

CrossRef DOI of original article:

Scan to know paper details and author's profile

Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970

Abstract

This study measures the impact of the macroeconomic variables on the All-Share Price Index (ASPI) of the Colombo stock exchange in Sri Lanka. Monthly data collected from the CSE data library and the Central Bank of Sri Lanka publications from 2008 to 2020 were employed. The dependent variable is the All-Share Price Index (ASPI), and the independent macroeconomic variables are gross domestic production (GDP), interest rate, exchange rate, inflation rate, money supply, and reserve money.

Index terms—

1 INTRODUCTION

The stock market of any country acts as the mirror of its economy. The economic recession, depression, and financial crisis ultimately lead to stock market crash or development. A market where the securities such as shares, debentures, etc., issued by trade is called the 'Stock market'. Likewise, the stock market plays a significant role in the individual industry and investors who want to gain maximum return on their savings (Menike, 2010). According to Bayraktar's (2014) perspective, the stock market is not only a tool for measuring industrial growth but also a means of assessing the stability of the economy. The rising market capitalization, total turnover, and market index are signs of a growing economy. In the past, most people saved their money by investing in commercial banks to make little interest. Nowadays, most developing nations, including Sri Lanka, favour commercial banks. Theoretically, the inflation rate of the country is highly affected by interest rates because of the economic crises in the past decade; the interest rates have been decreasing rapidly with negative fluctuations. Therefore, the number of investors saving money in savings accounts decreases, and they prefer investing money in the stock market. The stock market plays a vital role in the financial sector of every economy.

An efficient capital market drives economic growth by establishing a financial sector. Over time, the significance of the financial market in the economy has increased, and various factors can now affect the stock market's performance, as noted by Badullahewage (2018).

Today's stock markets have emerged as the primary driving force behind national and global economies.

Through stock market performance, there are more economic significances we can identify. The stock market performances are very sensitive to various macroeconomic variables and changes in the level of economic activities (Kumar & Padhi, 2012). The stock market is a primary source for many companies to raise funds for business expansions. If a company wants to increase capital for the business, it can increase shares. By utilizing the primary market of the stock exchange, businesses can issue shares and acquire the necessary funds to meet their operational needs.

Considering the recorded public companies, they issue more shares to the market to gather more funds. These primary functions of the stock exchange play the most important role in supporting the growth of industry and commerce in the country.

That is why raising the stock market is a sign of a developing industrial sector and a growing economy of a country. Moreover, the stock market helps the redistribution of wealth through stock price increases, and dividends enhance share in the wealth of portfolio business. The price of the share is decided by the demand and supply for the share. However, it is not the only factor that affects the share price. Other factors that affect the share price are company performance, economic factors, and the country's current political situation. The uncontrollable nature of share price is evident in its susceptibility to macroeconomic variables. It provides some indication of the impact of macroeconomic variables on stock prices. The main focus of this research is to

2 II. LITERATURE REVIEW

47 investigate the influence of macroeconomic variables on the stock market's performance in the Colombo Stock
48 Exchange.

49 As well as the potential effect of the stock exchanges on aggregate demand, especially through aggregate
50 consumption and investment. There are a large number of investors that have invested in both domestic
51 and international stock markets in the Sri Lankan context. The observation of the effect of macroeconomic
52 variables on stock market performance in Sri Lanka would benefit not only portfolio managers but also economic
53 policymakers. Also, the effect of macroeconomic variables on stock market performance is useful to evaluate
54 how portfolio manager invests in stock heads against macroeconomic variables. Moreover, this study investigates
55 the relationship between macroeconomic factors and the stock market performance that induce an economic
56 policymaker to attention when decision making. These index values are calculated continuously during the trading
57 session, with the closing values published at the end of each session (Aboocacker & Irfan, 2014). Evaluating the
58 related literature, it is evident that macroeconomic variables such as money supply, interest rate, inflation, GDP,
59 exchange rate, oil prices, gold price, and unemployment have been taken to measure the impact on the stock
60 market performance. Hence, this research aimed to establish a correlation between macroeconomic indicators
61 and the stock market's overall performance.

62 2 II. LITERATURE REVIEW

63 In this section, we are reviewing the past literature and the theoretical background related to the impact of
64 economic variables on the Colombo stock market performances. Most of the researchers have selected aggregate
65 economic variables to be employed in their research models, based on their countries' economic environment.
66 The inflation rate, exchange rate, interest rate, money supply, gross domestic production, industrial production
67 index, reserve money, crude oil price, and economic growth were the variables that were mostly selected to study
68 macroeconomic conditions with stock market indices.

69 Nelson and Schwert (1977) examined how monthly stock returns correlated with inflation from 1953 to 1974 in
70 the United States. Their findings suggested that there was an adverse correlation between stock returns and both
71 anticipated and unanticipated inflation. Chen et al. (1986) studied the effect of macroeconomic variables on the
72 stock market return. The researchers analyzed various factors, including short-term and long-term interest rates,
73 expected and unexpected inflation, industrial production, and the spread between high and low-grade bonds.
74 They collected data from 1953 to 1972 and conducted 12 cross-sectional regressions.

75 The results showed that macroeconomic variables, such as industrial production and risk premium fluctuations,
76 notably affect the stock market's performance.

77 Al-Khazali & Pyun (2004) researched the generalized Fisher hypothesis in nine equity markets in various Asian
78 countries such as Australia, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, South Korea, Malaysia, the Philippines, Taiwan, and
79 Thailand. However, their findings showed that the generalized Fisher hypothesis was rejected in all of these
80 countries. Based on their VAR model, they concluded that variations in stock returns cannot be explained by
81 inflation and vice versa.

