CrossRef DOI of original article:

Scan to know paper details and author's profile

Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970

Abstract

Index terms—

1 INTRODUCTION

Disparity is a relative concept and there are various types of disparity, namely social disparity, spatial disparity, gender disparity and intergenerational disparity. Social disparity refers to disparity between different groups of people living broadly in the same locality. In other words, it is the condition of unequal access to the resources of any society. In this article, social disparity in access to drinking water facility between slum and non-slum households is analysed. The world's urban population has increased from 45 percent in 1995 to 55 percent in 2018 and by 2030, 60 percent of the world population is projected to be urban (UN-HABITAT, 2016 & United Nations, 2018). Although, urbanization is accompanied by economic growth, industrialization and development, but it has also led to rising multiple forms of inequality, exclusion, deprivation and poverty. Slums 1 are the emerging human settlements of the 21 st century. In developing countries, slum population has increased from 689 million in 1990 to 881 million in 2014 (UN-HABITAT, 2016). India's urban population has increased from 23.3 percent in 1981 to 31.16 percent in 2011 **??**GOI, 2013). Urban expansion has placed cities of India in a challenging situation with limited infrastructure facilities. There has been continuous growth in slum population in India. The expanding slum population has exerted huge pressure on the existing civic infrastructure, especially drinking water and sanitation which adversely affect the quality of life of people especially slum dwellers. Various studies find that slums are characterized by insecurity of tenure, overcrowding, extreme poverty, lack of basic services especially water and sanitation (United Nations, 2003, Panda & Agarwala, 2013, Satapathy, 2014, UN-HABITAT, 2016, Sinharoy et al., 2019). The reason may be huge gap between the demand and supply of water in urban areas, which is also growing due to population and urbanization **??**GOI, 2007, Kumar, 2012). The problem of slums is now a common feature of almost all major Indian cities (GOI, 2010).

1 Slums are often the only type of settlement that is affordable and accessible to the poor in cities, where competition for land and profit is intense (Basappa, 2014).

2 London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences

Drinking water is a basic necessity of life and human health depends on safe and sufficient amount of water. There is a vast body of literature that analyses the condition of drinking water and sanitation facilities in slums, especially since 2010 and find that accessibility to drinking water sources has been increased but household connections of piped water supply are still lacking (Satapathy, 2014, Bhar et al., 2017, Mukherjee et al., 2020). In this context, it can be argued that only accessibility to water sources may not provide a clear picture of drinking water facility in slum areas, analysis of other indicators such as in-house connection, regular supply, contamination-free water are also very important.

On the basis of the above background, this paper attempts to analyse the actual accessibility of basic needs and vulnerability of slum households through two levels. To present the macro picture of the existing situation, census data is used in the first level and further, in the second level, primary data is collected through small sample survey in Lucknow city to depict the seriousness of the problem. Although, the main focus of the article is on type of households which determines the drinking water facility within premises, but impact of other socio-economic variables such as income, education, caste are also analysed. Lucknow is the capital of Uttar Pradesh. Lucknow is situated about 500 km southeast of New Delhi in the heart of the state. It is situated on the banks

of the river Gomti between 26 0 51' North latitude and 80 0 36' East longitude. The district is surrounded on the eastern side by district Barabanki, on the western side by district Unnao, on the southern side by Raebareli and on the northern side by Sitapur and Hardoi districts. In Lucknow city, there are eight zones comprising of 110 wards. Using the multistage sampling technique, 30 households are selected from each zone with a total of 240 households across all eight zones; 10 households from non-slum area, 10 households from notified slum and 10 households from non-notified slum. Random sampling method is used for selection of households. This study is performed in selected ward of each zone.

3 II. HYPOTHESIS & METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

The hypothesis of the study is that the type of household is an important factor to determine the accessibility of basic necessities. It is a well-known fact that slum households are in vulnerable condition in access to drinking water facility, but there is significant disparities in access to basic amenities even among different types of slums.

In the first half, vulnerability in access to drinking water facility at regional level2 is analysed with the help of disparity index. Disparity index is constructed based on secondary data using modified Sopher's Disparity Index in terms of the logarithm of the odds ratio. The objective of taking log is to reduce the levelling off effect.

