

CrossRef DOI of original article:

1 Strengthening Citizen Participation through E-Governance: 2 Taking Stock and Looking Forward to Uganda's Local 3 Governments

4

5 *Received: 1 January 1970 Accepted: 1 January 1970 Published: 1 January 1970*

6

7 **Abstract**

8 Scan to know paper details and author's profile

9

10 *Index terms—*

11 **1 INTRODUCTION**

12 With the emerging knowledge and information society, Electronic Government (e-government) and Electronic
13 Governance (e-governance) have become viable options for countries in search of London Journal of Research
14 in Humanities and Social Sciences efficiency, effective and accountable service delivery systems. Its nolonger in
15 dispute that the quality and effectiveness of any governance policy largely depends on the involvement of all its
16 stakeholders in decision-making (Kiwanuka, 2022; Mansoor, 2021; ??reelakshmi, 2020 and increase accountability
17 at local levels ??MoLG, 2021). The model is premised on a government conviction that common citizens as the
18 end user of social services are better placed to identify and respond to their own needs, priorities, and direct use
19 of resources. Accordingly, the e-governance conviction is based on two theoretical perceptions.

20 Firstly that the quality and effectiveness of any governance policy largely depends on the involvement of all
21 its stakeholders in decision-making (Garg, 2008; ??alam, 2018).

22 Secondly that e-governance is a great platform that provides a single point of access and interaction for both
23 the governors and governed. Yet local government managers, employees and professionals find investments in
24 such technology-intensive systems inherently expensive and risky. Expensive in terms of the infrastructural set
25 up and maintenance and risky considering the less certainty that it will automatically serve the intended purposes
26 (Ayaz & Yanartas, 2020).

27 Uganda, like the rest of Africa, is embroiled in an explosive digital connectivity and significant improvements in
28 communication and information technology (ICT). The ICT momentum is building at a time when the country's
29 Local Governments still grapple with appropriate mechanisms to strengthen the participation of citizens towards
30 a tangible influence in decisions, policies and procedures that affect them (ISER, 2018; Kiwanuka, 2022). Citizen
31 participation as enshrined in Article 176 (2) (b) of Uganda's Constitution ??1995 and Local Government Act
32 (1997) is intended to promote and guarantee accountability, transparency and responsiveness in the service
33 delivery systems at local government levels. However, meaningful citizen participation in the country's Local
34 Governments continues generating more questions than answers. As would be expected, a citizenry that does not
35 readily accesses information, not knowledgeable enough, and with limited channels for engagement and feedback
36 can hardly hold any government accountable (Eckardt, 2008; Mansoor, 2021; ??reelakshmi, 2020). For citizen
37 participation to be meaningful a well-defined e-governance system that defines the channels and platforms of
38 engagement and communication as well as access of government information is paramount.

39 Methodologically, the paper was a desk research based on a critical interpretive review and theoretical synthesis
40 of literature to explore the inherent opportunities and implications for strengthening citizen participation in the
41 context of Uganda's Local Governments. Moving forward, the paper conceptualizes and theorizes e-governance
42 and citizen participation, provides a context of citizen participation in Uganda's Local Government system,
43 reflects on the evolution and reality of e-governance in Uganda. The paper then analyses the opportunities
44 and implications of e-governance for strengthening citizen participation in Local Governments of Uganda from
45 a theoretical perspective before providing a conclusion. participation are arrangements in which citizens, as

2 III. EVOLUTION AND REALITY OF E-GOVERNANCE IN UGANDA'S LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

46 individuals or groups, are given a platform to input and influence decisions that affect them. In this respect
47 therefore, citizen participation is implied to be both direct and indirect interventions with determined social
48 interests in public activities. Whereas direct participation happens when citizens as individuals or groups influence
49 financial, political and administrative decisions, indirect participation is where citizens are involved in decision
50 making through their elected representatives. Whether direct or indirect, citizen participation is significant
51 for improving decisions concerning the utilization of public resources towards public value and thereby makes
52 public servants and political leaders accountable to the people. By implication, therefore, strengthening citizen
53 participation denotes a deliberate and conscious process of putting citizens back to the center of their political
54 discourse and empowering them to appreciate their stakes and leverage so that they use them to influence their
55 community needs and aspirations.

