}_{it-457} + \beta_{457} \text{FDI}_{it-458} + \beta_{458} \text{FDI}_{it-459} + \beta_{459} \text{FDI}_{it-460} + \beta_{460} \text{FDI}_{it-461} + \beta_{461} \text{FDI}_{it-462} + \beta_{462} \text{FDI}_{it-463} + \beta_{463} \text{FDI}_{it-464} + \beta_{464} \text{FDI}_{it-465} + \beta_{465} \text{FDI}_{it-466} + \beta_{466} \text{FDI}_{it-467} + \beta_{467} \text{FDI}_{it-468} + \beta_{468} \text{FDI}_{it-469} + \beta_{469} \text{FDI}_{it-470} + \beta_{470} \text{FDI}_{it-471} + \beta_{471} \text{FDI}_{it-472} + \beta_{472} \text{FDI}_{it-473} + \beta_{473} \text{FDI}_{it-474} + \beta_{474} \text{FDI}_{it-475} + \beta_{475} \text{FDI}_{it-476} + \beta_{476} \text{FDI}_{it-477} + \beta_{477} \text{FDI}_{it-478} + \beta_{478} \text{FDI}_{it-479} + \beta_{479} \text{FDI}_{it-480} + \beta_{480} \text{FDI}_{it-481} + \beta_{481} \text{FDI}_{it-482} + \beta_{482} \text{FDI}_{it-483} + \beta_{483} \text{FDI}_{it-484} + \beta_{484} \text{FDI}_{it-485} + \beta_{485} \text{FDI}_{it-486} + \beta_{486} \text{FDI}_{it-487} + \beta_{487} \text{FDI}_{it-488} + \beta_{488} \text{FDI}_{it-489} + \beta_{489} \text{FDI}_{it-490} + \beta_{490} \text{FDI}_{it-491} + \beta_{491} \text{FDI}_{it-492} + \beta_{492} \text{FDI}_{it-493} + \beta_{493} \text{FDI}_{it-494} + \beta_{494} \text{FDI}_{it-495} + \beta_{495} \text{FDI}_{it-496} + \beta_{496} \text{FDI}_{it-497} + \beta_{497} \text{FDI}_{it-498} + \beta_{498} \text{FDI}_{it-499} + \beta_{499} \text{FDI}_{it-500} + \beta_{500} \text{FDI}_{it-501} + \beta_{501} \text{FDI}_{it-502} + \beta_{502} \text{FDI}_{it-503} + \beta_{503} \text{FDI}_{it-504} + \beta_{504} \text{FDI}_{it-505} + \beta_{505} \text{FDI}_{it-506} + \beta_{506} \text{FDI}_{it-507} + \beta_{507} \text{FDI}_{it-508} + \beta_{508} \text{FDI}_{it-509} + \beta_{509} \text{FDI}_{it-510} + \beta_{510} \text{FDI}_{it-511} + \beta_{511} \$$

15 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF THE VARIABLES USED

158 Finally, the EMTR was estimated through the following formula:

159 (5) $\text{EMTR} = \frac{\text{FDI}_{jt} - \text{FDI}_{jt}^*}{\text{FDI}_{jt}^*}$ Because FDI involves cross-border investments, this paper introduced the change
160 in the exchange rates between countries j and n during period t in the formula for the pre-tax rate of return.
161 Therefore, $\text{EMTR} = \frac{\text{FDI}_{jt} - \text{FDI}_{jt}^*}{\text{FDI}_{jt}^*}$ the pre-tax rate of return was estimated through the following formula:
162 (6) $\text{EMTR} = \frac{\text{FDI}_{jt} - \text{FDI}_{jt}^*}{\text{FDI}_{jt}^*}$

163 Where j represents the resident country (Tanzania) and n the source country.

164 The Effective Average Tax Rate (EATR) can be defined as the difference in present value of the investment
165 project in the absence of tax, as a proportion of the present value of the project in the absence of tax. It is usually
166 applied to an investment project that earns economic rent. This paper estimated the EATR on an investment
167 project with a fixed pre-tax real rate of return as:
168 (7) $\text{EATR} = \frac{\text{V}_{jt}^* - \text{V}_{jt}}{\text{V}_{jt}}$

169 where V is the present value of the income stream, $*$ stands for an absence of tax, whereas other variables are
170 defined as before.

