

CrossRef DOI of original article:

1 Geographical Indications as an Instrument of Public Policies and 2 Environmental Governance

3

4 *Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970*

5

6 **Abstract**

7 Scan to know paper details and author's profile

8

9 *Index terms—*

10 **1 I. INTRODUCTION**

11 Geographical Indications are characterized by being a product or service coming from a certain region, which has
12 either become known as a center of production, extraction or manufacture, or because of its unique natural and
13 human characteristics. The first are called Indications of Origin; and the second, Designations of Origin.

14 The Signs of Origin, as Geographical Indications are also named, have, in addition to these two characteristics
15 -which will be studied in due course -several functions that are usually attributed to them. The first, is the
16 function of origin, since these products or services, in order to be produced or provided, need to respect specific
17 rules and norms of procedure. In view of this, a second function arises, which is of quality. Thus, once proper
18 standards are followed, there is a reflection on the quality of the product itself, since a series of rules of procedure,
19 hygiene, technical London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences specifications, among others,
20 must be observed, so that there is no mischaracterization of the geographical indication itself. Finally, there is
21 also a function of distinctiveness, once the seal of origin ends up distinguishing and making unique the certified
22 product or service, through a Geographical Indication.

23 Although it is not usually or typically assigned to the institute of Geographical Indication, a new function
24 can be assigned to it: that of protecting the environment. The very elements that characterize them, especially
25 the Designations of Origin -the human and natural factors, which will be seen in detail later -need to remain
26 invariable, to guarantee the maintenance or the very existence of the products or services.

27 Thus, environmental protection emerges as a function, from the moment that, to maintain the status quo of
28 natural factors, such as climate, soil, vegetation and many others that are proper to the region and essential
29 for the Geographical Indication, efforts from all social and economic sectors of that locality are necessary, so
30 that such characteristics remain static. Therefore, it would not be enough not to harm the environment; actors
31 involved must protect it, at the risk of making the existence of the Geographical Indication unviable.

32 In this way, this work is aimed at studying and proving that the protection of the environment is, yes, a
33 function of the Geographical Indication and that this judicial institute can be used in the promotion of public
34 policies and Environmental Governance.

35 **2 II. METHODOLOGY**

36 Regarding the methodology, the present study used the deductive method. Thus, it began with the general
37 premises regarding Geographical Indications, Environmental Public Policies and Natural Resources Governance,
38 in order to, after analyzing the challenges imposed by this new reality of climate change, conclude about the
39 possibility or not of applying the proposed hypothesis.

40 As for the purpose/objective, this is an explanatory work, because the object of this research is intended to
41 identify which factors contribute to identify the challenges and possible solutions, in the face of litigation caused
42 by the intersection between Geographical Indications, Environmental Public Policies and Natural Resources
43 Governance, which are currently verified.

44 Regarding the approach, the present thesis adopts the qualitative approach, since the analysis will be made
45 subjectively, through a broad study of the object, by the action-research. Qualitative research is understood as one

3 III. GEOGRAPHICAL INDICATIONS

46 that works with reality data, which cannot be quantified, operating through the comprehension, interpretation
47 and treatment of data, about the essence or nature of the object of research.

48 As for the procedure, the present research is characterized as bibliographic and documental, because it seeks
49 to understand what is in the state of the art, making use of the existing information both in the doctrine and in
50 the jurisprudence, to verify the possibility to apply the above pointed issue, but also to use documents to better
51 understand the problems pointed out.

52 3 III. GEOGRAPHICAL INDICATIONS

53 In current Brazilian legislation, there is not, as will be seen, a definition of the concept of Geographical Indication.
54 The norm is limited to indicating and conceptualizing the species of possible geographical indications in the
55 Brazilian State.

56 The normative text in which the referred concepts are inserted is Law No. 9,279 of May 14, 1996, that 1
57 regulates the rights and obligations related to Intellectual Property. That is, GI's, in Brazil, are a modality of
58 Intellectual Property 2 . In this step, that law was responsible for the harmonization between the national legal
59 system and the TRIPS agreement 3 .

60 Among the novelties provided by the TRIPS Agreement, in regard to nomenclature, was significant the
61 adhesion of the expression Geographical Indication and the institution of Designations of Origin 4 . It is also
62 worth mentioning an interesting particularity, about which the national legislation provides not only products,
63 but also services, both for Indication of Origin, as for Designation of Origin, distancing itself, at this point,
64 from international legislation ?? . Thus, the aforementioned law, in article 176, states that: "The Indication of
65 Origin or the Designation of Origin constitutes a Geographical Indication". As can be seen starting from a simple
66 reading of the normative text, there is no proper reference for what is, in Brazilian legislation, a Geographical
67 Indication 6 . This task ends up being transferred to the doctrine, which does not collaborate with the chimera
68 of conceptual harmonization. The law, therefore, leaves us no alternative but to proceed with the analysis of the
69 concept of GI species. In article 177, there is reference to the first of them, which is the Indication of Origin,
70 as stated in the text of the legal provision: "The geographical name of the country, city, region or locality of its
71 territory, which has become known as a center of extraction, production or manufacture of a certain product or
72 provision of a certain service, is considered an Indication of Origin."

73 In article 178, there is mention to the second, that is, the Designation of Origin: "Designation of origin is
74 considered the geographical name of a country, city, region or locality of its territory, which designates a product
75 or service whose qualities or characteristics are due exclusively or essentially to the geographical environment,
76 including natural and human factors." Marcos Fabricio Welge Gonçalves states that "a preliminary analysis, in
77 these two figures, allows us to define as Geographical Indication the geographical name that designates product
78 or service". 7 The primary difference between these two GI species lies in the fact that Denominations of Origin
79 require for a quality or characteristic that is peculiar to a product or service to exist, that is linked to its origin,
80 including, in this aspect, factors not only natural, but also human 8 .

81 Thus, two aspects which deserve to be highlighted in the concept of Denominations of Origin are perceived. The
82 first refers to the qualities or characteristics that designate the product or service. And the second aspect is that
83 these qualities or characteristics must be exclusively or essentially attributed to the geographical environment,
84 including natural factors (soil, climate, humidity, geological formation, wind, flora, fauna, etc.) and human
85 factors (culture, know-how, etc.). If there is no characteristic/quality that derives from human or natural factors
86 linked to the geographical environment, that grants peculiarity (a plus) to a product or service and, therefore,
87 distinguishes them from their peers in the market, there will not even be a Denomination of Origin 9 .