4 Modified Sopher's Disparity

Index = Log (X 2 /X 1) + Log [(200-X 1)/(200-X 2)],

where X 2 is urban households while X 1 is slum households; the ideal value for the index for having no disparity is 0; a higher value of the index shows that the extent of disparity is higher, and vice versa; a positive value suggests that the situation are in favour of X 2 (urban households) and a negative value suggests that the situation is in favour of X 1 (slum households) (Kumar, 2017). The rest of the paper is based on primary field survey and a binary logistic regression analysis is conducted to measure the impact of type of households in access to drinking water facility.

5 III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

6 Disparity between Urban and Slum Households

Census data reveals that urban households and slum households have high access to improved water sources 3 , but there is significant variation in access to sources of drinking water between urban and slum households across regions. It can be seen in case of tap water from treated source for 3 An improved source of drinking water includes bottled water, piped water into the dwelling, yard or plot, public tap or standpipe, tube-well or borehole, protected dug well, protected spring, and rainwater collection (NSSO, 2013). north, central, east and northeast regions, however, slum households have better access to tap water in west and south regions (Table 1). In order to bring out the inequalities more clearly, a disparity index has been worked out. A positive value suggests that the situation is in favour of urban households and a negative value suggests that the situation is in favour of slum households. It may be noted that in case of tube-well, positive value of disparity index for northeast, west and eastern regions shows higher access to tube-well to urban households of these regions as compared to slum households while negative value shows that slum households have higher access to tube-well in north, central and southern regions as compared to urban households. The disparity index between urban and slum households is the highest in case of tap water from treated source for northeast region, followed by eastern region while it is highest for western region in case of tap water from un-treated source among all regions. shows that urban households have more access to drinking water within premises as compared to slum households in all regions. One of the main reasons for the absence of tap water within premises in slums is due to inconsistence on providing valid ownership certificates by the departments responsible for drinking water supply in urban areas (Satapathy, 2014).

7 Status of Drinking Water Facility in Lucknow

Census data reveals that slum households have to face much hardships in access to adequate WASH facilities. Furthermore, the present survey data also reflects that slum households live in vulnerable conditions because of the differentiation in the aggregate level of education, per capita income, caste and housing characteristics (Table 3). For instance, about 96 percent non-slum households live in pucca house while the corresponding figures for notified and non-notified slums are 32.5 percent and 1.25 percent respectively. Various studies confirm that only access to an improved drinking water source is not sufficient, but having safe drinking water source within premises is also very important for a household. About 63 percent households obtain their drinking water within premises in the study area (Table 4). The analysis shows that all non-slum households have drinking water source within premises while slum dwellers especially non-notified slum dwellers are discriminated against in the provision of safe drinking water within premises. Households belonging to the general category and Hindu religion have easier access to drinking water and sanitation facilities while illiterate and less educated households have to go far to obtain drinking water. IV.

8 EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

To see the impact of household type on drinking water facility, an empirical model has been developed on primary data as census data analysis reveals considerable disparity between slum and non-slum households in access to this facility. Besides type of household, socio-economic factors such as education, per capita income, household size, caste and religion are also considered as independent variables in the following model. The dependent variable 'drinking water facility within premises' is a binary variable and it takes a value 1 if the household has drinking water facility within premises and 0 otherwise. Because of the dichotomous nature of the dependent variable, binary logistic regression is used. The estimation is carried out for the samples drawn from Lucknow district.

9 V. DESCRIPTION OF INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

Variable Description

10 Type of household

The type of the household head is classified into three distinct categories, i.e., non-slum household, notified slum and non-notified slum.

11 Education

It is a binary variable, having a value of 1 if the household head having education level above primary and 0 otherwise.

12 PCI

Per capita income of the household is a continuous variable.

13 Size

Family size of the household is a continuous variable.

14 Caste

The caste of the household is categorized into three distinct categories, i.e., General, OBC and SC.

15 Religion

The religion of the household is categorized into two distinct categories, i.e., Hindu and other religion.

The logistic regression result shows that type of household, education, income, family size, caste and religion have positive and significant influence on drinking water facility. The estimated coefficients reveal that the type of household plays a strong, positive and significant association with drinking water facility within premises (Table 5).

The odd ratios confirm that the probability of having drinking water facility within premises is 30 times higher for non-slum households and eight times higher for notified slum households as compared to non-notified slum dwellers. Households of non-notified slums have a lower probability of having drinking water source within their premises. The reason is in urban areas, water delivery to poor households and slums is usually through handpumps and public standposts (Tiwari, 2017). These sources are situated far from their premises.

