



Scan to know paper details and
author's profile

Unique Interpretation of Necessity and Freedom in the Philosophy of Ibn Rushd based on the Rejection of the Opinions of his Contemporaries

Rakhimjanova Dilnavoz Sunnat kizi

Alfraganus University & Tashkent International University of Education

ABSTRACT

The article delves into Ibn Rushd's perspectives on the notions of freedom and necessity, as a prominent figure within the Eastern Peripatetic movement. His stance is elucidated through his rejection of the prevailing notions held by his peers. Within the medieval philosophical landscape, the discourse surrounding freedom and necessity often revolved around reconciling religious and philosophical ideologies. The article particularly highlights discussions on fate and volition.

Keywords: freedom, necessity, fate, dependence, morality, fatalism, greed, moral goodness, will, choice.

Classification: LCC Code: B741-753

Language: English



Great Britain
Journals Press

LJP Copyright ID: 573393
Print ISSN: 2515-5786
Online ISSN: 2515-5792

London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences

Volume 24 | Issue 9 | Compilation 1.0



© 2024. Rakhimjanova Dilnavoz Sunnat kizi. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncom-mercial 4.0 Unported License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>, permitting all noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Unique Interpretation of Necessity and Freedom in the Philosophy of Ibn Rushd based on the Rejection of the Opinions of his Contemporaries

Rakhimdjanova Dilnavoz Sunnat kizi

ABSTRACT

The article delves into Ibn Rushd's perspectives on the notions of freedom and necessity, as a prominent figure within the Eastern Peripatetic movement. His stance is elucidated through his rejection of the prevailing notions held by his peers. Within the medieval philosophical landscape, the discourse surrounding freedom and necessity often revolved around reconciling religious and philosophical ideologies. The article particularly highlights discussions on fate and volition.

Keywords: freedom, necessity, fate, dependence, morality, fatalism, greed, moral goodness, will, choice.

Author: Ph.D, "ALFRAGANUS UNIVERSITY" non-governmental higher education organization and Tashkent International University of Education Senior lecturer, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.

I. INTRODUCTION

The challenge of freedom lies in its inherent link with accountability. While those without freedom lack the agency to choose their path or be responsible for their actions, only those who are truly free bear the weight of their choices and recognize their value to themselves, others, their loved ones, and their nation. Throughout the past century, the age-old conflict between fate and freedom has assumed a stark and ominous guise. E. Fromm elucidated the rise of totalitarianism as a consequence of individuals surrendering themselves, fleeing from the burdens of freedom, and adhering to leaders who promise absolution for their actions and ideas.

The contemporary global phenomenon of reluctance or relinquishment (initially observed in

local leadership and eventually extending to other roles) serves as a poignant example of humanity's failure to heed the lessons of history and society. Presently, approximately half of the populace is willing to relinquish their voting rights and the associated responsibility. Moreover, in the face of impending "technological" pressures, this number may swell further. The inclination to relinquish freedom and accountability in favor of comfort is potent, bolstered by the influence of authority.

Consequently, given the pressing relevance of the study of freedom, it is imperative to explore its conceptualizations across various epochs. An examination of Ibn Rushd's perspectives, representing Eastern peripatetic thought, readily distinguishes the conception of freedom. When the notions of necessity and freedom intersect, the thinker's views manifest on the stage either through critique or outright rejection of the prevailing ideas of their time.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW ON THE TOPIC

The scholarly contributions of Ibn Rushd, a prominent figure in Eastern peripatetic philosophy and an astute analyst of Plato's works, have undergone meticulous examination in accordance with the intellectual milieu of his time. Numerous researchers across philosophical, social, and historical disciplines, including the field of philosophy itself, have thoroughly scrutinized his oeuvre. This scholarly scrutiny has yielded a plethora of examples showcasing the depth of analysis applied to his works, alongside the identification of solutions that remain relevant to contemporary exigencies. Noteworthy among these researchers are A. V. Sagadeyev [4], M. Fakhry [6], E. Renan [3], F. Woerther [8], M. A. Mencia [7], M. Di Giovanni [5], and others, whose

comprehensive explorations of Ibn Rushd's corpus are exemplified in a variety of formats, including monographs, essays, and articles.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Throughout the course of the research, scientific-philosophical principles were employed, including systematicity, theoretical-deductive reasoning, analysis and synthesis, historical contextualization, logical rigor, and comparative analysis.