88 Already the Indications of Origin require only a notoriety or recognition of the origin of a particular product or
89 service 10 . Functionally, there is a relation between the geographical name and the products or services, since that
90 one must serve to nominate, as stated in article 177 of Law No. 9,279/1996, a "center of extraction, production or
91 manufacture of a certain product or provision of a certain service". This connection can, in turn, be established in
92 three different ways, as the site or region can be a center of extraction, production, or manufacturing. Therefore,
93 there is no influence, according to the definition in the Brazilian legislation, of geographical or human factors
94 on the products or services 11 . The geographical name, in the Indications of Origin, in the words of Pontes de
95 Miranda, only situates the product 12 .

96 Once this conceptual point is overcome, we move on to the core of this work, which is the study of the use of
97 Geographical Indications as an instrument of public policies and Environmental Governance. government chooses
98 or not to do 14 . However, according to Peter Bachrach and Morton S. Baratz in 1962, doing nothing about a
99 problem would also be a form of public policy. 15 Harold Lasswell goes further and states that, when talking
100 about public policies, one must, with regard to their decisions and analyses, answer the following questions: who
101 wins what, why and what difference does it make? 16 . It would also be, according to Celine Souza, when
102 "democratic governments translate their purposes and electoral platforms into programs and actions, which will
103 produce results or changes in the real world." 17 In recent years, the increase of temperature in the planet, caused
104 by high emissions of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, has been recurrent in international discussion panels.
105 As a consequence, climate change has been constantly present in the news, because of atypical natural events,
106 all over the planet.

107 4 IV. GEOGRAPHICAL INDICATIONS AS AN INSTRU- 108 MENT OF PUBLIC ADAPTATION POLICIES

109 To illustrate, in Brazil, for example, floods have occurred more frequently, throughout the country. In addition,
110 hurricanes, typhoons, heat waves, harsh winters, droughts and substantial temperature differences are noticed,
111 everywhere in the world. These natural phenomena are the so-called climatic extremes, which cause serious
112 consequences not only to natural ecosystems, but also to man and his well-being 18 .

113 Such changes in climate have caused an unprecedented environmental crisis, but their origin is not only due
114 to natural events. It must also be understood under the social bias, since, as Julia Lopes da Silva and Patrícia
115 Rodrigues Amora explain, 19 it stems from a series of sociopolitical factors, such as public policies, international
116 agreements and geopolitical disputes.

117 These choices of society, based on decisionmaking, individual and collective engagement, in addition to today's
118 international relations that generate direct effects on climate, also provoke a serious ethical crisis, since the actions
119 taken now can compromise the future of the species on the planet 20 .

120 Climate change is not, however, a reality of the present. In the past, over the course of the 4.5 billion years
121 of earth, there have been several radical changes in climate. Periods of climatic stability were interspersed with
122 large glaciations; warm periods, caused by the greenhouse effect and even desertification 21 . These changes,
123 therefore, are events that occur naturally and normally on the planet, resulting from geological factors 22 .

124 22 YOUNG, G.M. The geologic record of glaciation: relevance to the climatic history of Earth. *Geoscience*
125 *Canada* 011.e20170210. Acesso em: 01 jan 2022. As autoras trazem um exemplo: "merece destaque é a dificuldade
126 de acesso à moradia digna por grande parte da população em função da lógica capitalista que opera nas cidades,
127 o que causa diversas alterações dinâmicas e estruturais aos centros urbanos e aos ecossistemas naturais. Em
128 função disso, inúmeras consequências são observadas em todo o país, como ocupação de áreas ambientalmente
129 sensíveis, desmatamento, poluição, enchentes, periferização etc., que estão interligadas e atingem tanto o meio
130 ambiente quanto a sociedade. Constatase, portanto, a necessidade de integração de ações mais adequadas e
131 menos destrutivas, de forma a garantir a manutenção das cidades e dos ecossistemas".

132 However, during the current geological era, Holocene ("Recent Totality"), human activities have gradually
133 grown, to the point of "becoming a significant geological force," capable of being compared to the "great forces
134 of earth." The expansion of human activity on earth, the great process of urbanization, the great use of natural
135 resources and the impacts on the soil and atmosphere, in a global and generalized way, attribute to human beings
136 a prominent role in Geology and Ecology, at a level that is given to the current geological era the denomination
137 of "Anthropocene 23 ". 24 .

138 Although it is not known exactly where the "Anthropocene" begins, João Ribeiro Mendes proposes to consider
139 its beginning, in the last part of the eighteenth century, which, according to the author, was when the effects of
140 human activity on earth began to be 25 noticed.

141 Alongside

142 5 London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sci- 143 ences 13

144 In this way, climate change is a global threat and is, according to Anthony Giddens, "a more urgent, more serious
145 and deeper dimension of the environmental crisis of the twenty-first century." 27 Given this, the changes in climate
146 that occurred in recent decades should be the object of concern for the State, and, therefore, the construction of
147 effective national and international public policies needs to turn its eyes to this issue 28 .

148 In this step, the State must act as catalyst and facilitator, in addition, in regard to climate change, join efforts
149 to obtain guarantees, which, according to Anthony Giddens, can be achieved through a more direct action of the
150 State 29 .

151 In his book, the cited author lists a series of actions in which the State can guide its actions. Among them,
152 helping the population to think in advance, with planning; deal with the risks of climate change, together with
153 the other risks existing in the contemporary society, as such environmental risk intersects with others, at the
154 local, national and international level; promote the "political and economic convergence, as the main driving
155 forces of climate change and energy policy". 30 The author goes on to state that the State must intervene in
156 the markets, so that the polluter-pays principle, which represents the internalization of negative externalized,
157 is institutionalized and "act against business interests that aim to stop initiatives related to climate change";
158 leave the agenda regarding climate change at the top of the list of political objectives, maintaining agreements
159 between opposing political parties, so that an environmental policy is always maintained 31 What's more, it
160 needs to "develop an appropriate economic and fiscal framework to move toward a low-carbon economy; prepare
161 for adaptation to the consequences of climate change and integrate local, regional, national and international
162 aspects of the climate change policy 32 .

163 Thus, Giddens, when relating such policies that can be developed by governments, highlights the impact and
164 magnitude of the consequences of climate change in humans, an issue that he points out to be urgent. However,
165 before entering into public policies, which reconcile with the epistemological scope of this work, some concepts
166 should be analyzed.

167 There are two types of actions that can be taken to reduce the impacts of human activities on climate 33 .
168 The first of these is through mitigation actions, combating the causes 34 , using, for example, clean development
169 mechanisms -CDM. The second, through the implementation of measures to adapt to climate change, reducing
170 socio-environmental vulnerabilities 35 and preparing to face the effects 36 .

171 Regarding adaptation, Carlos Afonso Nobre describes, in a generalized way, that it refers to "adjustments in
172 ecological or socioeconomic systems, in response to current or projected climate changes, resulting from practices,
173 processes, measures or structural changes".