Education has strong and positive influence on drinking water facility, indicating that educated household heads have three times higher probability of having drinking water facility within premises as compared to those household heads that are educated till 5 th standard and below. Similarly, income also has a positive and significant influence on drinking water facility, indicating that households belonging to higher income group are comparatively more likely to have better facility. The small, though significant, positive estimated coefficient of the family size variable shows that the household size and composition significantly affect the drinking water facility.

Further, households belonging to the general category are positively related with drinking water facility than other social groups. This indicates that households belonging to socially lower London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences classes have 13 times less probability of having drinking water facility within premises as compared to upper caste. The effect of religion is also strong and positive. This indicates that households belonging to Hindu religion are more likely to have drinking water facility within premises. Therefore, significant efforts should be taken to improve education and skill levels of slum dwellers, so that they can increase their income and improve their living standards.

16 VI. CONCLUSION & POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The paper highlights the disparities in access to drinking water facility between slum and non-slum households in India at macro level and in Lucknow at micro level. The analysis shows that there is not much difference in access to different drinking water sources between urban and slum households, but positive disparity index in

terms of drinking water within premises reveals London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences vulnerability of slum dwellers in all regions of India. In other words, urban households have more access to drinking water within premises as compared to slum households in all over India.

Primary data indicates disparity in access to drinking water facility even in different types of slums. It is observed that numerous socioeconomic indicators such as income, education and caste of the households determine the access to drinking water facility within premises, but the type of household is a key determinant. The analysis reveals that non-notified slum households are highly vulnerable and have less access to basic amenities as compared to notified slum dwellers. The reason may be lack of legal recognition as notification of slum is often required to access urban services, such as water supply infrastructure. A recent study also finds that legal status of a slum is positively related to access to basic services in India (Nolan, et al., 2018). This leads to the necessity of slum's notification in order to provide water supply infrastructure in non-notified slums.

17 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am thankful to the study participants for their kind and unconditional support. The study participants were explained about the purpose of the study and informed consent was taken. [1] [2]

[1] India is divided into six regions; north, central. east, northeast, west and south. London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences 8 Volume 23 | Issue 4 | Compilation 1.0 © 2023 London Journals Press Social Disparity in Drinking Water Facility: An Empirical Analysis

[2] Volume 23 | Issue 4 | Compilation 1.0 © 2023 London Journals Press Social Disparity in Drinking Water Facility: An Empirical Analysis

1

Regions	Households Tap water from Treated Source			Tap water from Un-treate		
	Slum Households	Urban Households	Disparity Index	Slum Households	Urban Households	Disparity Index
North	71.2	74.9	0.0347	10.1	8	-0.106
Central	43.2	46.5	0.0412	12.5	12.2	-0.0112
East	31	35.5	0.0706	5.7	5.9	0.0154
Northeast	39.9	46.2	0.0811	16.9	17.8	0.0247
West	84.3	80.8	-0.0314	6.5	7.5	0.0644
South	66.4	60.2	-0.0623	10.2	9.6	-0.0277

Regions	Hand-pump			Tube-well		
	Slum Households	Urban Households	Disparity Index	Slum Households	Urban Households	Disparity Index
North	10.3	13.9	0.1395	10.1	8	-0.106
Central	26.8	35.03	0.1374	12.5	12.2	-0.0112
East	36.6	45.4	0.1176	5.7	5.9	0.0154
Northeast	15.2	14.7	-0.0169	16.9	17.8	0.0247
West	3.5	6.1	0.2448	6.5	7.5	0.0644
South	4.1	10	0.4005	10.2	9.6	-0.0277

Source: Author's

Above analysis is based on only coverage figures and it does not reflect actual availability of drinking water. Availability of drinking water within dwelling or premises is a better form of facility as distance to the water source from the house affects the volume of water consumed. The accessibility in which the household members need to travel long distances for drinking water may not be treated as a desirable facility (NSSO, 2010). In general, the closer water can be to the dwelling; the better it would be (B Bhandari, 2001; Pushpangadan, 2006). In regard, the distance of drinking water sou important aspect.