171 12 Estimation Techniques

172 This paper explored the impact of taxes on FDI inflows in Tanzania in the long run for a panel of 52 countries
173 ($N=52$) with annual data for the period 1999-2017 ($T=18$) using the Cross Sectional Autoregressive Distributed
174 Lag (CS-ARDL), that was first proposed by Chudik et al., (2013) where p_{jkt} is the required pre-tax real rate of
175 return of an investment project (p) and s_{jt} is the required post-tax real rate of return of the supplier of finance,
176 s . This was calculated for each country, j , asset, l , typed of finance, k , and year t . The pre-tax real rate of
177 return was given by: CS-ARDL as proposed by Chudik et al., (2013) has several special features including being
178 appropriate for the long-run heterogeneous panel time data as well as the assumption of short-run heterogeneity
179 and long-run homogeneity. Further, the CS-ARDL addresses the challenge of cross-sectional dependence and
180 endogeneity in empirical models (Ameer & Sohag, 2020).

181 .

182 In order for the study to attain unbiased estimators, the choice of appropriate model, (Cross Sectional
183 Autoregressive Distributed Lag (CS-ARDL)) for the empirical analysis in the panel data was vital. The paper
184 was driven by the belief that the correct model produces not only efficient, but also consistent results (Ameer &
185 Sohag, 2020).

186 Therefore, the recent econometric literature recommends applying the CS-ARDL approach to analyse long
187 heterogeneous data in the presence of common correlation effects over panel dynamic OLS, panel fully modified
188 OLS approach and panel pooled and mean group approaches. These models not only address the issue of cross-
189 country dependence, but they also solve the problems of heteroscedasticity and serial correlation in the panel
190 data (Chudik, Mohaddes, Peasaran, & Raissi, 2013).

191 Prior to the estimation of the CS-ARDL, the paper tested for the Unit Root by applying both Levin-Lin-Chu
192 test, Im-Pesaran-Shin unit-root test and the Fishertype Tests (Levin, Lin, & Chu, 2002). The paper further used
193 the Kao and Pedroni Tests to test for cointegration.

194 13 Data Type and Choice of Variables

195 The paper employed secondary panel data for estimation covering the period 1999 -2017. The FDI data were
196 sourced from the Tanzania Investment Reports (various editions), the inflation rate, discount rate, interest rate
197 and exchange rate were sourced from the Bank of Tanzania (BoT), the statutory tax rate on retained earnings
198 was sourced from the Tanzania revenue Authority (TRA). The share of FDI to GDP (FDI_{jt}/GDP_{jt}) was used as a proxy
199 for FDI inflows. The bilateral exchange rate between Tanzania and FDI source countries was used as
200 a proxy for exchange rate, whereas, the annual end of the period inflation rate of Tanzania was used as a proxy
201 for the inflation rate. The Bank of Tanzania (BoT)'s discount rate was used as a proxy for the discount rate, the
202 BoT's lending interest rate was used as a proxy for the interest rate, whereas the Corporate Income Tax rate in
203 Tanzania (30%) was used as a proxy for the statutory tax rate on retained earnings.

204 14 IV. DISCUSSION OF THE EMPIRICAL ESTIMATION 205 OF RESULTS

206 15 Descriptive Statistics of the Variables Used

207 Initial inspection of the variables of interest show that they are normally distributed with skewness of almost
208 around 2 and a kurtosis of above 2. Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of the variables. The Impact
209 of Taxation on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Inflows in Tanzania A correlation matrix was then done for
210 the explanatory variables. This is important in establishing the potential multicollinearity problem. Table 2
211 depicts the correlation matrix between FDI as dependent variable and its explanatory variables. Evidently, FDI
212 is positively associated with the all-independent variables.