174 6 London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences

176 In a more tangible form, it can be defined as the "adjustments and changes to be made in food production
177 and agriculture, human health care systems, housing programs and infrastructures", with the objective that the
178 coexistence of "societies with climate events resulting from global warming and climate change do not endanger
179 human life". 38 In this way, adaptation to climate change must be dealt with in a local way, in whose sphere
180 its effects are felt more vigorously, being also the instance in which people, through the modification of "human
181 settlements, agricultural practices and aspects related to ways of life and subsistence in different localities", have
182 adapted to climatic variations in the course of history. However, this adaptation to climate change must always
183 be supported by the policies of the higher hierarchical levels 39 .

184 Given 40 . Moreover, adaptation is linked to the idea of climate justice, by understanding that "the causes of
185 climate change, its impacts and the adaptive and response capacity are not equally distributed in the world". 41
186 However, despite the definitive insertion of environmental issues in the agenda of the States, we observed both
187 at national and international level, an accented adoption of public policies aimed at mitigation 42 .

188 It is in this context that Geographical Indications emerge, as an appropriate instrument for the implementation
189 of public adaptation policies. This intellectual property asset, by valuing the production of agricultural products
190 and services, enables the insertion of the dynamics of sustainability in the production systems and in the
191 environment, in addition to allowing a socio-economic, cultural and ecosystem preservation development in their
192 regions of origin 43 .

193 In this way, Geographical Indications, understood as products or services of certified origin, establish high
194 standards of quality and origin, allow sustainable practices to be introduced within the mode of production and
195 consumption, in order to enable policies to combat climate change to be addressed, from a local perspective, with
196 the preservation of economic development and regional cultures.

197 Added to this, there is the fact that they are consolidated products and of recognized history, allowing public
198 policies aimed at them to be long-term and more likely to establish a sustainable model and climate justice.

199 Corroborating these assertions, the IPCC's Sixth Assessment Report evidences, in its summary for public
200 policy promoters, that viability options for adapting to climate change include "strengthening local and regional
201 food systems and communitybased adaptation, improving lives and livelihoods, (high-confidence) groups." 44
202 The report also provides for effective adaptation solutions, coupled with public policies that support "cultivar
203 improvements, agroforestry, community-based adaptation, agricultural and landscape diversification, and urban
204 agriculture." 45 45 Ibidem. Effective adaptation options, together with supportive public policies enhance food
205 availability and stability and reduce climate risk for food systems while increasing their sustainability (medium
206 confidence). Effective options include cultivar improvements, agroforestry, community-based adaptation, farm
207 and landscape diversification, and urban agriculture (high confidence). Institutional feasibility, adaptation limits
208 of crops and cost effectiveness also influence the effectiveness of the adaptation options (limited evidence, medium
209 agreement). Agroecological principles and practices, ecosystem-based management in fisheries and aquaculture,
210 and other approaches that work with natural processes support food security, nutrition, health and well-being,
211 livelihoods and biodiversity, sustainability and ecosystem services (high confidence). These services include pest
212 control, pollination, buffering of temperature extremes, and carbon sequestration and storage (high confidence).
213 Trade-offs and barriers associated with such approaches include costs of establishment, access to inputs and
214 viable markets, new knowledge and management (high confidence) and their potential effectiveness varies by
215 socio-economic context, ecosystem zone, species combinations and impacts and risks in the design and planning
216 of urban and rural settlements and infrastructure is critical for resilience and enhancing human well-being (high
217 confidence). The urgent provision of basic services, infrastructure, livelihood diversification and employment,
218 strengthening of local and regional food systems and community-based adaptation enhance lives and livelihoods,
219 particularly of low-income and marginalised groups (high confidence). Inclusive, integrated and long-term
220 planning at local, municipal, sub-national and national scales, together with effective regulation and monitoring
221 systems and financial and technological resources and capabilities foster urban and rural system transition (high
222 confidence). Effective partnerships between governments, civil society, and private sector organizations, across
223 scales provide infrastructure and services in ways that enhance the adaptive capacity of vulnerable people".

224 In addition, public policies should include "agroecological principles and practices, a management based on
225 fishing and aquaculture ecosystems", and should also be guided by other approaches that work with natural
226 processes, as they help and maintain security, nutrition, health and well-being, livelihoods and biodiversity,
227 sustainability and ecosystem services ??6 .

228 Thus, we verified that solutions to adapt to climate change must be developed on guidelines that privilege the
229 food systems; in products or services that convey trust and have quality and safety, that is, certificates; observe
230 the regional and local mode of production; protect biodiversity and be sustainable.

231 As seen, Public Policies that assist in adapting to climate change are also developed within the scope of
232 Geographical Indications. institutional support (medium confidence). Integrated, multi-sectoral solutions that
233 address social inequities and differentiate responses based on climate risk and local situation will enhance food
234 security and nutrition (high confidence). Adaptation strategies which reduce food loss and waste or support
235 balanced diets³³ (as described in the IPCC Special Report on Climate Change and Land) contribute to nutrition,
236 health, biodiversity and other environmental benefits (high confidence).

237 **7 V. THE ROLE PLAYED BY GI IN THE NATURAL RE- 238 SOURCES GOVERNANCE PROCESS**

239 In the 1990s, the concepts of "collaborative public administration", "network administration" and "new public
240 administration" emerged, demonstrating a change of course in the way of administering the public good. With
241 this, the importance of collective decision-making is highlighted, removing the public administration from the
242 solitary task of managing the State and transitioning to a collaborative model ??8 .

243 Kapucu, Yuldashev and Bakiev go further and propose an even broader concept than just collaborative
244 management. For them, collaborative governance aims at the participation of citizens, through mechanisms and
245 institutions, of social problems, in a decentralized and non-hierarchical way, and also counting on partnership
246 and e-governance projects ??9 .

247 It would be, therefore, the union of several social actors or "stakeholders" (social actors of interest) in the
248 process of discussion and decision-making. According to Ribeiro, "it is about building an institutional system of
249 cooperative actions between Levels of Government and between these and the actors of the Civil Society and the
250 Market." ??0 Moreover, the evolution of society and the intense participation, as said, of a multiplicity of actors,
251 in the various sectors of life, make it almost impossible for governments to continue to play a primary role in
252 the decision-making process, exempted from a corporate, territorial, urban, digital, environmental governance,
253 among others 51 .