IV. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

An inherent characteristic of Arab-Muslim philosophy is its conspicuous tendency towards one-sidedness or monotony. Nearly all philosophers within this tradition, with the exception of Ghazali, exhibited a uniformity in their modes of thought and reliance on similar authorities. Any discernible variance among their teachings typically pertained to the extent of developmental elaboration achieved. Notable diversity and individuality, however, were primarily observed among those affiliated with religious sects within Islam.

The theological discourse within Islamic thought emerged shortly after the passing of the Prophet Muhammad, particularly concerning the interplay between freedom and destiny. The Qadaris, proponents of freedom, engaged in protracted debates with the Jabarites, advocates of predestination, on this expansive subject, drawing upon textual sources and intellectual arguments. Another focal point of contention pertained to the attributes of God. The prevailing monotheistic framework of Islam persistently challenged Christian doctrines such as the Trinity and the Incarnation, asserting notions like "God has no son, God has no mother, God does not beget, God is not begotten." These assertions spurred intense intellectual activity, with some philosophers conceiving of an abstract deity devoid of any positive attributes, while others, such as the Sifati (advocates of attributes) and the Tashbigi (comparators or analogizers), anthropomorphized God to varying degrees.

In an effort to avoid succumbing to absolute fatalism and materialistic anthropomorphism, the Ash'ari school sought reconciliation by blending elements of Jabari and Sifati teachings, albeit within certain limits. Conversely, the Ghassanid school posited a conception of God as a physical being dwelling in a specific location, depicted with bodily features such as legs, arms, and a throne.

Along with this extreme dogmatism, skepticism is represented by infinite groups. The Somanites rejected the possibility of learning through observation; they accepted only what could be touched or seen: they were known as unbelievers. The scholars, on the basis of another kind of skepticism, based the reliability of the mind on the authority of an infallible imam. They are almost united with the Muslim Kabbalists, Batarians, who seek truth in letters and numbers.

Undisguised unbelief also formed a number of groups within Islam: the Karmats, the Fatimids, the Ismailis, the Druzes, the Assassins—two groups that mixed fanaticism with mistrust, religious fervor with insolence, the courage of the freethinker with the superstition of the holy rites, and the coolness of the quietist. are groups with a bilateral nature. This is really a characteristic of Muslim insecurity. Under the guise of sanctity, it hides the most terrible immorality, the most brutal dishonesty, presenting the average appearance of a religious group and a secret society. Believing nothing and allowing everything is his formula. However, the ambiguous nature of the various names that Muslims use to denote non-believers does not always allow for the identification of views that are considered heresy. For example, under the name of "Zindiki" communist groups of Bardezans and Mazdakis, with a monist character and free thinkers who only recognize things that can be proven (ahl-el-tahqiq, those who demand clarity) joined. The people did not strictly distinguish between those who believed and those who did not believe. Sometimes the name "zindiki" is expressed by sabism and idolatry.

These were the unique products of this great movement that encompassed all elements of Islamism from the 2nd to the 5th century. Liberal

and rationalist theology, namely the theology of the Mu'tazilites (dissidents) attracted moderate minds for a while. Mu'taziliism in Islam is like Schleiermacher's expression of Protestantism. Revelation is the natural result of human ability; the doctrines which lead to salvation are under the control of the mind: only the mind is sufficient to know these doctrines; and it was always possible to know them, even before revelation. The school of Bassor, under the patronage of the Abbasids, was the center of that great reform movement, the most complete expression of which is reflected in the huge "Encyclopedia of the Pious Brothers". It contains an attempt to embody philosophy and Islamism, which apparently did not satisfy either the philosophers or the religious.