56 As a concept e-governance can be conceptualized from the perspectives of governance and digital revolution.
57 Although sometimes governance and government are used interchangeably, the two are different. Whereas
58 government describes the institutional apparatus to perform mandated responsibility, governance refers to the
59 manner or processes taken to guide a society best achieve those mandates and responsibilities ??Muinul &
60 Momtaz, 2007). To that far, therefore, government is only one of the significant players in governance that aims to
61 enhance access to and delivery of government services to benefit citizens. By extension, the common theme around
62 definitions of e-government relate to the automation of traditional paper-based government procedures towards
63 new styles of leadership, legislating and strategic choices as well as new ways of doing business, organizing and
64 delivering information ??Basu, 2004). Whereas e-government describes initiatives of government organizations
65 to institutionalize ICT applications (Wide Area Networks, Internet and Mobile Computing) that can transform
66 relations with citizens, businesses and other arms of government, e-governance is more than a government website
67 on the Internet ??Muinul & Momtaz, 2007; ??alencia, 2007). Various definitions of e-government relate with
68 e-governance focus on its processes, expectations and outcomes to the wider society.

69 According to Deane (2003) e-governance refers to the adoption and use of ICT channels to change the way
70 citizens and business interact with government so as to enable citizens participation in decision making, increased
71 access to information, transparency and strong civil society. The definition above implies improvement of service
72 delivery systems in which information is adequately laid before citizens and other civil actors so as to empower
73 them to input decision making. Relatedly, UNDP (??003) defines e-governance as a process of creating public
74 value, as rooted in people's preferences, with the use of modern ICT. By this definition, UNDP describes e-
75 governance on its ability to satisfy the people's interests and aspirations as an outcome. The multiple definitions
76 notwithstanding, the common position is that e-governance is intended to support and simplify governance by
77 securing the cooperation and consent of the governed. This is achieved through connecting all actors ranging
78 from government, citizens, business and civil society through online services and other electronic means, accessing
79 them fast and adequate information and providing them with unlimited virtual platforms to engage the processes
80 (Backus, 2001).

81 The common theme from the definitions of e-government and e-governance is that both involve ICT application
82 and automation from the analogue, physical or paper-based procedures in government organizations. The contrast
83 is that on one hand, e-government is a narrower institutional approach which concerns the development of online
84 services to the citizen such as e-tax, e-transportation or e-health. On the other hand, e-governance is a much
85 wider process that defines and assesses the impacts that the applied technologies are having on the practice
86 and administration of governments. It also considers the relationships between government officials and the
87 wider society that has a stake in London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences the government
88 organizations and institutions.

89 The next section sheds light on the historical development and reality e-governance in Uganda local
90 governments.

91 2 III. EVOLUTION AND REALITY OF E-GOVERNANCE 92 IN UGANDA'S LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

93 Whereas e-governance is a fairly new concept with limited empirical literature concerning impact and lessons
94 learnt on service delivery, citizen participation has for long been a component of the democratic governance
95 literature. Over the years across the world, citizens have been granted an opportunity to actively participate in
96 decision making processes on local community development issues, planning and budgeting, social audits as well
97 as electing their leaders at various levels of government ??UNDP, 2003). Citizen participation has its roots in the
98 ancient Greece and Colonial New England in the early 20th century where governmental processes and procedures
99 were designed to facilitate external participation (Cogan & Sharpe, 1986). Although citizen participation was
100 institutionalized in the mid-1960s, various government agencies and officials either excluded or minimized it in
101 the public service planning and delivery processes on grounds that it was expensive, time consuming and neither
102 clear as a concept nor its consequence (Mize, 1972).

103 The current tide of e-governance has its roots in 20th century 'Reinventing Government' movements widely
104 known as New Public Management (NPM). This movement called for radical changes from the bureaucratic
105 system to a more entrepreneurial government that is enterprising, catalytic, mission and customer driven, as well
106 as results oriented (Osborne & Gaebler, 1992). The reinventing government movement imagined a government

107 bureaucracy transformed into a community owned with a vital and effective force in society. During that period,
108 scholars especially Osborne and Gaebler looked at a new complex and rapidly emerged economic landscape
109 based on disruptive innovation and discontinuities. This implied that the survival and sustenance of public
110 organizations, like their business counterparts, largely rested on their ability to reinvent themselves through
111 continuous non-linear competitive innovations (Bellamy & Taylor, 1998; Ndou, 2004). The perceived intention
112 was to facilitate operational efficiency, cost reduction, flexibility, dependability and quality.

113 Adoption of ICT, in this new arrangement, would provide a potential to support the reinventing government
114 movement. Indeed, whereas the industrial revolution centralized state power, the digital revolution is expected to
115 disperse it to citizens, business and other non-state actors who have a stake in governance (Misuraca, 2007). In
116 spite of the ICT excitement and expectations, its adoption to re-invent government organizations in comparison
117 with the business sector lagged behind. It has been only recently that the public sector demonstrated readiness
118 to exploit ICT applications for improving citizen reach, responsiveness and accessibility of services to the citizens
119 as well as transparency delivery systems. The paper adopts Janowski's Digital Government Evolution Model (

120 ??2015 The characteristic themes for each of the stages are presented in table but e-governance is illustrated as
121 the third stage in the evolution.