254 Thus, the concept of governance today, according to Ralf-Eckhard Türke, adapts perfectly to the complexity,
255 dynamism and diversity of the 51 GATTO, Deividson Brito; CLAUZET, Mariana; LUSTOSA, Maria Cecília.
256 Governança Ambiental e Indicação Geográfica: O Caso da Denominação de Origem Manguezais das Alagoas.
257 DRD -Desenvolvimento Regional em debate (ISSNe 2237-9029) v. 9, Ed. esp. modern society, in which social
258 conditions are complex and multivariate. ??2 With regard specifically to Environmental Governance, which is
259 the institute in which this work is specifically inserted, the concept follows the same pattern. In this way, the
260 complexity of environmental problems makes the decision-making processes in relation to them demand greater
261 coordination, which brings together not only the State, but also the whole society ??3 .

262 Maria Carmen Lemos and Arun Agrawal ensure that the term Environmental Governance refers to the set
263 of processes, mechanisms and organizations, through which political actors influence environmental actions and
264 results. They also affirm that governance is the same as government, but the result of joint actions between
265 states, communities, companies and NGOs 54 .

266 In addition, international agreements, national policies and their omnipresent in today's discourse on
267 governance. They refer to the fact that social conditions in modern societies are perceived as multi-layered
268 and complicated. Social issues are being addressed by multiple actors ; governments are not necessarily playing
269 a primary role anymore. A multiplicity of actors is involved, expressing individual interests yet having unequal
270 capacities to exert influence. Resolutions for governing issues are the result of various interacting factors that are
271 rarely wholly known. Knowledge, experiences, and interests are dispersed over many actors constantly changing
272 their roles and relationships. Actor dependencies and constellations increasingly differ from global to local and
273 from sector to sector. Diversity cumulates as these processes gain speed as well as intensity.

274 **8 London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sci- 275 ences**

276 Environmental Governance process ??5 .

277 Therefore, for Environmental Governance to be effective, there is no need for only State institutions to be
278 involved. Consumers, corporations and non-governmental organizations can and should also exercise power
279 and authority over policy-making and decision-making processes on environmental issues. In this process of
280 reformulation of Environmental Governance, both multinational institutions and the emergence of new actors on
281 a local scale favor the development of environmental decisions ??6 .

282 However, says Albert Weale that governments continue to maintain their importance for environmental
283 protection, since they meet the so-called vital conditions for there to be a proper governance of biodiversity,
284 to implement sustainability and to get closer to environmental goals ??7 .

285 Policies aimed at the management of natural resources perform two important functions. The first refers to
286 the strategic role of promoting positive results through its use and the second is to reduce negative impacts on

287 the biodiversity ??8 As stated in previous points, Biodiversity has "intrinsic value of biological diversity and
288 of the ecological, genetic, social, economic, scientific, educational, cultural, recreational and aesthetic values of
289 the biological diversity and its components", as stated by the Convention on Biological Diversity itself, in its
290 preamble ??9 . However, countries such as Brazil, which are rich in biodiversity, do not have many examples of
291 success in the commercial use of active ingredients that are linked to it ??0 .

292 In this same section, Carlos A. Joly et tal affirms that development of products linked to biodiversity should be
293 a vocation for the Brazilian, maintaining, however, a role of mere exporter of raw material. In the same vein, they
294 state that the "added value to the products from the Brazilian biodiversity is still very low, since they are used
295 and marketed in their raw form". ??1 How to change this reality? How to add value to biodiversity and enable
296 this wealth to effectively generate more opportunities? The answer lies in the development of Environmental
297 Governance.

298 The exclusivity, attributed to governments, in the organization and implementation of decisions in this sector
299 has not been effective, to the extent that new problems linked to the economy, society, but mainly to the
300 environment, require, as said, a joint networked response. In Brazil, more specifically, Environmental Governance
301 is very poorly structured 62 .

302 There command and control of the biodiversity are implemented, thus exercising a decentralized response ??3
303 .

304 In this way, the construction of systems that are multicenter and hybrid bring great importance to "local
305 agendas of sustainable development and achievement of good Environmental Governance". ??4 Thus, the ultimate
306 goal of Environmental Governance, according to Nathan J. Bennett and Terre Satterfield, is for it to be robust,
307 that is, legitimate, connected, and polycentric. ??5 It is at this very point that Geographical Indications are
308 inserted, insofar as they are goods or services that are produced, extracted or manufactured at a local level,
309 that use raw material from local biodiversity, through local communities, and that collaborate with social and
310 economic development also at the local and/or regional level. Thus, they must participate, together with other
311 state and non-state actors, in the decision-making process and environmental organization.

312 Geographical Indications are embodied, therefore, in the possibility of adding value to natural resources,
313 through the commercialization of products or services, and not only of raw material.

314 To analyze this contribution, Maria Carmen Lemos and Arun Agrawal, from the University of Michigan, in the
315 United States, observed some trends, through four themes, that corroborate the roles of communities, State and
316 Market, in the process of Environmental Governance, focused, more closely, on the importance of Geographical
317 Indications.

318 The analysis begins with the theme of Globalization and Environmental Governance.

319 The authors claim that Globalization interconnects the world. However, in regard to the 65 BENNETT,
320 N.J.; SATTERFIELD, T. Environmental Governance : A practical framework to guide design, evaluation, and
321 analysis. Conservation Letters, 2018, p. 1-13, p. 9. economy, this movement profoundly impacts environmental
322 processes at all levels -local, regional, national and global and such a context, that is, produces positive and
323 negative consequences for the environment.

324 From the negative point of view, the interconnection and interdependence between markets can lead to the
325 intensification of the use and depletion of natural resources, given the increase in demand. As a consequence,
326 more waste can be released into nature.

327 From the positive point of view, there can be a greater spread of good environmental policy initiatives,
328 thus contributing to the development of a new Environmental Governance regime, through institutions and
329 organizations. As an example, the authors cite that "more efficient use and transfer of technology, freer flow
330 of information, and new institutional arrangements based on public-private partnerships have the potential to
331 contribute positively to Environmental Governance ??6 .

332 Through the positive perception of globalization, Geographical Indications emerge as a commercial asset
333 of goods and services, which are specific to a given territory, thus being able to promote the conservation of
334 natural resources and, even more, cause a decrease in the negative points that permeate the so-called globalizing
335 movement ??7 . There is no longer dependence on organizational and hierarchical control, what is intended now
336 is to "mobilize individual incentives in favor of environmentally positive results, through a careful calculation
337 and modulation of costs and benefits associated with specific environmental strategies." As an example, one can
338 cite ecotaxes and subsidies based, on a combination of regulation and market incentives, voluntary agreements,
339 certification, eco-labeling, and informational systems ??8 .Another

340 It is in this context that Geographical Indications come in, as a market instrument, since they constitute
341 products and services that have a certificate of origin, that obey quality standards and have as one of their
342 functions the protection of the Environment 69 .