82 The study also found that expected inflation did not affect the stochastic process of nominal stock returns. In
83 addition, they could not identify a consistent negative relationship between stock returns and inflation shocks in
84 all the analyzed countries.

85 Ahmed (2007) investigated the correlation between the stock market and several macroeconomic indicators,
86 including money supply, treasury bill rate, interest rate, GDP, and industrial production index. By applying
87 a range of tests such as unit roots, co-integration, and vector error correction models, the monthly dataset
88 for the period between July 1997 and June 2005 was analyzed. The results indicated that, in Macroeconomic
89 Determinants of Stock Market Performances in Sri Lanka general, there was no long-term relationship between
90 the stock market index and macroeconomic variables. However, the study did suggest that changes in the interest
91 rate or T-bill growth rate may have some impact on the market return.

92 Coleman & Tettey (2008) conducted a study on the Ghana Stock Exchange's performance and how it was
93 influenced by various macroeconomic factors. Their research utilized quarterly time series data spanning from
94 1991 to 2005, and they employed co-integration and 15 error correction models to analyze the data. According
95 to their results, Treasury bill rates had a minimal impact on the stock exchange's performance, while market
96 responses were delayed in cases of inflation.

97 In a study conducted by ??enike (2010), the impact of macroeconomic variables on stock prices in the Sri
98 Lankan stock market was examined. The study utilized monthly data covering the timeframe from September
99 1991 to December 2002, employing a multivariate linear regression method. Here, there were eight macroeconomic
100 variables that were regressed against each stock. The results indicate that the higher explanatory power of
101 macroeconomic variables is high in explaining the stock prices of most of the stocks listed on CSE. The study
102 held that the inflation rate and exchange rate react negatively to stock prices. Moreover, the presence of a
103 negative effect on the Treasury bill rate, implying that whenever the interest rate on Treasury securities rises,
104 investors tend to switch out of stocks, causing a fall in stock prices.

105 Pal & Mittal (2011) investigated the long-run relationship between two Indian capital markets and macroeco-
106 nomic factors such as interest rate, inflation, exchange rate and gross domestic savings using the quarterly data
107 from January 1995 to December 2008. They performed the unit root test, cointegration and error correction
108 mechanism and found that the inflation rate impacts one capital market. The results also indicated that the Gross

109 domestic saving was insignificant in explaining both markets. Not only the above factors, but also stock market
110 performance is influenced by natural disasters, infrastructure development, social welfare, political situation, and
111 political stability. However, there are very few researchers who covered this area in their studies. Several research
112 studies investigated that there is a positive relationship between stock market performance and economic growth.

113 Though Sri Lankan situation may be different, when stock market transactions are low, the impact on the
114 country's economic activity may be limited. Therefore, possible practical influences done by sectors and segments
115 of the economy have an impact on stock market changes.

116 Momani & Alsharari (2011) studied the impact of macroeconomic factors on the stock prices at the Amman
117 Stock Market of Jordan, covering the periods of 1992-2010. The macroeconomic factors were namely: interest
118 rate, national product, money supply, and industrial product index. The results showed a significant statistical
119 impact on share prices. However, when each factor was examined with the indices, they found that the interest
120 rate has a statistically significant impact on the prices of the shares in the Amman Financial Market. The effect
121 was negative on behalf of the index and the sectors index. The production index is another variable, which had
122 a significant impact where its impact was negative for the general sectors index except for the insurance sector,
123 which had a positive impact.

124 Kumar & Padhi (2012) conducted a study to examine the correlation between the BSE Sensex, the Indian
125 stock market index, and five macroeconomic variables: industrial production index, wholesale price index,
126 money supply, treasury bills rates, and exchange rates. They utilized Johansen's co-integration and vector error
127 correction model to analyze the data from 1994 to 2011 and investigate the long-term equilibrium relationship
128 between the stock market index and the macroeconomic variables.

129 The findings indicated that the stock market index and the selected macroeconomic variables were co-
130 integrated, suggesting a long-term equilibrium relationship between them. The results also Macro-economic
131 Determinants of Stock Market Performances in Sri Lanka revealed a positive correlation between stock prices
132 and money supply, while industrial production exhibited a negative correlation with inflation. However, the
133 study found that the exchange rate and short-term interest rate had no significant impact on determining stock
134 prices. Aurangzeb (2012) studied the factor affecting the performance of the stock market in three selected South
135 Asian countries, namely, Pakistan, India and Sri Lanka using the data collected from the period 1997 to 2010.
136 According to the findings of the regression analysis, it was observed that the performance of the stock market in
137 South Asian nations is positively and significantly affected by foreign direct investment and exchange rates.

138 Conversely, the interest rate was found to have a negative and significant impact on the stock market
139 performance in the region. The analysis also revealed that inflation has a negative impact on the stock market
140 performance in South Asia, but the impact was insignificant.

141 Aboocacker & Shehu (2014) conducted a study to investigate the impact of macroeconomic factors on the
142 stock market performance in Sri Lanka.

143 The study utilized monthly data collected between January 2001 and December 2011. The independent
144 variables of this study are inflation, exchange rate, money market rate and money supply of Sri Lanka, whereas
145 the dependent variable is all share price index. Co-integration analysis for macroeconomic factors and all share
146 price indices of the stock market were carried out to test for the existence and Vector Error Correction Model.
147 It was found that both long and short-run relationships exist among the stock price index and macroeconomic
148 variables. Using the ADF unit root test, it is shown that all the variables are integrated in the same order I (1).