122 Government of Uganda is under immense pressure from citizens, civil society and the international development
123 partners to demonstrate commitment to addressing good governance and deepen decentralization through being
124 more involving, accountable and cost efficient in social service delivery ??Kiwanuka, Kateshumbwa & Andama,
125 2022; Nabafu & Maiga, 2012). Relatedly, In the wake of rapid development and expansion of ICT characterized
126 by a fast spread of the internet in Uganda, government administrative machinery is also changing from its
127 traditional passive service-led approach to a more citizen-centered and consolidated services. There is a strong
128 government belief that ICT has the potential to revolutionize the way government operates and enhance the
129 relationship between Government and Citizens, Government and Business community and within Government
130 and Government departments ?? It is the belief of the Government of Uganda (GOU) that ICT should be utilized
131 to move into the era of electronic Government (e-Government) aimed at demystifying the role of Government,
132 simplifying procedures, bringing transparency, accountability, and making credible timely information available
133 to all citizens and at the same time providing all services in an efficient and cost-effective manner (2010; p2)
134 Among other purposes, the e-government master plan also: Establishes the vision, strategy and framework;
135 Selects quick win ICT projects and draws a long term roadmap; Defines a governance framework to regulate
136 and control e-Government initiatives; as well as defining the direction for restructuring the legal framework;
137 among others. Some of the institutional-structural initiatives put in place by government in the development
138 of ICT in the country include: the creation of a fully-fledged Ministry of ICT charged with providing strategic
139 and technical leadership, the overall coordination, supervision, support and advocacy on all matters of policy,
140 laws, regulations and strategy; sustainable, effective and efficient development, harnessing and utilization of
141 ICT in all spheres of life to enable the Uganda realize her development goals. Under the general supervision
142 and guidance of Ministry of ICT are a number of agencies including but not limited to: National Information
143 Technology Authority Uganda (NITA-U) created by an Act of Parliament of ??ganda (2009) However, much
144 as the presence of an effective legal, structural and policy framework that enshrine e-government at all levels
145 of government is a significant building block for citizen participation, positive impacts and outcomes are yet to
146 happen in Uganda's Local Governments. The reality is that the country has experienced more e-government
147 than e-governance. The digital-revolution excitement and momentum has happened more at national than local
148 Government levels. Government Ministries, for example, adopt ICT initiatives based on their respective internal
149 factors and available opportunities for funding on an adhoc basis (Guma, 2013; ??wangoga & Baryayetunga,
150 2007). As observed by Rwangoga and Baryayetunga, ICT adoption within Uganda still remains more integrated
151 at national policy-structural and institutional levels but not translated into a harmonized ICT implementation
152 and operational guidelines for Local Government users. Whereas the Ministry of Local Government (MoLG)
153 has instituted several e-government initiatives at its level, the way citizens interact and participate in decision
154 making processes at their local level has not changed much.

155 The next section contextualizes citizen participation in Uganda's Local Governments.

156 3 IV. CONTEXT OF CITIZEN PARTICIPATION IN 157 UGANDA'S LOCAL GOVERNMENT SYSTEM

158 The country's renewed zeal for decentralized governance has mainly been in response to the tumultuous past of
159 civil wars and brutal dictatorships that characterized the first three decades after independence. As ??evas and
160 Grant (2003, 302) summarized it, "the colonial system London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social
161 Sciences of Local Government largely disintegrated, along with much else? in the 1970s" All the subsequent
162 political-institutional changes did not do enough to bring back the citizens into governance until much later
163 ??Elliot, 2008; ??auza, 2007). As a result, governance was characterized by a faulty state-citizen relationship
164 in which the former mattered little in assessing their own needs and participating in local project planning and
165 budget monitoring. By 1986 when the National Resistance Movement (NRM) assumed power, governance was
166 synonymous with an inefficient, decimated and unresponsive service delivery system operating at high costs and
167 overly detached from people's interests and aspirations (Guma, 2013). It is with such background that issues of

168 citizen participation and e-governance have become central concerns as the country attempts to deepen the good
169 governance principles.