Figure 1: Table 1 :

2

Region	Disparity Index Slum Households	Urban Households	Disparity Index
North	75.3	84.5	0.0833
Central	48.8	61.3	0.1365
East	50.1	61.9	0.1275
Northeast	60.4	65.9	0.0553
West	66.5	82.7	0.1509
South	56.7	69	0.1242

Source: Author's Calculations

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

Household Characteristics	Non-slum Households	Notified Slum Households	Non-notified Slum Households	Total Sampled Households
No. of Households	80	80	80	240
Total Population	385	395	398	1178
	Family size & Income			
Family size (Mean)	4.81	4.96	4.98	4.92
Family size (SD)	1.75	1.78	2.03	1.85
Per capita income (Mean)	14145.60	3465.73	2189.77	6600.37
Per capita income (SD)	9625.87	1627.98	787.83	502.35
	Housing characteristics			
Owned	87.5	46.25	39.19	58.12
Rented	12.5	53.75	60.81	41.88
Kutcha house	1.25	12.5	57.5	23.75

London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences 10 Volume 23 | Issue 4 | Compilation 1.0 © 2023 London Journals Press Social Disparity in Drinking Water Facility: An Empirical Analysis

Figure 3: Table 3 :

4

Household Characteristics	Within Premises	Less than 0.2 Km.	0.2 Km to 0.5 Km.
	Type of Households		
Non-slum households	100	–	–
Notified slum	67.5	27.5	5
Non-notified slum	22.5	41.25	36.25
	Education		
Up to primary	34.82	37.50	27.68
Above primary	87.5	10.94	1.56
	Caste		
General caste	89.61	5.19	5.19
OBC	49.02	26.47	24.51
SC	52.46	40.98	6.56
	Religion		
Hindu	71.81	22.34	5.85
Muslim	31.38	25.49	43.14
All Households	62.91	23.33	13.75

London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences 11 © 2023 London Journals Press Volume 23 | Issue 4 | Compilation 1.0 Social Disparity in Drinking Water Facility: An Empirical Analysis Source: Primary Survey

Figure 4: Table 4 :

5

Variables	Description of Variables	Coefficient	Z	P va
HH type	Non-slum households =1, others =0	3.406	2.54	0.
HH type	Notified slum household = 1, others =0	2.095	3.14	0.
Education	Education above primary =1, others =0	1.136	2.31	0.
PCI	Per capita income of the household	0.0008	3.24	0.
Size	Size of the family	0.277	1.75	0.
Caste	General =1, others =0	2.591	3.41	0.
Caste	OBC =1, others =0	0.854	1.43	0.
Religion	Hindu =1, others =0	1.551	2.44	0.
	Constant	-7.773	-4.34	0.
	Log Likelihood	-56.227		
	No. of Observations	240		
	LR Chi 2 (8)	220.24 (0.0000)		
	Pseduo R 2	0.6620		

Source: Comput

Thus, the type of household is a key determinant of access to drinking water facility and there is considerable variation among slums in access to basic needs. Caste and income is also important factors. Numerous studies find that access to basic amenities is limited among the households belong to disadvantaged and lower income groups (Phansalkar, 2007; Tiwari & Nayak, 2017, Kundu & Banerjee, 2018). Therefore, the logit model reveals that probability of drinking water source in premises is high if the person lives in non-slum areas and belongs to higher income group and upper caste. The reason may be two-way relationship: slums are mostly dominated by the lower castes and members of the lower castes are poor because they lack skills and resources.

Figure 5: Table 5 :

.1 Funding

This research is supported by University Grants Commission, New Delhi (UGC Post-doctoral Fellowship for Women Candidates). The author's conclusions are his own and do not necessarily represent the views of any organisation.

.2 Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

[Mukherjee et al. ()] 'Assessment of Water Security in Socially Excluded Areas in Kolkata, India: An Approach Focusing on Water, Sanitation and Hygiene'. Subham Mukherjee , T Sundberg , B Schutt . 10.3390/w12030746. *Water* 2020. 12.

[Pushpangandan ()] 'Drinking Water and Well-being in India: Data Envelopment Analysis'. K Pushpangandan . *Managing Water Resources: Policies, Institutions and Technologies*, V Ratna Reddy , S Mahendra Dev (eds.) (USA) 2006. Oxford University Press.

[Tiwari and Nayak ()] 'Drinking Water, Sanitation and Waterborne Diseases'. Rashmi Tiwari , Sanatan Nayak . *Economic and Political Weekly* 2017. 52 (23) .

[Eleventh Five Year Plan, Planning Commission ()] *Eleventh Five Year Plan, Planning Commission*, (New Delhi) 2007. Government of India.

[Bajpai and Bhandari ()] 'Ensuring Access to Water in Urban Households'. P Bajpai , L Bhandari . *Economic and Political Weekly* 2001. 36 (39) .