213 16 Results of the Pre-Estimation Results

214 As a starting point of the integration analysis, the paper applies the first-generation panel unit root tests which
215 neglect the presence of both structural breaks and cross-section dependence, but are commonly used in the panel
216 data literature on the FDI-tax nexus. Without exception, all unit root tests assume non-stationarity under the
217 null hypothesis. Table 3 shows test for unit root using ADF, Philip Peron and Levin, Lin and Chu test from
218 which all of the variables are stationary at level. The test results show the order of integration is zero. The test
219 results from IPS strongly reject the null hypothesis of non-stationarity at level for all variables. Similar results
220 are obtained using Fisher-type ADF test and LLC. Probabilities for the Fisher-type tests are computed using an
221 asymptotic Chi-square distribution. All other tests assume asymptotic normality. The choice of lag levels for IPS
222 and Fisher-ADF test are determined by empirical realisations of the Schwarz Information Criterion. The LLC
223 test was computed using the Bartlett kernel with automatic bandwidth selection. * * * indicates significance at
224 the 1% levels.

225 17 London Journal of Research in Management and Business

226 Specifically, the correlation coefficients between the time-series for each panel member were used. CD statistic is
227 standard normally distributed under the null hypothesis of cross-section independence; thus, the null hypothesis
228 is rejected when the p-value is less than 0.05. This implies that the PMG estimator fail to address the cross-units'
229 dependence which solidifies the accuracy of PMG estimates to be questionable.

230 In order to address this shortcoming, the paper employed the CS-ARDL, which involves the inclusion of
231 additional lagged cross-sectional averages of both the dependent and independent variables in the estimation and
232 thus solve the cross-sectional dependence problem.

233 18 CS-ARDL Estimates

234 According to Chudik and Pesaran (2013), the "CS-ARDL model augments the ARDL model with the linear
235 combination of the average cross-sectional of both the dependents variables and independent variables to capture
236 the cross-sectional correlation in the error term". ??hudik and Pesaran (2015) added that in the estimation of the
237 CS-ARDL, both "mean group (MG)" and "pooled mean group (PMG)" estimators were used. It has to be noted
238 that the time dimension is required to be large enough for the model to be calculated for each cross-country unit.
239 Nevertheless, a sufficient number of lagged cross-section averages is required to be included so that validity of
240 the estimators can be ensured. In reference to previous studies, some suggested a lag length of 2 (Eberhardt and
241 Presbitero 2015), while Nonetheless, the coefficients of change in inflation and its lag is positive and statistically
242 significant. It indicates that a unit change in the change of inflation and its lag results into an increase of FDI
243 by 5.66 percent and 4.1 percent, respectively.

244 19 V. CONCLUSION

245 This paper analysed the impact of taxes on the FDI inflows in the country. The results of the CS-ARDL
246 estimates have revealed that both EMTR and EATR have positive and statistically significant relationship with
247 the FDI inflows in the country. The result shows that in the long-run, a percentage changes in EATR and EMTR
248 will increase FDI inflows in Tanzania by 0.14 percent and 0.11 percent. The short-run results indicate that a
249 percentage change in the EATR results into an increase of FDI inflows by 0.75 percent, whereas, a unit change
250 in the change of EMTR leads into reduction of FDI inflows by 0.15 percent.

251 These results signify that incentives provided by the Government to attract foreign investment has yielded
252 the anticipated results for the country but more still needs to be done to achieve the level of growth desired.
253 This, among others, can be done through facilitating the integration of the Tanzanian economy into the regional
254 and global value chains by promoting import-substitution industries and broaden products mix in the niche
255 areas such as: iron and steel industries; manufacturing industries for sugar, soap detergents, cosmetics, textiles;
transportation sector; and agriculture sector such as maize seeds and edible oils. ^{1 2 3}



Figure 1:



Figure 2: Figure 1 :



Figure 3:



Figure 4:

Variable	N	Mean	Std.dev	Skewness	Kurtosis
Foreign Direct	969	0.9153	2.0642	0.5037	3.2368
Investment					
Exchange rate	969	5.4244	2.6289	-1.2826	4.2155
Inflation rate	969	0.0752	0.0394	1.7826	5.5027
Effective Marginal tax	969	1.0594	2.3139	2.0312	9.2134
rate					
Effective Average tax	969	0.7217	1.4575	-2.6463	90.8635
rate					

© 2023 Great Britain Journals Press

Source: Author's computation
| Volume 23 Is- 6 |
sueCompiat
1.0 35

Figure 5: Table 1 :

Variable	Foreign Direct	Investment	Exchange rate	Inflation rate	Effective Marginal Tax	Effective Average Tax
Foreign Direct	1.000					
Investment		0.129	1.000			
Exchange rate			0.011	1.000		
Inflation rate				0.170	1.000	
Effective Marginal tax					0.011	
rate						-0.008
Effective Average Tax						0.018
Rate						1.000

Source: Author's computation

Figure 6: Table 2 :

3

Variable	IPS Level	(t-bar statistics)	Z)	Fisher-type (ADF - hu	Levin-Lin-C	Order of
					Adjusted t*	Integration
Foreign Direct						I(0)
Investment						I(0)
Exchange rate	-4.7391***		-18.4427***		-4.2748***	I(0)
Inflation	-2.9543***		-12.4621***		-11.7519***	I(0)
Effective Marginal	-2.9327***		-12.3656***		-5.3508***	I(0)
tax rate						
Effective Average	-3.2412***		-15.0201***		-14.2833***	I(0)
tax rate						

Source: Author's computation 5

5

Figure 7: Table 3 :

¹ 29 | | Volume 23 Issue Compilation 1.0 © 2023 Great] Britain Journals Press² 33 | | Volume 23 Issue Compilation 1.0 © 2023 Great] Britain Journals Press³ The Impact of Taxation on Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Inflows in Tanzania

	PMG	MG	DFE
Long-run Estimates			
Exchange rate	-0.0083*** (0.0024) 0.0310***	1.0692*** (0.2382) 0.4422	0.9054*** (0.2044) 0.0526
Effective Average tax rate			
	(0.0039) -0.0044***	(0.5399) 0.1696***	(0.0544) 0.1784***
Effective Marginal tax rate			
	(0.0011) 0.1721**	(0.0578) 5.9016	(0.0316) 8.0690***
Inflation			
	(0.0734) -0.7277***	(4.0557) -1.0298***	(1.7878) -0.8405***
Error Correction Term			
	(0.0416)	(0.0456)	(0.0313)
Short-run Estimates			
D.Exchange rate	0.0571 (0.1924) 0.9217***	-0.4402** (0.2081) 0.1283	-0.3377** (0.1472) 0.0082
D. Effective Average Tax Rate			
	(0.2068) -0.1320***	(0.2873) -0.1788***	(0.0320) -0.1841***
D. Effective Marginal Tax Rate			
	(0.0263) 3.3157**	(0.0319) -2.0072	(0.0198) -3.2781***
D.Inflation			
	(1.6389) 0.6560***	(2.0118) -5.3837***	(1.2451) -4.0603***
Constant			
N	(0.1625) 918	(1.6145) 918	(0.9310) 918
Hausman Test PMG & MG	0.0094***	0.05	
Hausman Test PMG & DFE	0.000***		0.05
Pesaran CD Test	0.000***		

Source: Author's computation

Standard errors in parentheses * p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.010

Figure 8: Table 7 :

256 have different magnitudes of shift. Furthermore, the common factor approach enables the common shocks to
257 affect countries differently via heterogeneous factor loadings.