149 According to the Johansen co-integration test results, a stable and long-term relationship exists between the
150 variables studied.

151 The Johansen test procedure further supported this finding by indicating the presence of at least one co-
152 integration equation involving the ASPI and macroeconomic variables at a significance level of 5%. The results
153 of VEC showed that short-run relationship between the stock market index, money market rate and money
154 supply. Badullahewage (2018) conducted a study on how macroeconomic factors affected the performance of
155 the stock market in Sri Lanka. The study analyzed data from 1990 to 2012 and used indexes to investigate the
156 relationship between macroeconomic variables such as inflation, gross domestic production, interest rates, and
157 exchange rates. The findings of the study demonstrated that all of these factors have an inseparable impact on
158 stock market performance and that the Sri Lankan stock market has gone through many ups and downs as a
159 result of them.

160 It has been discovered that inflation and exchange rates have a higher impact on stock market performance
161 out of all the factors studied.

162 According to the research, an increase in indicators such as interest rates, exchange rates, and GDP has been
163 observed to result in improved performance of both the CCPI and ASPI. In contrast, to have a better performance
164 in the stock market, the inflation rate should be kept to a bare minimum. Jayasundara et al., (2019) researched
165 the relationship between macroeconomic factors and stock market performance in Sri Lanka. They analyzed
166 monthly data from 2006 to 2016 and included two dummy variables to assess the effects of the country's civil war
167 and the global financial crisis on share prices. The Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method was used to estimate the
168 parameters. The findings indicate that macroeconomic variables overall impact the ASPI of Sri Lanka. Interest
169 rates, the industrial production index, and civil war had a negative impact on ASPI, while the US Dollar exchange
170 rate and real GDP growth rate had a positive impact on the all-share price index. The global financial crisis
171 positively affected the all-share price index in Sri Lanka, which is contradictory to the experiences of developed

172 countries. As a preliminary step for analyzing the time series data, the test for stationarity and the test for
 173 co-integration were performed. Here, the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) unit root test is used to examine the
 174 existence of unit roots in the dataset. In addition to that, this study involves the Johansen co-integration test
 175 (Johansen & Juselius, 1990) using the trace statistic and the maximum eigenvalue method. Furthermore, this
 176 study utilizes the Vector Error Correction Model (VECM) to evaluate the short-run and long-term dynamics of
 177 variables in an attempt to identify the relationship between the variables. The final model was fitted using the
 178 Johansen normalization technique and the pairwise Granger causality test was performed to check the direction
 179 of causality. All the statistical analyses were performed using EViews 12.0 statistical software.

180 3 IV. RESULTS & DISCUSSIONS

181 The following table shows the summary of descriptive statistics of the variables used in this study. The sample
 182 means, median, maximum, minimum, and standard deviation have been recorded.

183 Macroeconomic Determinants of Stock Market Performances in Sri Lanka To have a better understanding of
 184 this study, the time-series data should satisfy some properties.

185 This section describes such a property called stationarity or the existence of unit roots. Here, the ADF test is
 186 utilized to find the existence of unit root using EViews statistical software on both levels and their first differences
 187 of all variables.

188 As a preliminary step for testing co-integration among several variables used in the above model, it is necessary
 189 to test for the presence of unit root for every individual variable using the ADF test (Dickey & Fuller, 1979) based
 190 on the auxiliary regression, . hypothesis of non-stationarity is rejected, it is possible to conclude that the series
 191 is integrated of order 1. Furthermore, for the ADF tests to be effective, it is important to choose the relevant
 192 optimal lag order such that not to reduce the power of the test. The lag order was chosen using AIC values and
 193 EViews statistical software identifies the default value of as the lag order for the $\alpha \times \beta$ $(\alpha \times \beta - 1) \times 3$

194 ADF test, where α denotes the variable of interest.

195 4 α

196 The above table indicates that the critical values for the levels of all variables are not significant at the 5% and
 197 1% significance levels. Therefore, it implies that all the variables have a unit root in their level for the ADF test.
 198 However, the ADF test results for the first differences of the variables show that the critical values for all the
 199 variables are significant at the 5% and 1% significance levels. Therefore, based on these results, we reject the
 200 null hypothesis that the presence of unit root at all first differences of the variables. As a result of this test, it
 201 can be considered that the variables are integrated of order 1, I (1). Since the series are integrated of the same
 202 order I (1), then there exists a risk of co-integration.

203 After showing that the variables are integrated of order I, I (1), it is necessary to determine whether there is at
 204 least one linear combination of these variables. This can be done by using the co-integration method. Along with
 205 a non-stationary series, the co-integration method has been used to investigate whether a long-run relationship
 206 exists. However, to apply the co-integration test, it is necessary to have the variables under consideration
 207 integrated in the same order. In the previous section, it is clear that the variables under consideration are
 208 integrated in the same order I (1). As an initial step for applying the co-integration tests, first, specify the
 209 relevant optimal lag order of the Vector Auto-regressive (VAR) model. By the Akaike Information Criteria using
 210 2 maximum lags, the general VAR model indicates that the optimal lag length of 1. Based on the above unit-root
 211 tests, the Johansen co-integration test can be applied. The following vector autoregressive framework of order
 212 p can be used to express the Johansen co-integration method. To use the Johansen co-integration method, the
 213 above equation ($\alpha \times \beta$) should be turned into a vector error correction model (VECM) and it can be written as
 214 follows.