[Formation and identification of slum blocks for slum demography ()] *Formation and identification of slum blocks for slum demography*, 2010. 2011. New Delhi: Government of India. (Circular no 8, Census of India)

[Kumar and Dinesh ()] 'Growing Environmental Costs of Urban Water Supplies: Overcoming the Challenges in Implementing Integrated Urban Water Management'. M Kumar , Dinesh . *Environmental Scenario in India: Success and Predicaments*, Sacchidananda Mukherjee , & Debashis Chakraborty (eds.) (New York) 2012. Routledge.

[Kumar ()] 'Household's Toilet Facility in Rural India: Socio-spatial Analysis'. A Kumar . *Indian Journal of Human Development* 2017. 11 (1) p. .

[Housing Conditions and © 2023 London Journals Press ()] Compilation 1.0. *Housing Conditions and © 2023 London Journals Press*, 2010. 23. National Sample Survey Organization (NSSO)

[Tiwari ()] 'Inequality, Sufficiency and Sustainability of Urban Drinking Water in Uttar Pradesh'. Rashmi Tiwari . *Social Change* 2017. 47 (2) .

[Key Indicators of Drinking Water, Sanitation, Hygiene and Housing Condition in India, NSS 69 th round, Ministry of Statistics a *Key Indicators of Drinking Water, Sanitation, Hygiene and Housing Condition in India, NSS 69 th round, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation*, 2013. New Delhi: Government of India.

[Nolan et al. ()] 'Legal Status and Deprivation in Urban Slums Over Two Decades'. Laura B Nolan , E David , Ramnath Bloom , Subbaraman . *Economic and Political Weekly* 2018. 53 (15) .

[Kundu and Banerjee ()] 'Migration, Caste and Marginalised Sections Inequality in the Coverage of Basic Services in Urban India'. Debolina Kundu , Arpita Banerjee . *Economic and Political Weekly* 2018. 53 (2) p. .

[Bassappa ()] 'Problems and Challenge of Slum Areas in India: A Sociological Analysis'. *Issue 4 | Compilation 1.0 © 2023 London Journals Press Social Disparity in Drinking Water Facility: An Empirical Analysis*, K Bassappa (ed.) 2015. 14. (Indian Streams Research Journal)

[Unicef and Who ()] *Progress on Drinking Water, Sanitation and Hygiene: 2017 Update and SDG Baselines*, Unicef , Who . 2017. UNICEF and World Health Organization.

[Panda and Agarwala ()] 'Public Provisioning in Water and Sanitation: Study of Urban Slums in Delhi'. Gyana R Panda , Trisha Agarwala . *Economic and Political Weekly* 2013. 48 (5) p. .

[Sinharoy et al. ()] 'Review of Drivers and Barriers of Water and Sanitation Policies for Urban Informal Settlements in Low-income and Middle-income Countries'. Sheela S Sinharoy , R Pittluck , T Clasen . *Utilities Policies* 2019. 60.

[Satapathy ()] 'Safe Drinking Water in Slums: From Water Coverage to Water Quality'. B K Satapathy . *Economic and Political Weekly* 2014. 49 (24) .

[Social Disparity in Drinking Water Facility: An Empirical Analysis Amenities in India, 2008-09, NSS 65 th Round, Government *Social Disparity in Drinking Water Facility: An Empirical Analysis Amenities in India, 2008-09, NSS 65 th Round, Government of India*, (New Delhi)

[State of Slums in India: A Statistical Compendium, Ministry of Housing and Poverty Alleviation, National Building Organisatio *State of Slums in India: A Statistical Compendium, Ministry of Housing and Poverty Alleviation, National Building Organisation*, (New Delhi) 2013. Government of India.

17 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

[The Challenge of Slums Global Report on Human Settlements ()] 'The Challenge of Slums'. *Global Report on Human Settlements* 2003. London: UNHABITAT, Earth Scan Publications. (United Nations)

[The World's Cities in 2018-Data Booklet, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division United Nations ()] 'The World's Cities in 2018-Data Booklet, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division'. *United Nations* 2018. (United Nations)

[Un-Habitat ()] Un-Habitat . *Urbanization and Development: Emerging Futures*, (Nairobi, Kenya) 2016. 2016. (World Cities Report)

[Bhar et al. ()] 'Utilization of Safe Drinking Water and Sanitary Facilities in Slum Households of Siliguri, West Bengal'. D Bhar , S Bhattacherjee , A Mukherjee , T K Sarkar , S Dasgupta . *Indian Journal of Public Health* 2017. 61 (4) p. .

[Phansalkar ()] 'Water, Equity and Development'. S Phansalkar . *International Journal of Rural Management* 2007. 3 (1) .