170 Today, Decentralization and Local Governments characterize Uganda's governance system and are enshrined in
171 the country's Constitution (1995). The overriding objective for the country's decentralization policy is to empower
172 citizens, at a local community level, participate in the governance processes intended to improve their livelihoods
173 (Bitarabeho, 2008; Kiwanuka, Pratt & Kamnuanslipa, 2022). The Local Government Act (1997) and subsequent
174 amendments intend to support, streamline and strengthen the said decentralization system (Kavuma et al, 2020;
175 Kiwanuka, 2022). To operationalize the Local Governments, the country adopted a Fiscal Decentralization
176 Strategy (1997) which provides for local revenue, a central governmental transfer system and borrowing as its
177 fiscal instruments. Accordingly, a range of powers, responsibilities and functions have been transferred to local
178 governments in the country. Such powers and responsibility include political-administrative decision-making,
179 mobilizing and allocating resources, determining and providing a range of services in a jurisdiction, planning
180 and budgeting, and making ordinances and bye laws as provided by the local government Act (Uganda, 1997).
181 Uganda's decentralization system is held to be among the most far reaching Local Governance reforms in the
182 developing world only next to South Africa as highly decentralized states (Ndegwa, 2002;Mushemeza, 2019).

183 Citizen participation in Uganda's decentralization set-up relates with a deliberate process of citizens'
184 involvement in a wide range of administrative policy-making activities as a basis of orienting public initiatives
185 towards society's needs and aspirations (Fox & Meyer, 1995). Indeed, there are institutional provisions for multiple
186 layers of participation for all citizens from the villages to the district levels (Devas, 2005). The institutional
187 framework in the country provides for affirmative action and protects representation for the most vulnerable
188 groups which include the women, youth, and the people living with disabilities at all levels (Uganda, 1995;Uganda,
189 1997). This is accomplished by reserving electoral seats and quota systems for those groups of people both at
190 subnational and national governments. Generally, citizen participation in Local Governments in the country is
191 manifested in taking part or identifying local needs and connecting them to priorities during planning, budgeting
192 and execution of programs at all levels of local governments. The process involves local budget conferences where
193 citizens interact with local officials to voice their views in the next financial year's development agenda.

194 Participation also includes electing local leaders as well as citizens offering themselves as candidates in local
195 political positions. As part of accountability, Local Governments are also required by policy to periodically publish
196 and display financial information(s) for the consumption of the citizens (Mushemeza, 2019;Kiwanuka;2022;
197 ??teiner, 2006). Central government also organizes "Barazas", under which citizens on a determined basis face-
198 off with sub-national government officials to get answers, explanations, justifications and agreements concerning
199 performance of development projects in a local jurisdiction. In a nutshell, citizen participation is the governance
200 and public service delivery tool in Uganda's local governments for taking services closer to communities and
201 enabling citizens' participation and democratic control in decision-making.

202 4 London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences

203 Despite the above set up of the country's decentralization, the anticipated citizen participation in Uganda's
204 Local Governments is manifested more in scope, constituencies, numbers, and opportunities but less in terms
205 of scale, content and effectiveness. Meaningful citizen participation in any Local Government can only depend
206 on the availability and ease of accessing information as well as alternative and user-friendly digital channels.
207 Lack of transparency in budgetary procedures and outcomes, for example, may make meaningful participation
208 hard for all local government actors in Uganda. There are a number of channels through which information is
209 passed including: social gatherings, traditional communication modes like local radios, local public microphones,
210 social communication networks, and formal meetings for all key stakeholders organized by either the citizens
211 or public officials. However, the appropriateness, completeness, adequacy and frequencies of such information
212 are still issues in the country's Local Government system where local public officials determine the amount and
213 frequency it's given to citizens. As ??evas and Grant (2000) put it: Accountability requires that both citizens
214 and the central government have accurate and accessible information about local government: about available
215 resources, performance, service levels, budgets, accounts and other financial indicators. ... only when citizens and
216 civil society are armed with such information can there be informed public debate on the allocation of limited
217 resources and public acceptance of tradeoffs (311).

218 The implication in the statement above is that strengthening citizen participation in a local governance system
219 requires mechanisms that can provide and update information to all stakeholders at a reliable and fast basis.
220 The next section attempts to make sense of the opportunities of enhancing e-governance and its implications
221 to citizen participation in the context of Uganda's Local Governments within the perspective of Venkatesh 's
222 Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (2003).