343 To Lemos and Agrawal, there would be a superiority in relation to these instruments, because of their economic
344 efficiency in implementation. However, for them to reach the maximum of their potential, in benefit of the
345 "Primary sector commodities such as coffee, timber, and energy provide familiar examples of ecolabeling and
346 certification schemes (81-83). Both ecolabeling and certification schemes are forms of voluntary agreements
347 wherein producers agree to meet environmental standards related to production and marketing activities. Such
348 standards may be the result of work by third party actors, an industry association, or even the government.
349 The operation of these schemes hinges upon the idea that consumers are willing to express their preferences

350 related to cleaner energy or greener products through their choices in markets and through a willingness to pay
351 higher prices. Perceptions about environment-friendly preferences among consumers have led many corporations
352 to adopt certification mechanisms and advertising campaigns that represent both real and cosmetic shifts in how
353 corporate actors govern their environmental actions".

354 Lustosa, Geographical Indications in Brazil are an example of "institutional development of protection of this
355 registry with positive repercussions in the regional economy". ??1 Decentralized Environmental Governance is
356 another hot topic. According to Lemos and Agrawal, it is at a subnational level that most of the important
357 changes occur with regard to Environmental Governance. Previously, it was thought that maintaining centrality
358 in the processes of using natural resources avoided market failures and the negative externalities they were
359 associated with.

360 However, facts such as disbelief in the actions of the State -up to now considered the reliable guardian of nature
361 -the fall of the economies that depended on a centralized state, the pressure exerted by the greater integration
362 of economic activities across national borders, the decline of international aid, and the fiscal crises in many
363 developing countries emphasized the ability of communities and other smaller-scale social formations to manage
364 natural resources ??2 .

365 Given this, Geographical Indications emerge as an important intellectual property asset, since its own
366 constitution is based on the request for registration by subnational actors, which demonstrates an autonomy
367 in relation to the former centralizing actor ??3 .

368 Finally, the cross-sectional scale (Cross-Scale Environmental Governance), which refers to the multi-cited
369 complexity of environmental problems, which, due to, has multiscale -spatial, sociopolitical and temporal-
370 characteristics. Initially, with regard to space, it is known that problems related to the environment have a
371 ubiquitous nature, that is, they do not know political borders. Thus, environmental disasters that occur in
372 Brazil, for example, can be felt all over the planet. Therefore, it may be a dissociation in the causes and
373 consequences of environmental problems.

374 Socially, in second place, "cross-scale environmental problems affect and are affected by institutionalized
375 decision-making at the local, subnational, national, and transnational levels." In this way, multilevel governance
376 mechanisms neutralize this fragmentation.

377 Regarding the temporal aspect, in turn, Lemos and Agrawal highlight two major obstacles. One is what they
378 call "contemporism," which refers to the "tendency to disregard the well-being of future generations and believe
379 in the power of technology and technological change to take care of environmental degradation and scarcity,"
380 and the uncertainties about the long-term causes and effects in the environment ??4 . ??5 Thus, in relation to
381 Geographical Indications, this trend also applies, to the extent that it has the power to stimulate non-state actors
382 to be interested in the conservation of the environment, since it is who confers value to goods and services. Thus,
383 for this property asset to exist, natural 75 Ibid., pp. 308-309.

384 74 LEMOS, M. C.; AGRAWAL, A., op. cit., p. 309. Contempocentrism, in part a consequence of high
385 market discount rates, is the tendency to disregard the welfare of future generations and believe in the power of
386 technology and technological change to take care of environmental degradation and scarcities. It means humans
387 are likely to "spend" the environment now and discount the future heavily (33, 104). Coupled with the seeming
388 high costs of action that will shift existing trajectories of economic development, the uncertainty surrounding
389 the science of causes and effects of environmental degradation often leads to a "do nothing until we know more"
390 attitude-strongly reflected in the contemporary policy positions of some nations that are the largest emitters of
391 greenhouse gases. Many of the impacts of global climate change on humans and ecosystems are still undetermined,
392 and the design and implementation of policies necessary to reduce emissions are both economically and politically
393 quite costly. factors must remain constant over time ??6 .

394 Well. The four trends described highlight the reconfiguration of Environmental Governance, which provides the
395 emergence of alternative institutional forms, notably those that recognize the "social roles played by communities,
396 states and markets, and/or in the result of the existing relations between these actors." It is in this field that
397 Geographical Indications emerge, as an important asset not only of intellectual property, but also of Environment.

398 9 VI. CONCLUSIONS

399 Thus, they possess the aforementioned intellectual property right -Geographical Indications, the ability to enable
400 public policies to protect the Environment to be practiced. However, as described, public mitigation policies
401 are palliative, not having the capacity to generate a change in the pattern of consumption, production or urban
402 management.

403 Contrary to what happens with mitigation policies, adaptation policies are more effective, since they are
404 measures that tend to observe a long-term perspective, thus aiming to establish a sustainable model. In addition,
405 adaptive policies are linked to the idea of climate justice, since it identifies which really the causes of climate
406 change are, as well as their impacts, adaptive and response capacity, not being equally distributed in the world.
407 Geographical Indications are configured as a suitable and appropriate instrument in the implementation of public
408 policies of adaptation, because, in addition to valuing the production of agricultural products and services, they
409 also enable the insertion of the dynamics of sustainability in production systems and the environment, also
410 allowing for a socio-economic, cultural and ecosystem preservation development, in their regions of origin.

411 That said, Geographical Indications allow the introduction of sustainable practices within the mode of

9 VI. CONCLUSIONS

412 production and consumption, aiming to enable policies which combat climate change to be approached from
413 a local perspective, with the preservation of economic development and regional cultures.

414 Added to this is the fact that they are consolidated products of recognized history, allowing public policies
415 aimed at them to be long-term and more likely to establish a sustainable model and climate justice. Therefore,
416 public policies that help to adapt to climate change are also developed within the scope of Geographical
417 Indications.

418 As for the issue of Environmental Governance, which, in order to be effective, requires, in addition to the
419 State institutions for being involved, consumers, corporations and nongovernmental organizations can and should
420 also exercise power and authority over the processes of policy formation and decision-making in environmental
421 issues. Thus, in the process of reformulating Environmental Governance, both multinational institutions and
422 the emergence of new actors on a local scale favor the development of environmental decisions. In this way,
423 the construction of systems that are multicenter and hybrid ends up by bringing great importance to the local
424 guidelines for sustainable development, which legitimizes, connects and further strengthens the system.

425 In this way, Geographical Indications are goods or services produced, extracted or manufactured at a local
426 level, using raw material from the local biodiversity, through local communities, and collaborating with the social
427 and economic development also at the local and/or regional level. Thus, they must participate, together with
428 other state and non-state actors, in the decision-making process and environmental organization.