Thus, in addition to the legacy of Greek philosophy, Islamism itself provided fertile ground for intellectual exploration through a realm of rational inquiry known as "kalam," which bears striking resemblance to scholasticism. Initially, during the era of al-Mamun, the term "kalam" did not denote a distinct system before the advent of Greek philosophy among Muslims. Instead, it often concealed a realm of unrestricted inquiry. However, as philosophical attitudes became increasingly scrutinized, the role of "kalam" underwent a transformation. It transitioned from a vehicle of free inquiry to a bastion of defense against external philosophical assaults, adopting a doctrinal guise reminiscent of Christian theology, which predominantly relied on apologetics.

The primary objective of the Mutakallimun (the practitioners of "kalam") was to construct arguments against certain philosophers, to rejuvenate the world, and to justify the existence of a transcendent God who is detached from the world yet exerts influence upon it. They found the atomistic theory conducive to their polemical aims and thus embraced it fervently. According to their perspective, atoms are created by God, who possesses the power to annihilate them and perpetually generate new ones without cessation. God's agency is perceived as immediate and unrestricted, with every existing entity being directly created by Him. Both negative accidents (e.g., darkness, ignorance) and their substrates

(substance) are bestowed by God, while positive accidents are regarded as manifestations of His grace. Thus, just as God is the source of life and motion, He is also the creator of death and stillness. The human heart itself is considered nothing more than an ephemeral accident perpetually renewed by God.

Within this framework, causality is not ascribed to natural laws; rather, God alone is recognized as the ultimate cause. The Mutakallimun asserted that events are never inherently connected, and the structure of the universe could have unfolded differently. This system, championed by the Mutakallimun in opposition to the philosophers of Peripateticism, was undoubtedly devised for political purposes, yet it possessed an outward simplicity that appealed to the masses. Ibn Rushd, Maimonides, and the final proponents of Arabic philosophy would later intensify their efforts against this system, further accentuating the disparity between the tenets endorsed by popular belief and those derived from independent scientific inquiry.

Morality occupies very little place in Ibn Rushd's philosophy. In general, Aristotle's ethics, bearing the stamp of Hellenism, undoubtedly did not achieve the same success among the Arabs as his works on logic, physics, and metaphysics. In this matter, only Ibn Rushd's argument with the mutakallims about the principle of morality attracts our attention. The Mu'takallims asserted that good is what God wills, and that God wills it not because of an internal basis prior to his will, but only because he wills it. It is known that they ascribed to God the ability to bring self-contradictory things into existence and to impart his free will to the entire management of the universe. All these formed parts of a structured and agreed system, which Ibn Rushd relentlessly attacked at every opportunity. This time he had no difficulty in proving that such a moral teaching would destroy any notion of just and unjust, and would destroy the religion they sought to uphold. Ibn Rushd also defended genuine philosophical theories of freedom against the mutakallims. It is impossible to say that a person is completely free or completely subordinate. Considered freedom in the heart

knows no limitations; but it is limited by the fatality of external conditions. The main reason for our actions is within us; but it collides with chance outside of us; this external thing that attracts us does not depend on us and is subject only to the laws of nature, that is, to divine providence. That is why the Qur'an describes man as a being in the hands of destiny or as a being with freedom in his actions. Such a point of view is taken as a middle ground between the views of the Jabarites and the teachings of the Qadaris. caused by In the same way, he says elsewhere, that first matter is equally capable of undergoing opposite modifications, just as the soul is able to choose between two opposite actions. However, this freedom is neither a whim nor an accident. Active abilities know no state of indifference; it is only in the world of passivity that indifferent chance can be found.

As expected, Ibn Rushd's politics lacks originality. In this, Plato's thoughts are fully embodied in his reinterpretation of the work "The State". It is somewhat strange to approach this fantastically interesting vision of the Greek spirit as a serious treatise. Leadership must be given to the elders. Citizens should be inculcated with virtue by teaching rhetoric, poetry, and topics. Poetry, especially in Arabic, is dangerous. The ideal of the state is not to feel the need for a judge or a doctor. The tasks of the troops are determined only by protecting the peace of the people. What if shepherd dogs eat sheep? There is only a quantitative difference between men and women, not natural. Women are capable of all the things that men do, only to a lesser degree—war, philosophy, and so on. Sometimes they are even superior to men, for example in the fields of music, although excellence in this art is seen when men are composers and women are performers. The example of some African countries proves that they are fully capable of war and that it would not be unusual if they were involved in the administration of the state. In fact, haven't we seen that female shepherd dogs guard the herd just as well as the male ones? "Our social system," adds Ibn Rushd, "does not allow women to express their abilities; it seems to us that she was made to bear and nourish children, and this state