215 5 $\alpha \times \beta$

216 The co-integration test between the X values is calculated by finding the rank of the matrix α using its eigenvalues.
 217 In this test, the null hypothesis can be expressed as $\alpha \times \beta = 0$ where α and β represent loading matrices
 218 of eigenvectors with dimensions $n \times r$. The matrix α is $\alpha \times \beta$ responsible for providing co-integration vectors, while
 219 β represents the adjustment parameters. The number of co-integrating relations can be tested by using the
 220 following trace statistic and the maximum eigenvalue test statistic given below.

221 Trace statistic: $\lambda_{(r)} = \sum_{i=r+1}^n \lambda_i$, $\lambda_i = -\lambda_i(1 - \lambda_i)^{n-1}$

222 Where, n = The number of co-integrating vectors under α the null hypothesis, n = The number of observations
 223 that are usable α and, λ_j = The value estimated for the j th ordered λ_j characteristic root or eigenvalue from
 224 the α matrix A significant co-integrating vector can be identified utilizing significant non-zero eigenvalues. The
 225 null hypothesis of the trace statistic suggests that the number of co-integrating vectors is less than or equal to r ,
 226 whereas the alternative hypothesis suggests that there are more than r co-integrating relations. The maximum
 227 eigenvalue test indicates the null hypothesis of the number of co-integrating vectors is less than or equal to r ,
 228 versus the alternative hypothesis of $(r+1)$ co-integrating vectors. Tables 3 and 4 present the results obtained from
 229 the Johansen method of both trace test and maximum eigenvalue test using EViews statistical software, starting
 230 with the null hypothesis of no co-integration ($r=0$) among all variables. The data reported in above Table 3

231 shows that the null hypothesis of no co-integrating vector or at most one co-integrating vector can be rejected
232 at the 5% significance level, thereby suggesting the presence of two co-integrating equations.
233 Therefore, according to the results of Table 3 above, at a 5% significance level, the trace statistic suggests at
234 most two co-integrating vectors ($r=2$) are significant.

235 6 Test Assumption: Linear Deterministic Trend in the Data

236 As the results of Table 4, the null hypothesis of no co-integrating vector can be rejected at the 5% significance
237 level and indicates the presence of one co-integrating equation. Therefore, the maximum eigenvalue statistic
238 suggests that at most one co-integrating vector ($r=1$) is significant.

239 Therefore, by using both trace and maximum eigenvalue tests, it can be concluded that there are The above
240 co-integrating long-run model can be re-parameterized as follows:

$$241 (8) \text{????} = -9.526\text{????} -1.216\text{????} + 14.010\text{????} + 74.860\text{????} -28.140\text{???} + 7.005\text{????} + 9.000$$

242 In the long term, there is a significant negative statistical relationship between all share price indexes and gross
243 domestic production, interest rates, and money supply variables. In other words, gross domestic production,
244 interest rates, and money supply variables allow long-term all share price index values to be reduced. Moreover,
245 there is a positive relationship between the share price index and exchange rates, inflation rates, and reserve
246 money variables. That is exchange rates, inflation rates, and money supply variables support increasing the
247 long-term share price index values.

248 The estimated coefficients, standard errors, t statistics and p values of the log-linear model (2) and its R-
249 Squared, adjusted R-Squared values are summarized in Table 6 below. The above log-linear model can be
250 re-parameterized as follows:

$$251 (9) \text{????} = 1.926 + 0.084\text{????} -0.320\text{????} -2.644\text{????} + 3.866\text{????} -0.682\text{???} + 0.705\text{????}$$

252 The significance of each variable is tested by using the t-test. The null hypothesis of this test stated that there
253 is no significant impact from the independent economic variable on ASPI, whereas the alternative hypothesis
254 stated that there is a significant relationship between the independent two co-integrating relations among the
255 variables and it is another evidence to say that the variables are co-integrated. That is, there exists a linear
256 combination of the I (1) variables and a stable long-run relationship.

257 By taking one co-integrating vector and two co-integrating vectors, the short-run and long-run interaction of
258 the selected economic variables, the VECM has been estimated based on the Johansen co-integration method.
259 The results demonstrate that the ASPI and the economic variables have a long-run equilibrium relationship. The
260 estimated co-integrating coefficients for the first and second normalized eigenvectors are as follows.

261 Macroeconomic Determinants of Stock Market Performances in Sri Lanka economic variable on ASPI.
262 According to the regression outputs in Table 6, using p-values, it can be considered as all the macroeconomic
263 variables show significant effects on the ASPI of Sri Lanka at a 10% level. Additionally, using the R-squared
264 value, it is evidence to say that 78.81% of the total variation is explained by the above log-linear model (??)
265 and the adjusted R-squared in the above table suggests that the fitted model is approximately 77.96% reliable.

266 If it is given that a co-integrating relationship is present among the selected variables in level form, an error
267 correction model can be estimated.

268 An error correction model is used to identify the short-run dynamic response of ASPI and other economic
269 variables. The VECM is a restricted VAR developed to be utilized with known co-integrated nonstationary series.
270 The VEC has co-integration relations built into the specification so that it restricts the long-run behaviour of
271 the economic variables to converge to their co-integrating relationships while allowing for short-run adjustment
272 dynamics. VECM relates changes in ASPI growth to changes in the other lagged variables and the disturbance
273 term of lagged periods. Table 7 shows the results of the VECM short-run dynamic relationship and the short-run
274 relationship coefficients using EViews statistical software. significant at a 1% level. The gross domestic product
275 has a positive and significant (at 10% level) impact. In contrast, the other macroeconomic variables have a
276 positive and insignificant (at 1% level) impact on the growth of all share price indexes of Sri Lanka. Therefore,
277 when the GDP of the last two months are increasing, it will cause the growth in ASPI by 0.0937 units. According
278 to the records of the past two months, the growth in ASPI has a negative and significant impact on the current
279 growth in ASPI. Therefore, according to the above results, GDP is a significant determinant of the growth of
280 ASPI.