429 Added to this agenda is the possibility that Geographical Indications have to add value to natural resources,
430 through the commercialization of products or services, and not only of the raw material.

431 With this desideratum, some justifications stand out and allow Geographical Indications to appear as an
432 important instrument of Environmental Governance. The first refers to a commercial asset of goods and services,
433 which are specific to a given territory, thus being able to promote the conservation of natural resources and, even
434 more, cause a decrease in the negative points that permeate the so-called globalizing movement. The second
435 stands out as a market instrument, since they constitute products and services that have a certificate of origin,
436 that obey to quality standards and that have as one of their functions the protection of the environment.

437 According to the third justification, Geographical Indications emerge as an important intellectual property
438 asset, since their own constitution is based on the request for registration by subnational actors, which
439 demonstrates an autonomy in relation to the former centralizing actor. Finally, they have the power to encourage
440 non-state actors to take interest in the conservation of the environment, since it is the environment that gives
441 value to goods and services. Thus, for this property asset to exist, natural factors must remain constant over
442 time.

443 Therefore, Geographical Indications can appear as an instrument of environmental public policy and in the
governance of natural resources. ^{1 2 3}



39

Figure 1: 39 Idem.

444

¹ Ibid. London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences

² MARTINS, Rafael D'Almeida. Equidade na adaptação às mudanças climáticas. Política & Sociedade; Florianópolis Vol. 10, Ed. 19, 2011, p. 336. Volume 23 | Issue 13 | Compilation 1.0

³ © 2023 Great] Britain Journals Press Geographical Indications as an Instrument of Public Policies and Environmental Governance Volume 23 | Issue 13 | Compilation 1.0



Figure 2:

9 VI. CONCLUSIONS

Figure 3:

Tese (Doutorado) -Instituto de Geociências, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, 2015, p. 82.

3

imprensa: cenários e desafios. 2015. 219 f. Tese (Doutorado) - Programa de Doutorado em Propriedade Intelectual e Inovação, Coordenação de Programas de Pós-Graduação e Pesquisa -Instituto Nacional da Propriedade Industrial - INPI, 2015, p. 38.

5

In: LAGES, V.; LAGARES, L.; e BRAGA, C. (orgs.). Valorização de Produtos com Diferencial de Qualidade e Identidade: Indicações Geográficas e certificações para competitividade nos negócios. Brasília: Sebrae, 2006. p. 65. Disponível

assuntos/sustentabilidade/indicacao-geografica/arquivos-publicacoes-ig/desenvolvimento-sustentavel-indicacao-geografica-valorizacao-de-produtos-2007.pdf. Acesso em: 03 jul. 2015.

6GONÇALVES, Marcos Fabrício Welge. Propriedade Industrial e Proteção dos nomes geográficos: indicação geográfica, indicação de procedência e denominações de origem. Curitiba: Juruá Editora, 2008, p. 52. 4 FRÁ?"ES,

Figure 4:

24

MENDES, J. O
"Antropoceno" por
Paul Crutzen &
Eugene

Stoermer. Anthropocenica. Revista De Estudos Do
Antropoceno E Ecocrítica, 1, 2020. Disponível em: <https://doi.org/10.21814/anthropocenica.3095>. Acesso em: 13 mar.
2022.

the causes, climate change is occurring and is a reality. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's (IPCC) Sixth Assessment Report 2022 states that impacts of climate change are increasingly being felt in all regions of the planet, not just the poorest. The truth is that climate change is already underway and will not be stopped or annulled. What is needed, now, is to understand which planet one wants to live in: with more or less impacts and risks caused by climate change²⁶.IPCC, 2022 : Climate Change 2022 : Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [H.-O. Pörtner, Roberts DC, Tignor M.S., Poloczanska E.S., Mintenbeck K., A. Alegría, Craig M.D., 25 Ibid. 23 This term was

Figure 5:

9 VI. CONCLUSIONS

Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama (eds.)].
Cambridge University Press.

27

GIDDENS,
Anthony. A
política da mudança
climática.

Trad. de Vera Ribeiro. Rio de Janeiro: Zahar, 2010. p. 7.

. 31 Ibid. 30 Ibid. 29 GIDDENS, Anthony, op. cit., p. 106 ss. 28 BOLSON,

Figure 6:

33

Estaduais de Adaptação das Cidades às Mudanças Climáticas
no Brasil. Rev. Geogr. Acadêmica v.11, n.2, 2017. Disponível
em:

Acesso em: 14 mar. 2022.

34

POLÍTICAS DE MITIGAÇÃO E ADAPTAÇÃO NO BRASIL
(CLIMATE IN TRANCE: MITIGATION AND ADAPTATION
POLICIES IN BRAZIL). Revista Brasileira de Climatologia,
[S.I.], v. 19, out. 2016. ISSN 2237-8642. Disponível em:
<https://revistas.ufpr.br/revistaabclima/article/view/48874/29382>. Acesso em: 16 abr. 2022. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.5380/abclima.v19i0.48874>.

35

ANDRADE, Helenice Vieira de. Mapeamento d

https://revista.ufrr.br/rga/article/view/4358/23

RODRIGUES FILHO, Saulo et al. O CLIMA E

37 NOBRE, Carlos Afonso et al. Vulnerabilidade, impactos e adaptação à mudança
do clima. In: Mudança do Clima. Cadernos NAE: nº 3. Brasília: Núcleo de
Assuntos Estratégicos da Presidência da República, Secretaria de Comunicação de
Governo e Gestão Estratégica, 2005, p. 149. 36 RODRIGUES FILHO, Saulo et al
op. cit. 32 Ibid.

Figure 7:

40
públicas

OJIMA, R., MARANDOLA Jr., E. Indicadores e políticas
de adaptação às
mu-
danças
climáti-
cas:

vulnerabilidade, população e urbanização. *Brazilian Journal of Environmental Sciences (Online)*, (18), 16-24, 2010.

Disponível
em <http://rbciamb.com.br/index.php/>
:

Publicacoes_RBCIAMB/article/view/364 MORE CITATION
FORMATS. Acesso em: 23 mar. 2022.
© 2023 Great] Britain Journals Press

42Ibid., pp. 82-84. 41 RODRIGUES FILHO, Geographical Indications as an Instrument of Public Policies and Environmental Governance

Figure 8:

50

RIBEIRO,
Luiz Cesar
Queiróz.
Metrópoles,
Reforma

Urbana e Desenvolvimento Nacional. Sociedade e Estado, 2007, p. 26. Disponível em: <https://silo.tips/download/metropoles-reforma-urbana-e-desenvolvimento-nacional-luiz-cesar-queiroz-ribeiro>. Acesso em: 19 abr 2022.

49Ibidem.48Ibidem.