of subjugation has destroyed her capacity for higher things. Therefore, there are no women among us capable of moral virtue; they grow like plants and are even considered a burden for their land. This explains the poverty that engulfs our cities; for there are twice as many women as men in the cities, and they cannot earn a living by their labour.' A tyrant (tyrant) is a person who rules the state not for the benefit of the people, but for his personal interests. The worst tyranny is the tyranny of religious leaders. The state of the ancient Arabs perfectly describes the state of Plato. By founding the Umayyad autocracy, Moab destroyed this unique ideal and ushered in an era of upheaval from which our island (Andalusia) is still not free, adds Ibn Rushd [1].

The concept of goodness and evil in nature put forward by Ibn-Rushd fully corresponds to his pantheistic worldview, that is, to the interpretation of God as a symbol representing the system of natural determinations, from which it can be understood without a doubt that he cannot violate the existing laws in it. Thus the work becomes concerned with the interpretation of the goodness and evil that accompany human actions: in his time, the problem is divine grace and the responsibility that man must bear in the afterlife for his actions in this life on earth. was closely related to the question of freedom, but for the thinker of Cordova, his discussion essentially served only to philosophically formulate the issue of the relationship between freedom and necessity [4].

In Islam, this issue has been the cause of heated debate for centuries, because the Qur'an itself contains statements that give it diametrically opposed interpretations. According to his verses, there was and is nothing in the world that is not determined by God's will; according to other verses, man has free will and therefore acts as a fully autonomous being in his actions. The first group of verses affirms the omnipotence of the creator, but at the same time denies his justice, because it means that in the next world, people will be punished undeservedly for the deeds committed by their Lord on the Day of Judgment; The second group of Qur'anic verses affirms God's justice in this context, but on the other hand

denies his power. In "The Book of Argumentation of Community Beliefs", the thinker of Córdoba wrote about the teachings of these Muslim theologians (theologians), namely the Mu'tazilites, who recognized the free will of man (Ibn Rushd, although he was not familiar with the details of their teachings (even if he did), examines the main ways of solving the dilemma in the Jabarites, who think about the absolute predestination of people's actions, and the compromisers, but, as Ibn Rushd says, the Ash'aris, who support the fatalistic view of the Jabarites. Cordova's thinker rejects both the absolute freedom of human will and the absolute destiny of human actions [4].

According to Ibn Rushd, people's actions cannot be completely predetermined, because then people would be no different from inanimate beings. Inanimate bodies have no need of the so-called will and choice, for which agriculture, military arts, shipbuilding, medicine, in short, all the crafts by which good is expediently obtained and evil destroyed there is no need to deal with the fields. But on the other hand, their actions cannot be considered completely free. First, we cannot act arbitrarily in this world because our actions are subject to external determinations. Second, our desire to perform a certain action is itself deterministic: external causes "not only contribute to or prevent the performance of the actions we want to perform, but also act as reasons why we want one of two opposites. does, because the Will is nothing but the desire to imagine something or to judge something, while this judgment arises from external circumstances independent of our choice" [2]. And finally, thirdly, our desires are determined by a particular temperament, which is caused by one or another combination of elements in our body.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Ibn Rushd's comprehension of the dynamic between freedom and necessity is elucidated through his elucidation of the concept of necessity, as delineated in his interpretation of the taxonomy that categorizes these two concepts. He expounds that the term "necessity" encompasses

three distinct senses. Firstly, it denotes a dependency on external factors for existence, as exemplified by the need for breathing in an animal supplied with blood. Secondly, it conveys a sense of obligation, diametrically opposed to the notion of choice, often characterized by ancient Greek poets as akin to a form of torment or distressing circumstance. Lastly, it denotes an inherent property of something possessing a particular attribute, as evidenced by the assertion of the eternal nature of the sky.