258 The results of the test developed by Bai and Carrion-i-Silvestre (2009) are presented in Table ?? and confirm
259 the finding of non-stationarity in the Effective Marginal Tax Rate and Inflation variables without trend and in
260 the presence of trend Exchange Rate, Effective Marginal Tax Rate and Inflation become non-stationary. The
261 null hypothesis of a unit root cannot be rejected for all tests in the model without any trend, with a trend.
262 This also confirms the presence of Cross-sectional dependence among variables. Once integration of order one
263 is established, the next step is to determine whether a long-run relationship between FDI and tax exists. To
264 examine the existence of a cointegration relationship this study repeats both types of tests, with and without
265 structural breaks and cross-sectional dependence. Firstly, the first-generation panel cointegration tests proposed
266 by Kao (1999) and Pedroni (1999 ??edroni (, 2004)), are applied. Kao (1999)'s test is a generalisation of the
267 Dickey-Fuller (DF) and Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) tests in the context of panel data. Pedroni proposes
268 seven test statistics that can be distinguished in two types of residual based tests. Four tests are based on
269 pooling the residuals of the regression along the within-dimension of the panel (panel tests), while three are
270 based on pooling the residuals along the between-dimension (group tests). Both Kao and Pedroni assume the
271 null hypothesis of no cointegration and use the residuals determined by a panel regression to construct the test
272 statistics and determine the asymptotically normal distribution.

273 .1 Results of the Empirical Estimations

274 This section presents the econometric results of the effect of Foreign direct investment and tax policy changes.

275 .2 GMM Estimation Technique

276 Table ?? presents the estimated empirical results using the Arellano and Bover GMM two-stage estimates. The
277 Windmeijer (2005) WC-robust estimator is used to correct heteroskedasticity in our data. The test for serial
278 autocorrelation shows that the specified model is free from autocorrelation problem with the p-value greater than
279 the threshold of 5 percent hence failing to reject the null hypothesis of no autocorrelation. Sargan test of over-
280 identification as well fail to reject the null hypothesis of over-identification meaning that the used instrumental
281 variables are valid. It implies that instrumental variables are uncorrelated to some sets of residuals therefore are
282 acceptable. Based on the above diagnostic tests, the model is well specified and inference can be made. The
283 empirical results in Table ?? show that all variables display appropriate sign as anticipated. The GMM-two step
284 estimates show that coefficient of exchange rate is negatively but not statistically significant. The coefficient of
285 the EATR is positive and statistically significant at 5 percent. This implies that on average, one-point change
286 in the EATR leads to a 0.078 percentage increase in the FDI of Tanzania. However, the coefficient of EMTR
287 is negative and statistically significant at 1 percent. This means that a point change of EMTR reduces FDI by
288 0.034 percent. Nevertheless, Inflation coefficient is positive and statistically significant at 1 percent level. This
289 indicates that a change in Inflation results into an increase in FDI by 1.82 percent.

290 .3 Pooled Mean Group (PMG), Mean Group (MG) and Dynamic Fixed 291 Effect (DFE) Estimates

292 Table ?? shows the effects of EATR and EMTR on Foreign Direct Investment being estimated using the PMG,
293 MG and DFE model. Reference is made on PMG results as evidently by the houseman tests. Nonetheless, the
294 error correction term has the expected negative sign and is statistically significant at 1 percent, insisting that
295 there exists a long-run relationship between commodity price volatility and trade tax. Also, the paper cannot
296 rely on PMG results since the model is being affected by cross-sectional dependence problem.

297 .4 London Journal of Research in Management and Business

298 Chudik and Pesaran (2013) suggests that the lag length should not exceed 3. Therefore, 2 lags were selected
299 for our estimation. Table ?? presents CS-ARDL estimates. From Table ??, the estimated coefficient of Error
300 Correction term (ECT) (-0.6317) is negative and significance, which shows the ability to return to equilibrium
301 in the cause of a shock or disequilibrium, the ECT coefficient must be negative and significant (Odugbesan
302 and Rjoub, 2019). In addition, the negative and significance of the ECT coefficient indicate a stable long-run
303 cointegration among the variables in the estimation.

304 The estimates results, as presented in Table ??, show Exchange Rate, Effective Average Tax Rate (EATR)
305 and Effective Marginal Tax Rate (EMTR) to have positive and significant coefficients. The result shows that
306 a percentage increase in exchange rate will increase FDI inflows by about 1.44 percent in the long-run, holding
307 all other variables constant at 1 percent significance level. Similarly, the coefficients for EATR and EMTR
308 are positive statistically significant at 5 percent and 1 percent meaning that holding other variables constant,
309 a percentage change in EATR and EMTR will increase FDI in Tanzania by 0.14 percent and 0.11 percent
310 respectively in the long-run.