224 **5 V. OPPORTUNITIES AND IMPLICATIONS FOR**
225 **UGANDA'S LOCAL GOVERNMENTS FROM A THE-**
226 **ORETICAL PERSPECTIVE**

227 The potential opportunities from e-governance are numerous and general to all countries and levels of governments
228 subject to appropriate adoption and use. Such benefits include but not limited to cost reduction and efficiency
229 gains, quality of service delivery, good governance and enhanced relations between government and government
230 and between government and other stakeholders (Ndou, 2004; ??ECD, 2002). However, in this paper we take a
231 break and analyze opportunities from a theoretical perspective. This is because many scholars tend to examine
232 and describe the e-governance opportunities with limited attention, if any, to factors related to decisions for
233 adoption of innovations, and how the likelihood of adoption of innovations can happen. To hypothesize the main
234 opportunities of e-governance acceptance and adoption in Local Governments, we adopted its determinants from
235 Venkatesh, et al's Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT, 2003). Venkatesh et al identified
236 four key factors for adopting and using technology which include performance expectancy, effort expectancy,
237 social influence, and facilitating conditions. According to the theory, performance expectancy, effort expectancy,
238 and social influence determine individuals and group's intentions to use a technology, while facilitating conditions
239 determine technology use (Sharma & Mishra, 2015; Wang, Townsend et al., 2012). In this hypothetical framework,
240 performance expectancy describes the degree to which an individual (both citizen and official) would believe that
241 using e-governance will help him or her to improve or attain the intended performance or goals. On the other
242 hand, effort expectancy would refer to the degree of ease associated with using e-governance. Then Social influence
243 being the degree to which an individual (public official or stakeholder) perceives that important others believe
244 he or she should use e-governance. Finally, facilitating conditions in this study are used to define the extent to
245 which an individual believes that there is existence of organizational and technical infrastructure to support the
246 use of London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences e-governance in Local Governments. The
247 presumption is that where individuals are able to access the required resources, gain required knowledge together
248 with the necessary support to use the digital infrastructure, they will be more likely to adopt e-governance.

249 There is already evidence in literature that by collecting, storing, processing, communicating and networking
250 across the world, ICT applications in governance can transform old challenges and create unprecedented
251 opportunities for citizen participation, transparency and accountability (Ndou, 2004; ??ECD, 2002). In line
252 with Venkatesh's UTAUT (2003), the performance expectancy and effort expectancy for adopting e-governance
253 are in tandem with the principles and aspirations of Uganda's long and medium-term development framework.
254 The former is to the extent that e-governance and ICT have a more realistic potential of providing key ingredients
255 for an inclusive and sustainable development at the local government level. Since the cardinal goal of Uganda's
256 Local Government is bringing services closer to the people, adoption of e-governance can also serve as a tool of
257 stakeholder empowerment, transparency and accountability which fits the performance expectations postulated
258 by the theory. To that extent, the necessity of transferring Information Technology and Information System
259 applications to Uganda's Local Government institution is to make interaction faster, increase engagement and is
260 in general useful for the subnational government to perform its duties.

261 At a point when certain actors especially the governed are getting fatigued with participatory processes, the
262 effort expectancy that expresses the degree of convenience regarding the use of the system is expected to bring
263 them back into their governance. The convenience of letting citizens access complete information and engage
264 in decision making from the comfort of their places of work and abort may have a positive effect on their
265 behavioral intentions. Indeed, effort expectancy appropriately suits the circumstances given the recent epidemics
266 like Covid19 and Ebola that have already had a far-reaching impact on Ugandan communities and changed their
267 mindset on physical interactive processes.

268 In accordance with facilitating conditions as another theoretical determinant of e-governance adoption by
269 Venkatesh et al (2003) ??ganda, 2009). Although all these initiatives have their inherent resource challenges,
270 they still facilitate and therefore provide an opportunity for innovations and attitude change necessary for e-
271 governance adoption use in the Local Governments.

272 There is already some political will and positive attitudes for innovativeness in the information communication
273 direction in many Local Governments of Uganda. Public debates are already happening at local community levels
274 either as part of national policy requirement or as initiatives by local actors some of whom move around with
275 microphone engaging people on selected topical issues (Kiwanuka, 2022)

276 **6 E-governance comes with an influx of information and knowl-**
277 **edge at a point when the rapidly changing organizational en-**
278 **vironment requires government organizations including Local**

279 Governments to become learning organizations. The 21st century Local Government must enhance knowledge
280 management and learn more than ever before as citizens become more informed and expectant which threaten
281 to drift citizen trust, increase public cynicism and distrust about sub-national governments. E-governance is
282 therefore well positioned to provide information and knowledge to Local Government to continuously reinvent

7 VI. CONCLUSION

283 and transform themselves as they create their future. This should be especially so given that all other actors
284 expand their capacity to influence results they desire, nurture new and expansive patterns of interaction based
285 on free will and mutual aspirations.