In contrast, freedom or "choice," according to Ibn Rushd, does not fall under the purview of necessity but rather represents one manifestation thereof – namely, obligation. He contends that proponents such as the Jabarites and the Ash'aris construe freedom as either mediated by spiritual impulses or as the sole determinant of all actions in the world, irrespective of individual agency. Ibn Rushd rebuffs the Ash'ari doctrine positing the fatalistic predestination of every individual action, asserting instead that the inclination towards good or evil is determined by various "intermediate" factors shaping one's temperament, will, and cognition.

While acknowledging that human will and intellect are subject to the dictates of necessity, Ibn Rushd posits that this necessity concurrently impels individuals towards the pursuit of goodness. Genuine goodness or happiness, he posits, can only be attained when the rational soul governs the instinctual actions of the animalistic faculties, directing them towards pursuits deemed permissible by the practical intellect at the appropriate time and level. However, owing to the inherent diversity of human inclinations, absolute happiness can only be realized within an ideal state wherein the speculative virtues, accessible to the select few, complement the cultivation of practical virtues accessible to all.

REFERENCES

1. Аверроэс (Ибн Рушд). Опровержение опровержения. – Киев: «УЦИММ - Пресс», 1999. – 687 с.
2. Ибн-Рушд. Рассуждение, выносящее решение относительно связи между

религией и философией. Дополнение. Книга об открытии путей аргументирования догм общины. Каир, 1902 (на араб. яз.). – С. 85.

3. Ренан Э. Аверроэс и аверроизм. – М., 2010. – 248 с.
4. Сагадеев А.В. Ибн-Рушд (Аверроэс). - М.: «Мысль», 1973. – 207 с.
5. Di Giovanni M. Averroes, Philosopher of Islam. (Interpreting Averroes) – United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2019. – Pg. 9-27.
6. Fakhry M. Averroes. His life, Wprks and influence. – England, Oxford: Oneworld publication, 2001. – 188 pg.
7. Mensia M.A. Dogmatics, Theology, and Philosophy in Averroes. (Interpreting Averroes) – United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2019. – Pg. 27-45.
8. Raximjanova D.S. Erkinlik tushunchasini transformatszion xususiyatini ikki davr o‘rtasidagi differensiatsiyasini sotsiologik so‘rovnama orqali tahlili. - International scientific journal of Biruni. ISSN (E) 2181-2993. - Volume 2, Issue 02. - Tashkent, 2023. – Pg. 33-46.
9. Raximjanova D.S. Ibn Rushd falsafasida zaruriyat va erkinlikni zamondoshlari fikrlari inkori asosidagi o‘ziga xos talqini. - Oriental Renaissance: innovative, educational, natural and social sciences. ISSN 2181-1784. - Volume 4, Issue 02. - Tashkent, 2024. – Pg. 562-570.
10. Rakhimjanova D.S. Practical importance of the transformational interpretation of the phenomenon of freedom in ancient times and in contemporaneity. - Philosophy and Life. ISSN: 2181-9505. - <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6852328>. - Tashkent, 2024. – Pg. 120-129.
11. Rakhimjanova D.S. The concept of the idea of freedom in ancient and medieval philosophy. - Psychology And Education Journal. ISSN: 00333077. - <https://doi.org/10.17762/pae.v58i1.1562>. - 1195 Stroud Court Westerville, OH 43081, 2021. – Pg. 4553-4563.
12. Rakhimjanova D.S. Comparative Analysis of the Interpretation of the Phenomenon of Transformational Freedom in Antiquity with Its Modern Approach. - International Journal of Social Science Research and Review. ISSN: 2700-2497. - <http://dx.doi.org/10.47814/ijssrr.v6i1.921>. - Germany, Duisburg, 2023. – Pg. 457-466.
13. Woerther F. Averroes' Goals in the Paraphrase (Middle Commentary) of Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics. (Interpreting Averroes) – United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2019. – Pg. 218-237.