281 The VECM can identify the short-run dynamics and the long-run equilibrium relations between the time series
282 variables. Therefore, it can distinguish between the short-run and long-run Granger causality. In other words,
283 the Granger causality test is used to find the joint significance of the coefficients of the differenced explanatory
284 variables. To further understand this study, the Granger casualty test has been employed to check the direction of
285 causality and the results are presented in Table 8. The F-statistic and probability values are estimated using 153
286 observations and 2 months lags under the null hypothesis of no causality. The results of the Granger Causality
287 test indicate that the ASPI and exchange rate have a significant unidirectional causality, also the exchange rate
288 and interest rate have a significant bidirectional causality on each other at 1% and 5% levels.

289 Furthermore, the GDP and interest rate have a unidirectional causality and money supply and exchange rate
290 have a unidirectional causality. Finally, reserve money and money supply variables have a significant bidirectional
291 causality on each other at 10% level. The results of this test also indicate that all the other pairs of variables do
292 not have a significant causal relationship with each other at 1% and 5% levels.

7 V. CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY RECOMMENDATION

293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305

The main objective of this study is to investigate the impact of selected economic variables on the Colombo Stock Market Performances for 2008-2020. The ADF test was conducted to test the stationarity and the order of integration of all the series. It showed that all the variables are integrated in the same order I (1). The Johansen co-integration test results indicate a long-run relationship between the variables. The optimal lag length for each VAR model was selected by minimizing the Akaike Information criteria, and the final analysis used a lag length of 2. The estimated regression model shows a positive relationship between ASPI with GDP, Inflation rate and Reserve money. Simultaneously, there is a negative relationship between the Interest rate, Exchange rate and Money Supply. The VECM model shows that there is a long-run relationship between the ASPI and all other variables during the first two-month lags and especially the gross domestic product has a positive and significant impact on the growth of ASPI for the last two-month lags. The results of Granger's causality test indicate a significant effect of ASPI on the exchange rate, and it is not affected by the other variables. Finally, the overall findings suggest that all the selected economic variables have been significantly affected by the ASPI. Those variables are the most powerful estimators for estimating the Stock market performances of Sri Lanka.



2

Figure 1: 2 Macroeconomic



Figure 2:

306
307

1 2

¹ © 2023 Great] Britain Journals Press Volume 23 | Issue 5 | Compilation 1.0

² Macroeconomic Determinants of Stock Market Performances in Sri Lanka © 2023 Great] Britain Journals Press Volume 23 | Issue 5 | Compilation 1.0



Figure 3:

Sri Lanka's capital market has undergone tremendous change after the reception of the liberalization policy. It has become more open to international investors, especially in the context of the post-war economy and subsequent economic revitalizations in Sri Lanka.

Figure 4:

III.

The main objective of this study is to investigate the relationship between the All-Share Price Index (ASPI) of the Colombo Stock Exchange and six major macroeconomic variables: Gross Domestic Production (GDP), Interest Rate, Exchange Rate, Inflation Rate, Money Supply and Reserve Money of Sri Lanka using monthly time series data between 2008 and 2020. The data were obtained from the CSE data library and central bank publications. Here, the ASPI variable is considered as a proxy to measure the performance

Lanka. The interest rate was measured by using 90 days treasury bonds and the inflation rate was measured by using Colombo Consumer Price Index on the base of 2013(100). Sri Lankan Rupees per unit of US dollar (USD) was taken as the exchange rate, and the money supply was measured using the M2b indicator. The following linear model is proposed to establish the relationship between ASPI and selected macroeconomic factors in Sri Lanka.

$$Y_t = \delta + \beta_1 X_{1t} + \beta_2 X_{2t} + \beta_3 X_{3t} + \beta_4 X_{4t} + \beta_5 X_{5t} + \beta_6 X_{6t} + \epsilon_t$$

For the convenience of estimation, all the above variables are expressed as a log-linear model as follows

$$\ln Y_t = \delta + \beta_1 \ln X_{1t} + \beta_2 \ln X_{2t} + \beta_3 \ln X_{3t} + \beta_4 \ln X_{4t} + \beta_5 \ln X_{5t} + \beta_6 \ln X_{6t} + \epsilon_t$$

Where;
 $\ln Y_t$

$\ln X_{1t}$ represents the log of Money supply at time t;

1

	ASPI	GDP	Interest Rate	Exchange Rate	Inflation Rate	Money Supply (M2b)	Reserve Money
Mean	5516.768	21571.790	9.935	138.066	104.378	4200779.000	657344.000
Median	6014.950	19107.960	9.450	131.350	104.750	3600429.000	624946.100
Maximum	7798.000	212302.000	19.600	193.090	138.000	9405734.000	1021589.000
Minimum	1503.000	9540.311	4.860	107.6	69.700	1398625.000	258097.000
Std. Dev	1599.069	27087.87	3.502	24.846	18.725	2249164.000	251173.600

Figure 6: Table 1 :

2

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha + \beta_1 \Delta Y_{t-1} + \beta_2 \Delta Y_{t-2} + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \Delta Y_{t-p+1} + \epsilon_t$$

Where;

ΔY_{t-1} = The lagged first differences,

ϵ_t = The serial correlation errors and,

$\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{p-1}$ are the parameters to be estimated.