286 Lastly but not least, because of proximity and social identities, Local Governments are the only governments
287 that most people know, can actually reach and feel as they are usually intertwined on issues like food security,
288 public health, education and environment concerns, local politics (Misuraca, 2007). It is, therefore, at such local
289 levels that the impact of e-governance on the government-citizen relationships can be more effective as it breaks
290 the conventional office-based interaction. In the circumstances of Uganda's Local Governments where the citizens
291 are already fatigued by the physical presence in local political decision-making processes, e-governance provides
292 the best alternative to keep them involved from wherever they are.

293 7 VI. CONCLUSION

294 The From a practical perspective however, the variety and complexity of e-governance initiatives and apparent
295 adoption delays are evidence of a wide range of challenges and barriers to its effective implementation and
296 management. Despite the general belief that e-governance offers considerable potential for strengthening citizen
297 participation in Local Government of Uganda, it largely remains unexploited. It is sufficient to conclude that
298 the country has experienced more e-government than e-governance. We therefore provide recommendations for
299 effective adoption and application of e-governance in developing countries like Uganda.

300 Uganda's Local Governments will need to adjust a number of areas including communication and information
301 systems, leadership styles, deliberation systems for local issues together with the local decision-making
302 approaches, ways of interacting with citizens and developing appropriate and supportive regulatory frameworks.
303 The Local Governments will also have to develop e-governance systems that are context-specific, with an inherent
304 monitoring and evaluation system to track their impacts on citizen satisfaction with their participation and
305 sustainable local economic development. This implies undertaking evidence based analysis of what constitutes
306 public value and determining how ICT tools can be appropriately used to advance and promote public value. Local
307 Governments will also have to analyze the emerging trends on ICT usage, national policies on ICT applications
308 and other factors that may affect acceptance and use within their respective local contexts. Finally, since local
309 governments are organs of the central government in Uganda, the later has to play a role that facilitates not only
310 adoption but also affordability of ICT and e-governance. The national government should deliberately perpetuate
311 a system that ensures that the security of electronic transactions and communications is assured, protection of
312 privacy, empower citizens to control personal information and commit to universal accessibility and affordability.

313 Without such and more considerations and since e-governance opportunities entail certain unique conditions,
314 needs and obstacles, Local and Central Governments risk missing out on the digital opportunities for often lagging
315 behind in the process of technology adoption and reinvention.

316 The paper was a desk research based on a critical interpretive review and theoretical synthesis of literature.
317 The paper also reflected on the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology Venkatesh et al. (2003)
318 to determine opportunities for adopting e-governance in Uganda's Local Governments. We appreciate that desk
319 research is generally limited to what is available and our conclusions and recommendations may therefore, only
320 provide partial answers, both in terms of precision and timeliness of the information. We're also aware of the
321 potential bias that usually characterizes such public information. We're also aware that the UTAUT postulations
322 may not necessarily fit Uganda's Local Government context and could also have excluded some constructs that
323 may be crucial for explaining the country's context. We recommend that any other researcher(s) on the same
324 should adopt a hybrid approach pairing desk research with some key informant interviews with local government
experts.



Figure 1:

better organized under respective District Development Forums or District NGO Forums composed of senior citizens, representatives of political parties, civil society organizations, vulnerable groups and Traditional Churches in a particular District. Although these are still more prevalent at district levels, they provide the social influence hypothesized by Venkatesh et al., (2003) to mobilize and influence the people to appropriately make use of the available social media to engage Local Governments on many citizen priority issues. Unfettered.

Relatedly, the unprecedented proliferation of smart mobile devices in recent times, supported by increased telephone network coverage and tele-density, has meant that populations in local communities in Uganda are getting unfettered access to ICT tools and applications. Accordingly, there is a steady and significant increment in the number of internet subscribers in the last 10

years. For example, whereas the proportion of subscribers stood at only 2.5% in 2012, the number rose to 34.4% in 2019 (UCC, 2019; Waisswa & Okello, 2014). To that extent, the mobile phone provides a big opportunity to government officials at all levels to connect, consult and engage with the citizens, business, civil society and other non-state actors within local jurisdictions on matters of local development and social concerns. In order to guide and regulate the usage of mobile phone devices and internet however, the government has put in place a National ICT Policy (Ministry of Information and Communication Technology, 2014), together with a number of regulations and mechanisms including compulsory registration of SIM cards and the Computer Misuse Act (2022), among others.

How through
the
in-
ter-
net

connectivity is improving, it generally remains unaffordable to many Ugandans in the local governments. This together with the fact that not many people in the local communities can afford the high bandwidth results into low internet coverage.

