



Scan to know paper details and
author's profile

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile at Uganda Martyrs Hospital Lubaga, Kampala Uganda

Nakiboneka Winnie & Laboratory Technologist

INTRODUCTION

The majority of infectious diseases are of bacterial in origin. With the discovery of laboratory methods to grow these microorganisms using an appropriate growth medium known as “culture,” determining the sensitivity and resistance of specific pathogens to a wide range of antimicrobial agents is necessary so clinicians can immediately institute proper treatment regimens. (Bayot & Bragg, 2024) This targeted approach of treatment is considered the gold standard however most clinicians use or opt for empiric antibiotic therapy as an approach to treat the suspected infection. This has resulted into irrational use of antibiotics in clinical practice hence and emerging antimicrobial resistance.

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has emerged as a major threat to public health globally. (Gajic et al 2022) An estimated 1.14 million deaths were directly caused by antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in 2021 worldwide, and it is projected that over 39 million people will die from AMR-related infections between 2025 and 2050 (GBD 2021)

Keywords: NA

Classification: NLM Code: QW 25

Language: English



Great Britain
Journals Press

LJP Copyright ID: 392864

London Journal of Medical & Health Research

Volume 25 | Issue 4 | Compilation 1.0



Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile at Uganda Martyrs Hospital Lubaga, Kampala Uganda

Nakiboneka Winnie^a & Laboratory Technologist^a

INTRODUCTION

The majority of infectious diseases are of bacterial in origin. With the discovery of laboratory methods to grow these microorganisms using an appropriate growth medium known as “culture,” determining the sensitivity and resistance of specific pathogens to a wide range of antimicrobial agents is necessary so clinicians can immediately institute proper treatment regimens. (Bayot & Bragg, 2024) This targeted approach of treatment is considered the gold standard however most clinicians use or opt for empiric antibiotic therapy as an approach to treat the suspected infection. This has resulted into irrational use of antibiotics in clinical practice hence and emerging antimicrobial resistance.

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has emerged as a major threat to public health globally. (Gajic et al 2022) An estimated 1.14 million deaths were directly caused by antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in 2021 worldwide, and it is projected that over 39 million people will die from AMR-related infections between 2025 and 2050 (GBD 2021)

This public health crisis has potential severe implications for resource-limited settings. However, accurate and rapid detection of resistance to antimicrobial drugs, and subsequent appropriate antimicrobial treatment, combined with antimicrobial stewardship, are essential for controlling the emergence and spread of antimicrobial resistance. (Gajic et al 2022)

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to: develop an antibiogram to empower doctors to make informed prescribing decisions in the clinic regarding use of antibiotics at Uganda martyrs' hospital Lubaga and to generate data regarding the concept to bring greater clarity to this issue.

II. METHODOLOGY

This study was a retrospective analysis conducted in the microbiology laboratory at Uganda Martyr's Hospital. The susceptibility data obtained from the Vitek 2 Compact, based on client samples for culture and sensitivity, were evaluated for the year 2024.

Uganda Martyrs' Hospital Lubaga Laboratory Microbiology Laboratory 2024																
Organism	Number of Isolates	Antibiotics														
		Ceftriaxone	Cefotaxime	Amoxicillin/clavulanic	Ampicillin/sulbactam	Gentamycin	Ciprofloxacin	Trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole	Nitrofurantoin	Cefoxitin	Ampikacin	Meropenem	Cefepime	Cefuroxime	Aztreonam	Ceftazidime
Klebsiella Pneumoniae	57	05 95	11 89	44 56	09 91	55 45	43 57	13 87	30 70	98 02	93 07	99 01	06 94	05 95	33 67	05 95
Escherichia Coli	122	19 81	16 84	66 34	31 69	75 25	40 60	08 92	95 05	94 06	100 00	100 00	21 79	19 81	26 74	17 83
Pseudomonas Aeruginosa	12	Cefepime	Piperacillin Tazobactam			Ceftazidime	Ciprofloxacin				Ampikacin	Meropenem			Aztreonam	
		80 20	83 17			50 50	75 25				80 20	90 10			41 59	
ORGANISM	NUMBER OF ISOLATES	Erythromycin	Clindamycin		Tetracycline		Vancocycline	Trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole	Nitrofurantoin	Linezolid			Ciprofloxacin	Levofloxacin	Moxifloxacin	Gentamycin
Staphylococcus Hemolyticus	67	08 92	26 74	08 92			92 08	15 85	97 03	90 10			19 81	26 74	92 08	29 71
Staphylococcus Aureus	24	13 87	38 62	67 33			100 00	38 62	100 00				100 00	67 33	59 41	35 65

Key

	Percentage of the isolate resistant to the antibiotic
	Percentage of the isolate susceptible to the antibiotic
	The organism was not exposed to the antibiotic or not recommended
	Gram negative
	Gram positive

Notes

Data from organisms with fewer than 30 isolates (n=30) may lead to interpretation errors. (CLSI 2024). However, Pseudomonas Aeruginosa and Staphylococcus Aureus were included for because of their medical implication and future reference this being a baseline Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile.

Nitrofurantoin reported on urine isolates only. (CLSI 2024).

Antibiogram results are interpreted as percentages to determine the susceptibility of organisms to different antimicrobials. The percentage susceptible (%S) is used to guide treatment decisions.

Susceptibility Categories (The Sanford Guide, 2024)

1. *Susceptible:* If a high % of bacterial isolates (90% or more) are categorized as susceptible to an antibiotic, it is considered an effective choice for treatment.
2. *Intermediate:* susceptibility range (50 – 89%), it may still be effective in certain situations depending on factors like the site of infection and the patient’s clinical condition.
3. *Resistant:* susceptibility range (<50%), is classified as resistant and alternative treatment options should be considered.

If the risk of morbidity and/or mortality is high, agents with 90-95% susceptibility should be selected. Agents with 80-85% susceptibility may be acceptable for treating infections in patients without a risk for morbidity and/or mortality in the next 24-48 hours. However, other factors need to be considered in conjunction with the antibiogram. (The Sanford Guide, 2024)

REFERENCES

1. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). (2024). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (34th ed.). CLSI supplement M100.
2. Bayot, M. L., & Bragg, B. N. (2024). Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. In StatPearls. StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. PMID: 30969536.
3. Gajic, I., Kabic, J., Kekic, D., Jovicevic, M., Milenkovic, M., Mitic Culafic, D., Trudic, A., Ranin, L., & Opavski, N. (2022). Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: A Comprehensive Review of Currently used Methods. Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland), 11(4), 427. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11040427>
4. The Sanford Guide, 2024. Accessed on 14th March 2025. [https://www.sanfordguide.com/GBD 2021 Antimicrobial Resistance Collaborators \(2024\). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance 1990-2021: a systematic analysis with forecasts to 2050. Lancet \(London, England\), 404\(10459\), 1199–1226. \[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\\(24\\)01867-1\]\(https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(24\)01867-1\)](https://www.sanfordguide.com/GBD%2021%20Antimicrobial%20Resistance%20Collaborators%20(2024).%20Global%20burden%20of%20bacterial%20antimicrobial%20resistance%201990-2021:%20a%20systematic%20analysis%20with%20forecasts%20to%202050.%20Lancet%20(London,%20England),%20404(10459),%201199-1226.%20https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)01867-1)