The null hypothesis for a unit root in variable Y_t is $\alpha = 0$ and the alternative hypothesis is $\alpha < 0$.

The following table describes the results of the ADF unit root test.

Variables	Levels	First Difference	5% Critical Value	1% Critical Value
Log ASPI	-2.011	-12.229	-2.880	-3.473
Log GDP	-1.040	-7.912	-2.882	-3.476
Log Interest Rate	-1.906	-8.042	-2.880	-3.473
Log Exchange Rate	-0.052	-8.261	-2.880	-3.473
Log Inflation Rate	-1.228	-7.737	-2.880	-3.474
Log Money Supply (M2b)	-0.094	-11.140	-2.880	-3.473
Log Reserve Money	-1.890	-12.695	-2.880	-3.473

The above ADF auxiliary regression tests for the existence of a unit root in at time t. If the test statistic values of the ADF test are larger than the critical value, then the null hypothesis is not rejected. Therefore, in such cases, we conclude that the variable under consideration is not stationary. That is, it has a unit root. This procedure should be applied once again after having transformed the series under consideration in the first differencing. If the null Macroeconomic Determinants of Stock Market Performances in Sri Lanka

Figure 7: Table 2 :

3

Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	5% Critical Value	P -Value	No. of CE (s)
0.341	161.283	125.615	0.000	None
0.223	97.399	95.754	0.038	At most 1
0.158	58.833	69.819	0.273	At most 2
0.104	32.502	47.856	0.584	At most 3
0.060	15.687	29.797	0.734	At most 4
0.040	6.255	15.495	0.665	At most 5

SERIES: LASPI, LGDP, LINTR, LEXR, LINFR, LMS, LRESM

Figure 8: Table 3 :

4

Test Assumption: Linear Deterministic Trend in the Data

Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	5% Critical Value	P -Value	No. of CE (s)
0.341	63.884	46.231	0.000	None
0.223	38.566	40.078	0.073	At most 1
0.158	26.331	33.877	0.301	At most 2
0.104	16.815	27.584	0.596	At most 3
0.060	9.432	21.132	0.796	At most 4
0.040	6.252	14.265	0.581	At most 5

SERIES: LASPI, LGDP, LINTR, LEXR, LINFR, LMS, LRESM

Figure 9: Table 4 :

5

Equation Type	Log ASPI	Log GDP	Log Interest Rate	Log Exchange Rate	Log Inflation Rate	Log Money Supply	Log Reserve Money	Constant
One co-integrating equation	1.000	(1.145) 9.526	(1.234) 1.216	(9.679) -14.010	(19.234) -74.860	(9.585) 28.140	(3.934) -7.005	- 9.000
Two co-integrating equations	1.000	0.000	0.222 (0.103)	2.107 (0.804)	-7.827 (1.492)	2.002 (0.772)	-0.711 (0.325)	
	0.000	1.000	0.104 (0.128)	-1.692 (1.000)	-7.037 (1.857)	2.744 (0.961)	-0.661 (0.404)	

Figure 10: Table 5 :

6

Dependent Variable: LASPI				
Method: Least Squares				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-statistic	Prob.
Intercept	1.926	0.323	5.958	0.000***
Log GDP	0.084	0.048	1.752	0.082*
Log Interest Rate	-0.320	0.062	-5.202	0.000***
Log Exchange Rate	-2.644	0.458	-5.767	0.000***
Log Inflation Rate	3.866	0.816	4.741	0.000***
Log Money Supply	-0.682	0.410	-1.664	0.098*
Log Reserve Money	0.705	0.189	3.734	0.000***
R-Squared		0.7881		
Adjusted R-Squared		0.7796		

Note: *Denotes significance at 10% level. **denotes significance at the 5% level and ***denotes significance at 1% level.

Figure 11: Table 6 :

Variables	Dependent Variable \hat{I}^* (LASPI)			
	153 observations are used after adjustments			
	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	P-value
ECT	-0.0065	0.0055	-1.1858	0.2375
\hat{I}^* (LASPI(-1))	-0.4796	0.0843	-5.6873	0.0000***
\hat{I}^* (LASPI(-2))	-0.1896	0.0848	-2.2363	0.0268**
\hat{I}^* (LGDP(-1))	0.0747	0.0555	1.3453	0.1805
\hat{I}^* (LGDP(-2))	0.0937	0.0544	1.7240	0.0867*
\hat{I}^* (LINTR(-1))	0.1644	0.2556	0.6433	0.1024
\hat{I}^* (LINTR(-2))	-0.2504	0.2485	-1.0076	0.3152
\hat{I}^* (LEXR(-1))	-1.6721	1.2191	-1.3716	0.1722
\hat{I}^* (LEXT(-2))	-0.3305	1.2234	0.2701	0.7874
\hat{I}^* (LINFR(-1))	0.2442	1.1342	0.2153	0.8298
\hat{I}^* (LINFR(-2))	-0.5311	1.1088	-0.4790	0.6326
\hat{I}^* (LMS(-1))	1.3582	1.8022	0.7536	0.4522
\hat{I}^* (LMS(-2))	2.4823	1.7897	1.3870	0.1675
\hat{I}^* (LRESM(-1))	-0.0833	0.4108	-0.2029	0.8395
\hat{I}^* (LRESM(-2))	-0.0873	0.4134	-0.2111	0.8331
Constant	-0.0134	0.0139	-0.9667	0.3352

Note: *Denotes significance at 10% level. **denotes significance at the 5% level and ***denotes significance at 1% level.