Figure 3:

¹ Volume 23 | Issue 2 | Compilation 1.0 © 2023 London Journals Press Strengthening Citizen Participation through E-Governance: taking Stock and Looking Forward to Uganda's Local Governments

² Volume 23 | Issue 2 | Compilation 1.0 © 2023 London Journals Press Strengthening Citizen Participation through E-Governance: taking Stock and Looking Forward to Uganda's Local Governments

³ Volume 23 | Issue 2 | Compilation 1.0 © 2023 London Journals Press Strengthening Citizen Participation through E-Governance: taking Stock and Looking Forward to Uganda's Local Governments

⁴ © 2023 London Journals Press Volume 23 | Issue 2 | Compilation 1.0 Strengthening Citizen Participation through E-Governance: taking Stock and Looking Forward to Uganda's Local Governments

⁵ Volume 23 | Issue 2 | Compilation 1.0 © 2023 London Journals Press Strengthening Citizen Participation through E-Governance: taking Stock and Looking Forward to Uganda's Local Governments

⁶ Volume 23 | Issue 2 | Compilation 1.0 © 2023 London Journals Press Strengthening Citizen Participation through E-Governance: taking Stock and Looking Forward to Uganda's Local Governments

325 [London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences] , *London Journal of Research in Humanities*
326 and *Social Sciences*

327 [London Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences] , *London Journal of Research in Humanities*
328 and *Social Sciences*

329 [Nabafu and Maiga ()] 'A Model of Success Factors for Implementing E-Governments in Uganda' R Nabafu , G
330 Maiga . *Electronic Journal of E-Government* 2012. 10 (1) p. .

331 [Ayaz and Yanartas ()] 'An analysis on theUnified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology Theory:
332 Acceptance of Electronic Document Management System' A Ayaz , M Yanartas . *Computers in Human*
333 *Behavior Reports* 2020. 2.

334 [Cipesa ()] *Assessment of Citizen Perception on Open Governance in Uganda. Melville (South Africa) and*
335 *Montreal (Canada)*, Apc Cipesa . 2012. (Association for Progressive Communication)

336 [Mize ()] *Citizen Participation in Public Decision-making: a Study of the*, C Mize . 1972. Willamette National
337 Forest.

338 [Mansoor ()] 'Citizens' trust in government as a function of good governance and Government agency's provision
339 of quality information on social media during COVID-19' M Mansoor . PMC8494525. *Online Journal* 2021.
340 Elsevier Public Health Emergency Collection.

341 [Kiwanuka et al. ()] 'Conditions That Work: Reconnecting Fiscal Decentralization to Responsiveness in Uganda
342 and Thai Municipal Governments'. M Kiwanuka , R Pratt , D Kamnuanslipa , P . *Journal of African Studies*
343 and *Development* 2022. 14 p. .

344 [Uganda ()] *Constitution of the Republic of Uganda*, Uganda . 1995. Kampala.

345 [Deane ()] A Deane . *Increasing Voice and Transparency Using ICT Tools: E-Government, E-Governance*, 2003.
346 World Bank.

347 [Muriu ()] *Decentralisatio, Citizen participation and local public service deliverly*, A R Muriu . 2013. Mastrabelt:
348 Potsdam University

349 [Elliott ()] 'Decentralization and conflict in Uganda' G Elliott . *Conflict, Security and Development* 1478-1174.
350 2008. 8 (4) p. .

351 [Ndegwa ()] 'Decentralization in Africa: A Stocktaking Survey'. S Ndegwa , N . Africa Regional Working Paper
352 Series 2002. (40) .

353 [Mushemeza ()] 'Decentralization in Uganda: Trends, Achievements, Challenges and Proposals for Consolida-
354 tion'. E D Mushemeza . ACODE Policy Research Paper Series 2019.

355 [Backus ()] 'E-governance and Developing Countries: Introduction and Examples'. M Backus . *Research Report*
356 2001. (3) .

357 [Misuraca ()] *E-Governance in Africa; From Theory to Action. A Handbook of ICT for Local Governance*, G
358 Misuraca . 2007. Inc, NewJersey: Africa World Press.

359 [Garg ()] 'E-Governance-A Way to Good Governance'. P Garg . *The Indian Journal of Political Science* 2008.
360 69 (1) p. .

361 [Dugdale (2004)] 'E-Governance: Democracy in transition'. A Dugdale . *on the Annual Meeting of the*
362 *International Institute of Administrative Sciences held on*, 2004. July 12-18, 2004. Seoul. National Institute
363 for Governance. (Report)

364 [Ndou ()] 'E-Government for Developing Countries: Opportunities and Challenges'. V Ndou
365 Uganda Communication Commission. , D
366 Uganda Communication Commission. . *Rural Communication Development Policy for Uganda*, 2004. 2009.
367 Kampala. 45.