311 In the short-run estimates, the coefficients of change in Exchange Rate and change in its lag are negative and
312 statistically significant. The negative sign indicates that change in Exchange Rate and change in its lag reduces

313 the FDI inflows by 0.83 percent and 0.77 percent, respectively. However, the coefficient of change in EATR is
314 positive and statistically significant which implies that a point change in the change of EATR results into an
315 increase of FDI inflows by 0.75 percent. Nevertheless, the coefficients of change in EMTR and change in its lag
316 are statistically significant with a negative and positive signs respectively. This implies, a unit change in the
317 change of EMTR leads into reduction of FDI by 0.15 percent while a change of its lag results into an increase of
318 0.08 percent in FDI. London Journal of Research in Management and Business

319 [London Journal of Research in Management and Business] , *London Journal of Research in Management and*
320 *Business*

321 [Urt ()] , Urt . 2013. 2012. Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: National Bureau of Statistics (NBS). (Tanzania Investment
322 Report)

323 [Ameer and Sohag ()] W Ameer , K Sohag . *The Impact of OFDI and Institutional Quality on Domestic Capital*
324 *Formation at the Disaggregated Level: Evidence for Developed and Emerging Countries*, 2020. (Sustainability)

325 [Bigsten and Danielsson ()] A Bigsten , A Danielsson . *Is Tanzania an Emerging Economy? A Report for the*
326 *OECD project "Emerging Africa*, 1999. OECD.

327 [Boly et al. ()] A Boly , S Coulibaly , E N Kere . *Tax Policy, Foreign Direct Investment and Spillover Effects*,
328 (Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire) 2019. African Development Bank. 310.

329 [Brandstetter and Jacob ()] L Brandstetter , M Jacob . *Do Corporate Tax Cuts Increase Investment? Arqus*
330 *Discussion Paper*, 2013. p. 153.

331 [Becker et al. ()] 'Corporate Tax Effects on the Quality and Quantity of FDI'. J Becker , C Fuest , N Rieder .
332 *European Economic Review* 2012. 56 (8) p. .

333 [Yoo ()] *Corporate Taxation of Foreign Direct Investment Income*, K Y Yoo . 2003. 1991 -2001. OECD.

334 [Pedroni ()] *Critical Values for Cointegration Tests in Heterogeneous Panels with Multiple Regressors*, P Pedroni
335 . 1999. Oxford. p. .

336 [Chudik et al. ()] *Debt, Inflation and Growth: Robust Estimation of Long-Run Effects in Dynamic Panel Data*
337 *Model*, A Chudik , K Mohaddes , M H Peasaran , M Raissi . 2013. Globalization and Monetary Policy
338 Institute.

339 [Ederveen ()] A-De-Mojo , R Ederveen , S . *Taxation and the Foreign Direct Investment: A Synthesis of Empirical*
340 *Research*, CESifo Working Paper 2001.

341 [Mutti and Grubert ()] 'Empirical Asymmetries in Foreign Direct Investment and Taxation'. J Mutti , H Grubert
342 . *Journal of International Economics* 2004. 62 (2) p. .

343 [Field and Heckemeyer ()] *FDI and Taxation: A Meta Study*, L P Field , J H Heckemeyer . 2008. ZEW Discussion.

344 [Hines and Rice ()] 'Fiscal Paradise: Foreign Tax Havens and American Business'. J R Hines , E M Rice .
345 *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 1996. 109 (1) p. .

346 [Desai et al. ()] 'Foreign Direct Investment in a World of Multiple Taxes'. M A Desai , C F Foley , J R Hines .
347 *Journal of Public Economics* 2004. 88 p. .

348 [James ()] S James . *Tax and Non-Tax Incentives and Investments: Evidence and Policy Implications*, 2013.
349 World Bank.

350 [Nistor and Gragos ()] I A Nistor , P Gragos . *Taxation and Its Effect on Foreign Direct Investments -The Case*
351 *of Romania*, 2013. p. 3.