Figure 10:

London 59
Journal
of Re-
search
in Hu-
mani-
ties and
Social
Sci-
ences

Promulgates the Convention on Biological Diversity, signed
in Rio de Janeiro on June 5, 1992. Available at :
http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/decreto/D2519.htm.
Accessed: 20 Apr 2022.

60
Maria Cecilia, op. cit., p. 233.

61
OLIVEIRA; M. C.; BOLZANI, V. S.; BERLINCK, R. G. S.
Diagnóstico da pesquisa em biodiversidade no Brasil. Revista
USP, v. 89, 2011, p. 114-133, p. 126.

18

BRAZIL. Decree
No.
2,519, of
March
16, 1998.

GATTO, Deivdson Brito; CLAUZET, Marian

JOLY, C. A.; ALHADDAD, C. F. B.; VERDA

© 2023
Great]
Britain
Journals
Press

62 BREDARIOL, T.; VINHA, V.

Figure 11:

445 [Murck et al. ()] , B W Murck , B Skinner , S C Porter . *Environmental geology* 1996. New York: John Wiley &
446 Sons, Inc. p. 535.

447 [Lemos et al. ()] , M C Lemos , A Agrawal , Governance . *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 2006.
448 31 (1) p. .

449 [Ribeiro et al. ()] , Luiz Ribeiro , Cesar Queiróz , Metrópoles . <https://silo.tips/download/metropoles-reforma-urbana-e-desenvolvimento-nacional-luiz-cesar-queiroz-ribeiro>.
450 Acess *Reforma Urbana e Desenvolvimento Nacional. Sociedade e Estado* 2007. 19 abr 2022. 37 p. 26.
451 (London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences)

452 [Mendes et al. (2020)] , J Mendes , ; O "antropoceno , Eugene Paul Crutzen , Stoermer , Anthropocene .
453 10.21814/anthropocenica.3095. <https://doi.org/10.21814/anthropocenica.3095> *Revista De Estu-*
454 *dos Do Antropoceno E Ecocrítica* 2020. mar. 2022. 1 p. 13.

455 [Janeiro (2002)] , Janeiro . jan.-fev. 2002. 56.

456 [More and Formats (2022)] , More , Formats . mar. 2022. p. 23.

457 [Giddens ()] *A política da mudança climática*. Trad. de Vera Ribeiro, Anthony Giddens . 2010. Rio de Janeiro:
458 Zahar. p. 7.

459 [Marengo et al. ()] 'A seca e a crise hídrica de 2014 -2015 em São Paulo'. J A Marengo , C A Nobre , A E
460 Seluchi , A Cuartas , L M Alves , E M Mediondo , G Obregón , G Sampaio . 10.11606/issn.2316-9036.
461 <https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2316-9036> *Revista USP* 2015. 106 p. .

462 [Gurgel et al. ()] 'Aspectos jurídicos da Indicação Geográfica'. V Gurgel , V Lages
463 , L Lagares , C Braga . <https://www.gov.br/agricultura/pt-br/assuntos/sustentabilidade/indicacao-geografica/arquivos-publicacoes-ig/desenvolvimento-sustentavel-indicacao-geografica-valorizacao-de-produtos-200>
464 *Valorização de Produtos com Diferencial de Qualidade e Identidade: Indicações Geográficas e certificações*
465 para competitividade nos negócios. Brasília: Sebrae, 2006.

466 [Boff ()] Leonardo Boff . *Sustentabilidade: o que é: o que não é*. Petrópolis: Vozes, 4, 2015.

467 [Chimento and Rutowitsch ()] Marcelo Chimento , Rutowitsch . *Indicação Geográfica na imprensa: cenários e*
468 *desafios*, 2015. 219. Tese (Doutorado) -Programa de Doutorado em Propriedade Intelectual e Inovação,
469 Coordenação de Programas de Pós-Graduação e Pesquisa -Instituto Nacional da Propriedade Industrial -INPI

470 [Bolson and Hegele ()] 'Climate change and the politics of adaptation by Anthony Giddens : in search of a
471 preventive model to combat the effects of climate change in the semi-arid region of Northeast Brazil'. Simone
472 Bolson , Hegele . *London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences* 2012. 5 (1) . (Revista Direito
473 Ambiental e sociedade)

474 [Eerola (ed.) ()] *Climate changes at the Neoproterozoic-Cambrian transition*, T Eerola . ZHURAVLEV and
475 RIDING, R. (ed.) 2001. New York: Columbia University Press. (The Ecology of the Cambrian Radiation)

476 [Kapucu et al. ()] 'Collaborative Public Management and Collaborative Governance: Conceptual Similarities
477 and Differences'. N Kapucu , F Yuldashev , E Bakiey . *European Journal of Economic and Political Studies*
478 2009. 2 (1) .

479 [Joly et al. ()] 'Diagnóstico da pesquisa em biodiversidade no Brasil'. C A Joly , C F B Alhaddad , L M Verdade
480 , M C Oliveira , V S Bolzani , R G S Berlinck . *Revista USP* 2011. 89 p. 126.

481 [Eerola and Tapani (2003)] Toni Eerola , Tapani . https://cetesb.sp.gov.br/proclima/wp-content/uploads/sites/36/2014/04/erola_mc.pdf.Acesso Mudanças Climáticas Globais: Passado, Presente e Futuro. Apresentação no Fórum de Ecologia e no evento Mudanças Climáticas: Passado, Presente e Futuro, organizados pelo Instituto de Ecologia Política na Universidade do Estado de Santa Catarina (UDESC), (Florianópolis) 2003. mar. 2022. p. 14.

482 [Merrits et al. ()] *Environmental geology. An Earth system science approach*, D Merrits , A De Wet , K Menking
483 . 1997. New York: W.H. Freeman and Company. p. 452.

484 [Bennett and Satterfield ()] 'Environmental Governance: A practical framework to guide design, evaluation, and
485 analysis'. N J Bennett , T Satterfield . *Conservation Letters* 2018. p. .

486 [Martins and Almeida ()] 'Equidade na adaptação às mudanças climáticas'. Rafael D' Martins , Almeida . *Política*
487 *& Sociedade; Florianópolis* 2011. 10.

488 [Weale ()] 'Governance, government and the pursuit of sustainability'. A Weale . *Governing Sustainability*, W
489 Adger , A Jordan (eds.) (Cambridge) 2009. Cambridge University Press. p. .

490 [Türke ()] *Governance: systemic foundation and framework*, R Türke . 2008. Berlin: Springer. p. 1.