368 [Ballamy and Taylor ()] *Governing in the Information age*, C Ballamy , J Taylor . 1998. Buckingham Open
369 University Press.

370 [Devas and Grant ()] *Local government decision-making, citizen participation and local accountability: some*
371 *evidence from Kenya and Uganda*, N Devas , U Grant . 2003. University of Birmingham, UK

372 [National Communications and Information Technology Policy for Uganda ()] *National Communications and*
373 *Information Technology Policy for Uganda*, <https://ict.go.ug/wp-content/uploads/2018/> 2014.
374 Ministry of Information and Communication Technology.

375 [Uganda ()] *National Electronic Government Framework: Draft Final*, Uganda . 2010. Kampala.

376 [Eckardt ()] 'Political Accountability, Fiscal Conditions and Local Government Performance -Cross-Sectional
377 Evidence from Indonesia'. S Eckardt . *Public Administration and Development* 2008. 28 p. .

378 [Fox and Meyer ()] *Public Administration Dictionary*, W Fox , I H Meyer . 1995. Cape Town, Juta.

7 VI. CONCLUSION

379 [Guma ()] 'Public Sector Reform, E-Government and Search for Excellence in Africa: Experience from Uganda.
380 Electronic Local Government System'. K Guma . *Open Journal of Social Sciences* 2013. (10) p. .

381 [Uganda ()] 'Strengthening Uganda's Competitiveness for Sustainable Wealth Creation'. Uganda . *Second
382 National Development Plan (NDPII)*, 2015. 2015/16 -2019/20. Kampala.

383 [Telecommunications, Broadcasting and Postal Markets Industry Report Q3 ()] [https://www.ucc.co.ug/
384 wp-content/uploads/2017/09/Communication-sector-performance-Report-for-the-quarter-ending-Sep
385 pdf](https://www.ucc.co.ug/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/Communication-sector-performance-Report-for-the-quarter-ending-Sep.pdf) *Telecommunications, Broadcasting and Postal Markets Industry Report Q3*, 2019. Uganda
386 Communications Commission.

387 [Devas ()] *The Challenges of Decentralization: Global Forum on Fighting Corruption*, N Devas . 2005. Brasília.

388 [Wang et al. ()] 'The determinants of acceptance of recommender systems: Applying the UTAUT model'. Y
389 Wang , A Townsend , A Luse , B Mennecke . *Proceedings of the 18th Americas Conference on Information
390 Systems*, (the 18th Americas Conference on Information Systems) 2012. p. .

391 [Bitarabeko ()] 'The experience of Uganda-Local Government's role as a Partner in the Decentralization Process
392 to Strengthen Local Development'. J Bitarabeko . *Conference on Access to Development Funding for Local
393 Governments in Africa*, (Johannesburg) 2008.

394 [Uganda ()] *The Local Governments Act: CAP*, Uganda . 1997. Kampala. p. 243.

395 [The Parish Development Model: From the 1 st (2021)] [https://molg.go.ug/parish-development-model/
396 The Parish Development Model: From the 1 st, 2021. July 2021-June 2026. Ministry of Local Government.](https://molg.go.ug/parish-development-model/The-Parish-Development-Model-From-the-1-st-2021)

397 [Undp ()] *The role of participation and partnerships in decentralised local governance*, Undp . 2000. New Yolf:
398 UNDP.

399 [Cogan ()] *The Theory of Citizen Involvement in Planning Analysis: The Theory of Citizen Participation*, Sharpe
400 Cogan . 1986. University of Oregon (www.uoregon.edu/r_gp/PPPM613/class10theory.htm)

401 [Muinul and Mmtaz ()] 'Understanding E-Governance: A Theoretical Approach'. M Muinul , S Mmtaz , A .
402 *Asian Affairs* 2007. 29 (4) p. .

403 [Venkatesh et al. ()] 'Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology: A Synthesis and the Road Ahead'.
404 V Venkatesh , J Thong , Xin Xu . *Journal of the Association for Information Systems* 2016. 17 (5) p. .

405 [Venkatesh et al. ()] V Venkatesh , M G Morris , F D Davis , G B Davis . *User Acceptance of Information
406 Technology: Toward a Unified View*, 2003. 27 p. .

407 [Waisswa and Obura ()] R Waisswa , O Obura . [http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1125
408 To what Extent have ICT Contributed to E-Governance in Uganda. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 2014.
409 University of Nebraska-Linkoln](http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1125)