352 [Oxelheim et al. ()] *On the Treatment of Finance-Specific Factors Within the OLI Paradigm*, L Oxelheim , T
353 Randoy , A Stonehill . 2001. (IUI Working Paper)

354 [Kao ()] 'Spurious Regression and Residual-Based Tests for Cointegration in Panel Data'. C Kao . *Journal of*
355 *Econometrics* 1999. p. .

356 [Swenson ()] D Swenson . *Transaction Type and the Effect of Taxes on the Distribution of Foreign Direct*
357 *Investment in the United States. International Taxation and Multinational Activity*, 2001.

358 [Wilson ()] 'Tax Competition with Interregional Differences in Factor Endowments'. J D Wilson . *Regional*
359 *Science and Urban Economics* 1991. 21 (3) p. .

360 [Wilson ()] 'Tax Competition with Interregional Differences in Factor Endowments'. J D Wilson . *Regional*
361 *Science and Urban Economics* 1991. 21 (3) p. .

362 [Leibritz et al. ()] *Taxation and Economic Performance*, W Leibritz , J Thornton , A Bibbee . 1997. OECD
363 Economics Departmental Working Papers. p. 176.

364 [Levin ()] 'Taxation in Tanzania: Revenue Performance and Incidence'. J Levin . *Swedish International*
365 *Development Cooperation Agency*, 2005.

366 [Jones and Temouri ()] 'The determinants of tax haven FDI'. C Jones , Y Temouri . *Journal of World Business*
367 2016. 51 (2) p. .

368 [Mkonyi et al. ()] 'The Effect of Corporate Income Tax on FDI Inflow in the Mining Sector in Tanzania' G N
369 Mkonyi , G N Kirori , J Macheru . *International Journal of Economics* 2022. 7 (2) p. .

370 [Scholes and Wolfson ()] 'The Effects of Changes in Tax Law on Corporate Reorganization Activity'. M Scholes
371 , M A Wolfson . *Journal of Business* 1990. 63 p. .

372 [Young ()] 'The effects of Taxes and Rates of Return on Foreign Direct Investment in the United States'. K H
373 Young . *National Journal* 1988. 41 (1) p. .

374 [Nguyen and Saleh ()] 'The Impact of Governmnet Policies on FDI Decision of Multinational Corporations: an
375 Application to the Vietnamese Service Industry'. T L Nguyen , A S Saleh . *International Journal of Economics
376 and Business Research* 2018.

377 [Devereux and Freeman ()] 'The Impact of Tax on Foreign Direct INvestment; Empirical Evidence and the
378 Implications for the Integration Schemes'. M P Devereux , H Freeman . *International Tax and Public Finance*
379 1995. 2 (1) p. .

380 [Mdee et al. ()] 'The Impact of Taxes on Capital Formation in Tanzania'. E O Mdee , J Aikael , E Luvanda .
381 *African Journal of Economic Review* 2022. 10 (5) .

382 [Cruz et al. ()] 'The OLI Paradigm as a Comprehensive Model of FDI Determinants: a Sub-National Approach'.
383 C Cruz , D E Florian , M Amal . *International Journal of Emerging Markets* 2020.

384 [King and Fullerton ()] *The Taxation of Income from Capital: A Comparative Study of the United States, the
385 United Kingdom, Sweden, and Germany*, M A King , D Fullerton . 1984. National Bureau of Economic
386 Research, Inc.

387 [Gresik ()] 'The Taxing Task of Taxing Multinationals'. T Gresik . *Journal of Economic Literature* 2001. p. .

388 [Levin et al. ()] 'Unit Root Tests in Panel Data: Asymptotic and Finite-Sample Properties'. A Levin , C F Lin
389 , C S Chu . *Journal of Econometrics* 2002. p. .

390 [World Investment Report, 2022: International Tax Reforms and Sustainable Investment ()] *World Investment
391 Report, 2022: International Tax Reforms and Sustainable Investment*, (New York) 2022. United States of
392 America: United Nations.