491 [Gatto et al. ()] *Governança Ambiental e Indicação Geográfica: O Caso da Denominação de Origem Manguzeais*
492 *das Alagoas. DRd -Desenvolvimento Regional em debate*, Deividson Gatto , ; Brito , Mariana ; Clauzet , Maria
493 Lustosa , Cecília . 2019. 9 p. . (ISSNe 2237-9029. dez)

494

9 VI. CONCLUSIONS

501 [Moura ()] 'Governança ambiental no Brasil: instituições, atores e políticas públicas'. A M M
502 Moura . https://www.ipea.gov.br/portal/images/stories/PDFs/livros/livros/160719_governanca_ambiental.pdf Brasília: Ipea 2016. p. .

504 [Ojima and Marandola ()] 'Indicadores e políticas públicas de adaptação às mudanças climáticas: vulnerabilidade, população e urbanização'. R Ojima , E MarandolaJr . http://rbciamb.com.br/index.php/Publicacoes_RBCIAMB/article/view/364 *Brazilian Journal of Environmental Sciences (Online)* 2010. (18) p. . (Disponível em)

508 [Locatelli ()] 'Indicações Geográficas: a proteção jurídica sob a perspectiva do desenvolvimento econômico'.
509 Liliana Locatelli . Curitiba: Juruá 2008. p. 229.

510 [Bredariol and Vinha ()] 'Instituições e governança ambiental: uma revisão teórica'. T Bredariol , V Vinha .
511 *Revista Iberoamericana de Economia Ecológica* 2015. 24 p. .

512 [Ipcc et al. (eds.) ()] Ipcc . *Climate Change 2022 : Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, Roberts
513 Pörtner , Dc , M S Tignor , E S Poloczanska , K Mintenbeck , A Alegria , M D Craig , S Langsdorf , V
514 Löschke , A Möller , B Okem , Rama (eds.) 2022.

516 [Ipcc et al. (eds.) ()] Ipcc . *Summary for Policymakers*, D C Pörtner , E S Roberts (eds.) 2022.

517 [Brazil (1996)] *It regulates rights and obligations relating to industrial property*. Brazil . http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/leis/l9279.htm 1996. 28 Jan 2022. 9 p. 279. (Industrial Property Law
518 (LPI), of May 14)

520 [Andrade and Vieira De (2017)] 'Mapeamento das Políticas Estaduais de Adaptação das Cidades às Mudanças
521 Climáticas no Brasil'. Helenice Andrade , Vieira De . <https://revista.ufrr.br/rga/article/view/4358/2366>. Acessoem *Rev. Geogr. Acadêmica* v 2017. 14 mar. 2022. 11 (2) .

523 [Martins and Almeida (2010)] Rafael D' Martins , Almeida . <http://pt.slideshare.net/martinsrafael/governana-climtica-nas-cidades-reduzindo-vulnerabilidades-e-aumentando-resiliencia>
524 *Governança climática nas cidades: reduzindo vulnerabilidades e aumentando resiliência*. *Revista Geográfica Acadêmica*, (UFG), 2010. 23 mar. 2022. 4 p. . (Disponível em: <http://www)

527 [Miranda and De ()] Pontes Miranda , De . *Tratado de Direito Privado*, 2002. Campinas: Bookseller. 16 p. 343.

528 [Silva and Samora ()] 'Os impactos da crise hídrica sobre a população do município de Campinas/São Paulo'. J
529 L Silva , P R Samora . 10.1590/2175-3369.011.e20170210. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-3369.011>
530 *Revista Brasileira de Gestão Urbana* 2012-2016. 2019. 20170210. jan 2022. p. 1.

531 [Poloczanska et al. (eds.)] K Poloczanska , M Mintenbeck , A Tignor , M Alegria , S Craig , S Langsdorf
532 , Löschke . *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, D C Pörtner , M Roberts , E S Tignor , K Poloczanska , A
533 Mintenbeck , M Alegria , S Craig , S Langsdorf , V Löschke , A Möller , B Okem , Rama (eds.)

536 [Rodrigues et al. ()] 'POLÍTICAS DE MITIGAÇÃO E ADAPTAÇÃO NO BRASIL (CLIMATE IN TRANCE: MITIGATION AND ADAPTATION POLICIES IN BRAZIL)'. Rodrigues , Filho , Saulo . 10.5380/abclima.v19i0.48874. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5380/abclima.v19i0.48874> *Revista Brasileira de Climatologia* 2237-8642. 2016. 2022. 19 p. 16. (S.l. Disponível em)

540 [Souza (2006)] *Políticas Públicas: uma revisão da literatura*, Celina Souza . jul/dez 2006. Sociologias, Porto
541 Alegre. 8.

542 [Brazil (1992)] *Promulgates the Convention on Biological Diversity, signed in Rio de Janeiro on*, Brazil .
543 http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/decreto/D2519.htm March 16. 1998. June 5, 1992. 20
544 Apr 2022. (Decree No. 2,519)

545 [Gonçalves and Fabrício Welge ()] *Propriedade Industrial e Proteção dos nomes geográficos: indicação geográfica, indicação de procedência e denominações de origem*, Marcos Gonçalves , Fabrício Welge . 2008. Curitiba: Juruá
546 Editora.

548 [Reis and Liberato De Matos ()] Livia Reis , Liberato De Matos . *Indicação Geográfica no Brasil: determinantes, limites e possibilidades*. 2015. 270 f. Tese (Doutorado) -Instituto de Geociências, (Salvador) 2015. Universidade
549 Federal da Bahia

551 [Young] 'The geologic record of glaciation: relevance to the climatic history of Earth'. G M Young . *London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences* 18 p. . (Geoscience Canada)

553 [Bachrachb and Baratz ()] 'Two Faces of Power'. P Bachrachb , M S Baratz . *American Science Review* 1962. 56.

554 [Dye ()] *Understanding Public Policy*, Thomas D Dye . 1984. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: PrenticeHall.

555 [Nobre and Afonso ()] 'Vulnerabilidade, impactos e adaptação à mudança do clima'. Carlos Nobre , Afonso
556 . *Mudança do Clima. Cadernos NAE: nº 3*. Brasília: Núcleo de Assuntos Estratégicos da Presidência da
557 República, 2005. Secretaria de Comunicação de Governo e Gestão Estratégica.

558 [Liverman ()] 'Who governs, at what scale, and at what price ? Geography, environmental governance, and the
559 commodification of nature'. Diana Liverman . *Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr* 2004. 94 p. .

560 [Yokobatake et al. ()] Kazuo Yokobatake , Leonardo Almeida , ; Lopes , Keny Samejima Mascarenhas , ; Pinheiro
561 , Rafael Silvio Bonilha , . Denominação De Origem E Indicação Geográfica De Produtos Agrícolas . *IX Fórum*
562 *Ambiental da Alta Paulista*, 2013. 9.