The VECM short-run results are presented in Table 7. It is evident that \hat{I}^* (LASPI (-1)) and \hat{I}^* (LASPI (-2)) are statistically significant at a 5% level with priori expected signs. The coefficient of Error Correction Term (ECT) is correct in sign and insignificant. However, it is fairly small, that is, 0.0065. This implies, nearly 0.65% of the

disequilibria in ASPI of the previous month's affect back to the long-run equilibrium in the current month. The interest rates, US dollar exchange rates, inflation rates and reserve money variables had a negative impact on the growth of the all-share price index of Sri Lanka for the last two months and those effects are not statistically

Macroeconomic Determinants of Stock Market Performances in Sri Lanka

Figure 12: Table 7 :

8

Null Hypothesis	F statistic	Prob.
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI)	1.105	0.334
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP)	0.051	0.951
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI)	1.465	0.234
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR)	0.288	0.750
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LEXR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI)	0.910	0.405
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LEXR)	8.070	0.001***
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LINFR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI)	0.068	0.934
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LINFR)	1.798	0.169
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LMS) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI)	0.747	0.476
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LMS)	0.848	0.430
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LRESM) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI)	0.003	0.998
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LASPI) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LRESM)	0.630	0.534
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP)	0.106	0.900
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR)	2.960	0.055*
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LEXR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP)	0.206	0.814
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LEXR)	0.307	0.736
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LINFR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP)	0.135	0.874
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LINFR)	0.323	0.725
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LMS) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP)	1.396	0.251
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LMS)	0.815	0.445
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LRESM) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP)	0.671	0.513
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LGDP) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LRESM)	0.090	0.914
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LEXR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR)	5.021	0.008***
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LEXR)	5.292	0.006***
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LINFR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR)	0.300	0.741
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LINFR)	0.394	0.675
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LMS) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR)	1.563	0.213
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LMS)	0.242	0.785
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LRESM) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR)	0.109	0.897
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LINTR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LRESM)	1.030	0.340
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LINFR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LEXR)	0.584	0.559
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LEXR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LINFR)	0.498	0.609
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LMS) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LEXR)	2.363	0.098*
$\hat{I}^?$ "(LEXR) does not Granger Cause $\hat{I}^?$ "(LMS)	1.256	0.288

Figure 13: Table 8 :

- 308 [Jahufer and Irfan ()] ‘Contribution Of Macroeconomic Factors’. A Jahufer , S S Irfan . *Journal of Management*
309 2014. 10 (1) p. .
- 310 [Rakhal ()] ‘Determinants of Stock Market Performance. NCC (Nepal Commerce Campus’. R Rakhal . *Journal*
311 2015. 3 (1) p. .
- 312 [Dickey and Fuller ()] ‘Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root’. D A
313 Dickey , W A Fuller . *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 1979. 74 (366) p. .
- 314 [Chen and Richard ()] ‘Economic Forces and the Stock Market’. N F Chen , R Richard , RossA S . *The Journal*
315 *of Business* 1986. 59 (3) p. .
- 316 [Coleman and Tettey ()] ‘Effect of Exchange-rate Volatility on Foreign Direct Investment in Sub-Saharan Africa:
317 The Case of Ghana’. A K Coleman , K F A Tettey . *Journal of Risk Finance* 2008. 9 (1) p. .
- 318 [Mugambi and Okech ()] ‘Effect Of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Returns of Listed Commercial Banks in
319 Kenya’. M Mugambi , T C Okech . *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management* 2016.
320 4 (6) p. .
- 321 [Rathnayaka et al. ()] ‘Empirical Investigation of Stock Market Behavior in the Colombo Stock Exchange’. R M
322 K T Rathnayaka , D M K N Seneviratna , S C Nagahawatta . *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference*
323 *on Management and Economics*, (the 3rd International Conference on Management and Economics) 2014.
324 2014. p. .
- 325 [Aslam ()] ‘Exchange Rate and Economic Performance -A Comparative Study of Developed and Developing
326 Countries’. Z A Z Aslam . *IOSR Journal of Business and Management* 2013. 8 (1) p. .
- 327 [Aurangzeb ()] ‘Factors Affecting Performance of Stock Market: Evidence from South Asian Countries’.
328 Aurangzeb . *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences* 2012. 2 (9) p.
329 .
- 330 [Momani and Alsharari ()] ‘Impact of Economic Factors on the Stock Prices at Amman Stock Market (1992-
331 2010)’. G F Momani , M A Alsharari . *International Journal of Economics and Finance* 2011. 4 (1) p. .
- 332 [Pal and Mittal ()] ‘Impact of Macroeconomic Indicators on Indian Capital Markets’. K Pal , R Mittal . *Journal*
333 *of Risk Finance* 2011. 12 (2) p. .
- 334 [Jayasundara et al. ()] ‘Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Performances: Evidence from Sri
335 Lanka’. J M D P Jayasundara , R M A K Rathnayake , P J Fernando . *Journal of Business Economics* 2019.
336 8 (8) p. .
- 337 [Rathnayaka and Seneviratna ()] ‘Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Returns: A Case Study
338 of Colombo Stock Exchange, Sri Lanka’. R M K T Rathnayaka , D M K Seneviratna . *Kelaniya Journal of*
339 *Management* 2018. 6 (0) p. .
- 340 [Mohammad et al. ()] ‘Impact of Macroeconomics Variables on Stock Prices: Empirical Evidence in Case of KSE
341 (Karachi Stock Exchange)’. S D Mohammad , A Hussain , M A Jalil , A Ali . *European Journal of Scientific*
342 *Research* 2009. 38 (1) p. .
- 343 [Aslam ()] ‘Impact of Money Supply on Sri Lankan Economy: An Econometric Analysis’. A L Aslam .
344 *International Letters of Social and Humanistic Sciences* 2016. 67 p. .
- 345 [Gunasekarage et al. ()] ‘Macro-economic Influences on the Stock Market: Evidence from an Emerging Market
346 in South Asia’. A Gunasekarage , A Pisedtasalasai , D M Power . *South Asia Economic Journal* 2004. 3 (3)
347 p. .
- 348 [Garcia and Liu ()] ‘Macroeconomic Determinants of Stock Market Development’. V F Garcia , L Liu . *Journal*
349 *of Applied Economics* 1999. 2 (1) p. .
- 350 [Singh et al. ()] ‘Macroeconomic Factor and Stock Returns: Evidence from Taiwan’. T S Singh , S Mehta , M
351 Varsha . *Journal of Economics and International Finance* 2011. 2 (4) p. .
- 352 [Kirui et al. ()] ‘Macroeconomic Variables, Volatility and Stock Market Returns: A Case of Nairobi Securities
353 Exchange’. E Kirui , N H W Wawire , P O Onono . *Kenya. International Journal of Economics and Finance*
354 2014. 6 (8) p. .
- 355 [Johansen and Juselius ()] ‘Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference on Cointegration-With Applications
356 to The Demand for Money’. S Johansen , K Juselius . *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 1990. 52
357 (2) p. .
- 358 [Gunawardhana and Mustafa ()] ‘Relationship Between Inflation and Stock Market Return in Sri Lanka’. M A
359 T P Gunawardhana , A M M Mustafa . *Journal of Business Economics* 2020. 2 (1) p. .
- 360 [Dayarathne and Lakshman ()] ‘Sensitivity of Stock Prices to Economic Events: Econometric Evidence from Sri
361 Lankan Stock Market and US Stock Market’. D A I Dayarathne , R Lakshman . *Sabaragamuwa University*
362 *Journal* 2012. 11 (1) p. .

7 V. CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY RECOMMENDATION

- 363 [Levine and Zervos ()] ‘Stock market development and long-run growth’. R Levine , S Zervos . *World Bank*
364 *Economic Review* 1996. 10 (2) p. .
- 365 [Athapathu and Jayasinghe ()] ‘Stock Market Performance and Economic Growth: The Case of Sri Lanka’. A R
366 Athapathu , P Jayasinghe . *International Research Conference on Management & Finance*, 2012. p. .
- 367 [Tripathi and Seth ()] ‘Stock Market Performance and Macroeconomic Factors: The Study of Indian Equity
368 Market’. V Tripathi , R Seth . *Global Business Review* 2014. 15 (2) p. .
- 369 [Garthika and Rajapakse ()] ‘Stock Market Performance and the Macroeconomic Factors: Evidence from
370 Colombo Stock Exchange (CSE)’. S Garthika , R P C Rajapakse . *International Journal of Research* 2018. 5
371 (20) p. .
- 372 [Samarakoon ()] ‘Stock Market Returns and Inflation: Sri Lankan Evidence’. P L Samarakoon . *Sri Lankan*
373 *Journal of Management* 1996. 1 (4) p. .
- 374 [Rahman and Salahuddin ()] ‘The Determinants of Economic Growth in Pakistan: Does Stock Market Devel-
375 opment Play a Major Role?’. M M Rahman , M Salahuddin . *Economic Issues Journal Articles, Economic*
376 *Issues* 2010. 15 (2) p. .
- 377 [Menike ()] ‘The Effect of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Prices in Emerging Sri Lankan Stock Market’. L
378 M C S Menike . *Sabaragamuwa University Journal* 2006. 6 (1) p. .
- 379 [Rjoub et al. ()] ‘The Effects of Macroeconomic Factors on Stock Returns: Istanbul Stock Market’. H Rjoub , T
380 Tursoy , N Günsel . *Studies in Economics and Finance* 2009. 26 (1) p. .
- 381 [Badullahewage ()] ‘The Effects of Macroeconomic Factors on the Performance of Stock Market in Sri Lanka’. S
382 U Badullahewage . *International Journal of Innovation and Economic Development* 2018. 3 (6) p. .
- 383 [El-Nader and Alraimony ()] ‘The Impact of Macroeconomic Factors on Amman Stock Market Returns’. H M
384 El-Nader , A D Alraimony . *International Journal of Economics and Finance* 2012. 4 (12) p. .
- 385 [Naik and Padhi ()] ‘The Impact of Macroeconomic Fundamentals on Stock Prices Revised: Evidence from
386 Indian Data’. P K Naik , P Padhi . *Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics* 2012. 2012. (10) p. .
- 387 [Nanayakkara and Darshi ()] ‘The Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Performance in Sri
388 Lanka’. Nanayakkara , G A Darshi . *Sri Lanka International Conference on Contemporary Management*,
389 2015.
- 390 [Geetha et al. ()] ‘The Relationship Between Inflation and Stock Market: Evidence from Malaysia, United States
391 and China’. C Geetha , R Mohidin , V V Chandran , V Chong . *International Journal of Economics and*
392 *Management Science* 2011. 1 (2) p. .
- 393 [Premawardhana ()] ‘The Relationship Between Stock Returns and Interest Rates in Sri Lanka’. V Premaward-
394 hana . *Sri Lankan Journal of Management* 1997. 2 (3) p. .
- 395 [Gupta and Basu ()] ‘Weak Form Efficiency in Indian Stock Markets’. R Gupta , P K Basu . *International*
396 *Business & Economics Research Journal (IBER)* 2011. 6 (3) p. .